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moonshine

2. a. (n.) Appearance without substance; something unsubstantial or unreal; (now) esp. foolish or fanciful talk, ideas, plans, etc. Originally †moonshine in the water. . . . 1887 Spectator 3 Sept. 1173 As for all this talk about Federalism, it is moonshine. It means nothing practical at all.

2. (adj.) Vain, empty, foolish; worthless. rare. . . . 1668 H. MORE Divine Dialogues I. III. xxvi. 471 They are weak, abortive, Moon-shine Conceptions.

-Oxford English Dictionary [20]
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Introduction

A starting point in the theory of moonshine is the 1979 paper of J. H. Conway and S. P. Norton entitled “Monstrous Moonshine” [18]. It collects several seeming-coincidences about how the then conjectural Monster group, along with other sporadic finite simple groups like the Mathieu groups, relate to modular forms. The amazing fact was that these were not coincidences, but the beginnings of an unexpected link between the representation theory of finite groups and modular forms, a seemingly unrelated branch of number theory.

In the thirty years since this original paper, many more connections between modular forms and the Monster group, the Mathieu groups, and most of the other finite simple sporadic groups have been discovered. They are collectively referred to as moonshine. The connection between the Mathieu group $M_{24}$ and Hecke eigenforms which is the focus of this thesis was described by G. Mason in 1985 [17]. Significant progress was made in the 1990’s, and R. Borcherds won a Fields medal in 1998 in part for his work in proving Conway and Norton’s original conjectures. The proof opened up connections between number theory and representation theory with mathematical physics. Moonshine acts a bridge allowing knowledge about number theory or representation theory to illuminate aspects of the other field, and to explain apparent coincidences. (For surveys, see [3] and [10]). But despite being able to prove these connections, the central question remains unanswered: why do the Monster group and its relatives have anything to do with modular forms?

1. The History of Monstrous Moonshine

A look at the outlines of monstrous moonshine will help motivate the rest of this thesis which examines the aspects of moonshine relating to the Mathieu groups. The story, as related in [3], begins with the three equalities

\begin{align*}
1 &= 1 \\
196884 &= 196883 + 1 \\
21493760 &= 21296876 + 196883 + 1
\end{align*}

The numbers on the left of (1) come from the modular function $j(z)$. It is a function on the upper half plane $z \in H = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0 \}$ that appears in complex analysis and number theory as the prototypical example of a modular function, which is a function that transforms “nicely” so that $j(z) = j(z + 1) = j(-1/z)$. If we let $q = e^{2\pi i z}$, then $j(z)$ is expressible as a $q$–series with integer coefficients

\[ j(z) = q^{-1} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \ldots \]

The numbers on the right of (1) are associated to the Monster group. The Monster group is the largest of 26 sporadic simple groups. All the other simple groups, groups with only the one element subgroup and whole groups as normal subgroups, lie in infinite families
according to the classification of finite simple groups. The Monster contains
\[ 2^{46} \cdot 3^{20} \cdot 5^9 \cdot 7^6 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 41 \cdot 47 \cdot 59 \cdot 71 \]
elements, a 54 digit number. Its existence is a non-trivial fact: when the original moonshine
conjectures were made, mathematicians suspected its existence, and had been able to work
out its character table, but could not prove it actually existed. They did know that the
dimensions of the smallest irreducible representations would be 1, 196883, and 21296876.

When J. McKay first noticed these relationships, other mathematicians were sure they
were simply coincidences, so the subsequent theory took on the name moonshine, after foolish
and empty ideas.\(^1\) McKay and Thompson suggested that the explanation for these equalities
should lie in the existence of an infinite dimensional graded representation \( V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n \) of the
Monster group such that the series
\[ T_g(z) = \sum_n \text{tr}(g|V_n)q^n \]
is an “interesting” function for each element \( g \) of the Monster group. Taking the identity
element, the traces are simply the dimensions of the graded piece \( V_n \), which appeared to give
the coefficients of the \( q \)-expansion of the function \( j(z) \). If one only looked at the identity
element, each \( V_n \) could simply be copies of the trivial representation and still produce the \( j \) function, so the condition that \( T_g(z) \) be interesting for other elements of the Monster
group is crucial. Conway and Norton [18] proposed that all of the \( T_g(z) \) are special types
of modular functions called Hauptmodul, of which \( j(z) \) is an example. A Hauptmodul for
a subgroup \( \Gamma \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) is an isomorphism \( \Gamma \backslash H \to \mathbb{C} \) normalized so that its \( q \)-expansion
begins \( q^{-1} + O(1) \). More precisely, Conway and Norton conjectured the following, which
Borcherds proved:

**Conjecture 0.1.** There is an infinite dimensional graded representation \( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n \) of the
Monster group such that for any element \( g \) of the Monster group, the series \( T_g(z) \) is a
Hauptmodul for a genus 0 subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \).

A. O. L. Atkin, P. Fong, and S. D Smith were able to prove the existence of such a rep-
resentation through computer calculations, but shed no light onto what this representation
actually was. Frenkel, Lepowsky, and Meurman managed to find an explicit construction of
a representation so that \( T_1(z) = j(z) \), but it was not obvious that it satisfied the remaining
parts of the conjecture. Borcherds’ work showed that these two representations agreed.

