

Math 323: Homework 2 Solutions

David Glickenstein

January 28, 2013

1.11) Suppose p is the statement “Misty is a dog,” and q is the statement “Misty is a cat.” The following are expressed in symbols:

- a) Misty is not a cat, but she is a dog. $\sim q \wedge p$.
- b) Misty is a dog or a cat, but not both. $(p \vee q) \wedge (\sim (p \wedge q))$ or $(p \vee q) \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim q)$.
- c) Misty is a dog or a cat, but she is not a cat. $(p \vee q) \wedge \sim q$.
- d) If Misty is not a dog, then Misty is a cat. $\sim p \implies q$.
- e) Misty is a dog iff she is not a cat. $p \iff \sim q$.

1.14a)

p	q	$p \wedge q$	\iff	$q \wedge p$
T	T	T		T
T	F	F		F
F	T	F		F
F	F	F		F

1.14d)

p	q	r	$[p \vee (q \vee r)]$	\iff	$[(p \vee q) \vee r]$
T	T	T	T		T
T	T	F	T		T
T	F	T	T		T
T	F	F	T		T
F	T	T	T		T
F	T	F	T		T
F	F	T	T		F
F	F	F	F		F

1.14f)

p	q	r	$[p \vee (q \wedge r)]$	\iff	$[(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)]$
T	T	T	T		T
T	T	F	T		T
T	F	T	T		T
T	F	F	T		T
F	T	T	T		T
F	T	F	F		F
F	F	T	F		F
F	F	F	F		F

2.3c) The negation of “no even integer is prime” is “there exists an even integer that is prime.”

2.3d) The negation of “ $\exists x < 3 \ni x^2 \geq 10$ ” is “ $\forall x < 3, x^2 < 10$.”

2.3e) The negation of “ $\forall x$ in $A, \exists y < k \ni 0 < f(y) < f(x)$ ” is “ $\exists x$ in $A \ni \forall y < k, 0 \leq f(y)$ or $f(y) \geq f(x)$.”

2.3f) The negation of “If $n > N$, then $\forall x$ in S , $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ ” is “ $n > N$ and $\exists x$ in $S \ni |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon$,” if both n and N are defined somewhere else as particular numbers. Usually in this sort of statement, N is defined somewhere else and it should be true for all n , in which case the statement is really “for all $n > N$, $\forall x$ in S , $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ ” and the negation is “ $\exists n > N$ such that $\exists x$ in $S \ni |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon$.”

2.4a) The negation of “Some basketball players at Central High are short” is “All basketball players at Central High are tall.”

2.4e) The negation of “ $\forall x \ni 0 < x < 1$, $f(x) < 2$ or $f(x) > 5$ ” is “ $\exists x \ni 0 < x < 1$ and $2 \leq f(x) \leq 5$.”

2.4f) The negation of “If $x > 5$, then $\exists y > 0 \ni x^2 > 25 + y$ ” is “ $x > 5$ and $\forall y > 0$, $x^2 \leq 25 + y$ ” if x has been defined elsewhere. Alternatively, the statement really means “For all $x > 5$, $\exists y > 0 \ni x^2 > 25 + y$ ” and its negation is “There exists $x > 5$ such that $\forall y > 0$, $x^2 \leq 25 + y$.”

2.8) Which of the following best identifies f as a constant function, where x and y are real numbers:

- a) $\exists x \ni \forall y, f(x) = y$. No, this cannot happen for any function.
- b) $\forall x \exists y \ni f(x) = y$. No, this is true for any function whose domain is all real numbers.
- c) $\exists y \ni \forall x, f(x) = y$. Yes, this identifies a constant function.
- d) $\forall y \exists x \ni f(x) = y$. No, this says a function is onto (or surjective). Certainly it is not true for a constant function.

2.10a) “ $\exists x$ in $[3, 5] \ni x < 7$ ” is true. Take $x = 4$, for instance.

2.10b) “ $\forall x$ in $[3, 5], x \geq 4$ ” is false. Take $x = 3$, for instance.

2.10c) “ $\exists x \ni x^2 \neq 3$ ” is true. Take $x = 1$, for instance.

2.10d) “ $\forall x, x^2 \neq 3$ ” is false. Take $x = \sqrt{3}$, for instance.

2.10e) “ $\exists x \ni x^2 = -5$ ” is false, since $x^2 \geq 0$ for all real numbers x .

2.10f) “ $\forall x, x^2 = -5$ ” is false. In fact, by 2.10e there is not a single value of x for which $x^2 = -5$.

2.10g) “ $\exists x \ni x - x = 0$ ” is true. Take, for instance, $x = 0$.

2.10h) “ $\forall x, x - x = 0$ ” is true. This is a basic fact of arithmetic.

2.15) A function $f : A \rightarrow B$ is injective iff for every x and y in A , if $f(x) = f(y)$, then $x = y$. The defining condition is:

$$\forall x, y \in A, f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x = y.$$

The negation is:

$$\exists x, y \in A \ni f(x) = f(y) \wedge x \neq y.$$

2.17) A function $f : D \rightarrow R$ is continuous at $c \in D$ iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(c)| < \varepsilon$ whenever x is in D and $|x - c| < \delta$. The defining condition is

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, |x - c| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(c)| < \varepsilon$$

or

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \ni [(x \in D) \wedge |x - c| < \delta] \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(c)| < \varepsilon.$$

Note that in the latter formulation, it is understood that the implication is true “for all x .” Its negation is

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \ni \forall \delta > 0, \exists x \in D \ni [|x - c| < \delta \wedge |f(x) - f(c)| \geq \varepsilon].$$

Note that we need to have “there exists x ,” otherwise we assume it means “for all x ” which is not what we want! This is the case even if we consider the second statement, since the original statement certainly implies the statement is true for all x .