1 Existence & Uniqueness of Solutions via Picard Iteration

**Theorem 1.** Let $y' = f(t, y(t))$, and suppose that $f(t, y)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(t, y)$ are continuous on the rectangle defined by $-\tilde{a} < t_0 < \tilde{a}$, $-b < y_0 < b$. Then there exists $a < \tilde{a}$ such that the initial value problem

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y(t)), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

has a unique solution $y^\ast(t)$ on $-a < t_0 < a$ and $-b < y^\ast(t) < b$ for all $-a < t_0 < a$.

The following example illustrates how Theorem 1 guarantees the existence of a unique solution. There are many details that are beyond the scope of the exercise that have been left out. The interested reader are directed towards any good textbook on ODE’s.

**Example 2.** Let $y' = 2t(1 - y(t))$ with $y(0) = 0$. From the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$y(t) = y_0 + \int_0^t y'(\tau) d\tau \Rightarrow y(t) = 0 + \int_0^t 2\tau(1 - y(\tau)) d\tau$$

(1)

Morally, $2t(1 - y_0)$ should be a good enough approximation to $y'(t)$ for us to guarantee a solution whenever $t$ is not too far from $t_0$. This intuition will form the premise for the work that follows.

We cannot directly solve (1) because $y(t)$ appears on both sides of the equation. However, we can use it iterate through a sequence of approximation $y^{(k)}(t)$ that converge to the solution. More formally, if we define the map

$$T[y](t) = \int_0^t 2\tau(1 - y(\tau)) d\tau$$

we can begin with an initial guess, say $y^{(0)}(t) := 0$, and then define $y^{(1)}(t) := T[y^{(0)}](t)$. Once we have $y^{(1)}(t)$, we repeat this process to define $y^{(2)}(t) := T[y^{(1)}](t)$. We keep iterating the map so $y^{(n)}(t) := T^n[y^{(0)}](t)$. A rigorous approach to the problem would require the following:

1. Define the space where the map operates,
2. Verify that the space is complete,
3. Verify there is a unique fixed point of the map,
4. Verify that the iterates will converge to this fixed point,
5. Verify that the fixed point for the map coincides with the solution to the ODE.
I expect that some of the terminology on the list is unfamiliar to many of you. Don’t worry, all of these concepts will be introduced during the core courses. In this exercise, I will only focus on (1) and (4). Recall that our intuition suggests we may only be able to guarantee local solutions? Let’s try \( \hat{a} = b = 1 \), and define \( D \) to be the rectangle \(-\hat{a} < y < \hat{a}, -b < t < b\). We define two quantities for future reference:

\[
M = \max_{D} |f(t, y(t))| \quad \text{and} \quad L = \max_{D} \left| \frac{\partial f(t, y(t))}{\partial y} \right|,
\]

For us, \( M = 2 \) and \( D = 4 \). It turns out that our domain is too large, so we let \( a = \min\{ \frac{b}{M}, \frac{1}{L} \} \) and define \( D \) by \(-a < t < a, -b < y < b\).

We will be working with functions \( y(t) \) on \( D \), and we define the metric \( \max_{-a < t < a} |y_2(t) - y_1(t)| \) to determine whether two functions are close together or far apart. We first show that if \( y(t) \in D \), then \( T[y](t) \in D \)

\[
|T[y](t) - y_0| = \left| \int_{0}^{t} f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right| \leq M|t - t_0| \leq M a < b
\]

Next, we show that if \( y_1, y_2 \in D \), then the distance between \( T[y_1] \) and \( T[y_2] \) is less than the half the distance between \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \).

\[
|T[y_1](t) - T[y_2](t)| \leq \left| \int_{0}^{t} \left( 2\tau(1 - y_1(\tau)) - 2\tau(1 - y_2(\tau)) \right) d\tau \right|
\]

\[
\leq \int_{0}^{t} \left| \left( 2\tau(1 - y_1(\tau)) - 2\tau(1 - y_2(\tau)) \right) \right| d\tau
\]

\[
\leq L \int_{0}^{t} |y_1(\tau) - y_2(\tau)| d\tau
\]

\[
\leq L \max_{-t \leq \tau \leq t} |y_1(\tau) - y_2(\tau)| a
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{-t \leq \tau \leq t} |y_1(\tau) - y_2(\tau)|
\]

Finally, we observe that

\[
y * (t) \text{solves} y'(t) = 2t(1 - y(t)) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad T[y^*](t) = y^*(t)
\]

The argument above constructive. This means that we should be able to iterate the map to find the solution to the ODE.

\[
y^{(0)}(t) = 0
\]

\[
y^{(1)}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} 2\tau(1 - 0) d\tau = t^2
\]

\[
y^{(2)}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} 2\tau(1 - \tau^2) d\tau = t^2 - \frac{t^4}{2}
\]

\[
y^{(3)}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} 2\tau(1 - \tau^2 + \frac{\tau^4}{2}) d\tau = t^2 - \frac{t^4}{2} + \frac{t^6}{6}
\]

We can show by induction that the \( n \)th iterate is

\[
y^{(n)}(t) = 1 - \left( 1 - t^2 + \frac{t^4}{2!} - \frac{t^6}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{(-t^2)^n}{n!} \right)
\]

Taking the limit \( n \to \infty \), we find that the solution is \( y(t) = 1 - e^{-t^2} \).
2 Systems of Linear Equations

Matrix Exponential

Definition 3. The matrix exponential of a matrix $A$ is defined via the Taylor Series

$$e^A := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k = I + A + \frac{1}{2} A^2 + \frac{1}{6} A^3 + \ldots$$

Corollary 4. Let $\Lambda$ be a diagonal matrix with entries $\Lambda_{ij} = \{\lambda_i \delta_{ij}\}$, then $e^\Lambda = \{e^\lambda_i \delta_{ij}\}$.

Proof. Left to the reader. \qed

Remark. The terms of the Taylor Series of $e^A$ can be simplified by diagonalizing $A$.

$$e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{k!} U D U^{-1} \right)^k = U \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k \right) U^{-1} = U e^D U^{-1}$$

Recall from the linear algebra lectures that not all matrices are diagonalizable. A matrix with an incomplete set of eigenvectors is called defective. Every defective matrix can be expressed in Jordan (canonical) form $MJM^{-1}$ where $J$ is composed of Jordan blocks (with repeated eigenvalues on the diagonal and 1’s on the first super-diagonal) and $M$ is the matrix of generalized eigenvectors.

Example 5. Compute the matrix exponential of the defective matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Solutions to Systems of Linear ODEs

Let $x(t) = e^{tA}x_0$. Therefore,

$$x(t) = e^{tA}x_0 \quad \text{solves} \quad \dot{x} = Ax, \quad x(0) = x_0$$

Let $A = UDU^{-1}$ be the diagonalization of $A$. Use the change of coordinates

$$y(t) = U^{-1}x(t), \quad y_0 = U^{-1}x_0$$

then

$$y(t) = U^{-1}e^{tA}Uy_0 = e^{tU^{-1}AU}y_0 \quad \text{solves} \quad \dot{y} = U^{-1}AUy, \quad y(0) = U^{-1}y_0$$

so

$$y(t) = U^{-1}e^{tA}Uy_0 = e^{tU^{-1}AU}y_0 = e^{tD}y_0 = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_2 t} \end{bmatrix} y_0 = e^{\lambda_1 t}y_0^{(1)} + e^{\lambda_2 t}y_0^{(2)}.$$ 

In the original coordinate system, the solution is given by

$$x(t) = U \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_2 t} \end{bmatrix} U^{-1}x_0 = u_1 e^{\lambda_1 t}y_0^{(1)} + u_2 e^{\lambda_2 t}y_0^{(2)}.$$

Phase planes

Phase planes are graphical representations of the solutions to a system of differential equations.

Example 6. Plot phase planes for $y'(t) = Ay$, where

1. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$,

2. $A = \begin{bmatrix} i & -i \\ 2i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2i & 0 \\ 0 & -2i \end{bmatrix}$.

3. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.
3 Techniques for Finding Explicit Solutions

Separation of Variables
Suppose \( \dot{x}(t) = f(x)g(t) \), then
\[
\int_{x_0}^x \frac{1}{f(\xi)} d\xi = \int_{t_0}^t g(\tau) d\tau
\]

Linear Constant Coefficient Differential Equations
Suppose an ODE is given by
\[
a_n y^{(n)}(s) + a_{n-1} y^{(n-1)}(s) + \cdots + a_0 y(s) = 0
\]
Look for solutions in the form \( y(s) = e^{ks} \) which gives
\[
(a_n k^n + a_{n-1} k^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0) e^{ks} = 0
\]

Case: The \( n \) roots of the characteristic polynomial are unique. Let the roots are given by \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n \). Then root provides a solution \( e^{k_i s} \) that is linearly independent to the solutions provided by the other roots. That is, \( y(s) = c_1 e^{k_1 s} + c_2 e^{k_2 s} + \cdots + c_n e^{k_n s} \).

Case: Some roots of the characteristic polynomial are repeated roots. Repeated roots are associated with the phenomenon of resonance. A root that is repeated \( m \) times provides \( m \) linearly independent solutions \( p_m(x) e^{ks} \), where \( p_m(x) \) is polynomial of degree \( m \).

Integrating Factors
Suppose \( y'(x) + p(x)y(x) = f(x) \). Multiply by an integrating factor \( \mu(x) \) to get
\[
\mu(x)y'(x) + \mu(x)p(x)y(x) = \mu(x)f(x)
\]
The integrating factor is specifically chosen so that the left hand side is equivalent to \( (\mu(x)y(x))' \). That is, \( \mu'(x) = \mu(x)p(x) \), or equivalently,
\[
\mu(x) = e^{\int p(x)dx}.
\]

Variation of Parameters
Suppose a second order homogeneous ODE has solutions \( y_1(x) \) and \( y_2(x) \). Then the solution to the inhomogeneous problem with right hand side \( f(x) \) is given by
\[
y(x) = c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) + y_1(x) \int \frac{-y_2(x)f(x)}{W(x)} dx + y_2(x) \int \frac{y_1(x)f(x)}{W(x)} dx
\]