## 2. The Mathieu Groups and Moonshine

In the mid 80’s, G. Mason attempted to understand monstrous moonshine by
looking for analogs of monstrous moonshine for smaller sporadic finite simple groups. The
Mathieu groups are the five smallest sporadic simple groups, and were known to Mathieu
and Frobenius in the 19th century. The largest of these, \( M_{24} \), contains \( 2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23 =
244823040 \) elements. It is denoted \( M_{24} \) because it arises as a permutation group for a 24
element set \( S \).

\(^1\)Moonshine also refers to whiskey, especially illegal whiskey, and there is alcohol in this story. When
A. Ogg noticed that the prime factors of the order of the Monster group are precisely those primes that
satisfy a condition in the theory of modular functions, he offered a bottle of Jack Daniels as a prize for an
explanation of this fact [14].
Mason realized that just as elements of the Monster group correspond to Hauptmodul, elements of $M_{24}$ correspond to Hecke eigenforms, a special type of modular form \([17]\). A building block for modular forms is the Dedekind $\eta$--function. To each element $g \in M_{24}$ is associated a product of $\eta$--functions $f_g$ based on how $g$ acts on $S$.

More precisely, Mason proceeded as follows. For $q = e^{2\pi iz}$, define the Dedekind $\eta$--function by

$$\eta(z) := q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n).$$

Now $g \in M_{24}$ permutes the set $S$, and so one can define the cycle shape of $g$ to be $1^{r(1)}2^{r(2)} \cdots 24^{r(24)}$ where $g$ acts as a product of $r(i)$ cycles of length $i$. Then define

$$f_g(z) = \prod_{d=1}^{24} \eta(dz)^{r(d)}$$

Furthermore, define the weight $k(g)$ to be half of the number of cycles of $g$ and the level $N(g)$ to be the product of the lengths of the longest and shortest cycles. The key observation will be the following relation to modular forms.

**Theorem 3.2.** For each $g \in M_{24}$, $f_g(z)$ is a cusp form and a Hecke eigenform, with weight $k(g)$, level $N(g)$, and a quadratic nebentypus character. The character is trivial if $k(g)$ is even.

Furthermore, each of the products $f_g(z)$ has a $q$--expansion

$$f_g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n(g)q^n$$

where each of the $\gamma_n$ is a class function on $M_{24}$ taking on integral values. In fact, each of the $\gamma_n$ are virtual characters, see Theorem 3.7. Because each of the $f_g(z)$ is a Hecke eigenform, the coefficients of the $q$--expansion are multiplicative so $\gamma_n \cdot \gamma_m = \gamma_{nm}$ for relatively prime $n$ and $m$. This interesting family of characters comes from an natural infinite dimensional graded virtual representation, described in another paper by Mason \([16]\), analogous to the module for the Monster.

To describe it, let $M$ be the standard 24--dimensional permutation representation of $M_{24}$. Let $\Lambda^r(M)$ denote the $r$th exterior power of $M$. Represent a partition $\lambda$ of $n$ by $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ where $\lambda_k$ is the number of times $k$ appears in the partition. Being a partition means that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\lambda_k = n$. Denote $\lambda$ being a partition of $n$ by $\lambda \lessdot n$. For example, $(1, 0, 1, 0) \lessdot 4$, since $4 = 3 + 1$. Define

$$\sigma(\lambda) := (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k}$$

and define

$$M_\lambda := \bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} \Lambda^{\lambda_k}(M).$$

We will see that these are the graded components of the virtual module.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let $V$ be the infinite dimensional graded virtual module

$$V = \oplus V_n \quad \text{where} \quad V_n = \sum_{\lambda \lessdot (n-1)} \sigma(\lambda)M_\lambda.$$
Then $V$ explains the family of characters $\gamma_n$ in the sense that for $g \in M_{24}$

$$\text{tr}(g|V_n) = \gamma_n(g).$$

The bulk of this thesis will explain Mason’s results summarized above. It aims to be accessible to anyone who has studied mathematics at an undergraduate level. The main prerequisites which are not reviewed are basic group theory and complex analysis. Chapter 1 is devoted to reviewing the necessary representation theory, constructing the Mathieu groups via Steiner systems, and understanding the representation theory of the Mathieu groups, especially the conjugacy classes and permutation representation. Chapter 2 defines modular forms and focuses on properties and examples of Hecke eigenforms. Chapter 3 deals with Mason’s results directly, proving Theorems 3.2 and 3.11 and illustrating how the bridge of moonshine between representation theory and number theory can be used to better understand both.
CHAPTER 1

Representation Theory of $M_{24}$

The Mathieu groups $M_{11}$, $M_{12}$, $M_{22}$, $M_{23}$, and $M_{24}$ are the simplest of the sporadic finite simple groups. The goal of this section is to provide the background material from representation theory, the construction of the Mathieu groups, and the relevant information about the character table and conjugacy classes of $M_{24}$ in preparation for a discussion of moonshine.

1. Facts from Representation Theory

The motivating idea behind representation theory is to reduce the problem of understanding a group to that of understanding its image in a group of linear transformations of a finite dimensional vector space. These can be understood using the techniques of linear algebra and in turn can give group theoretic information. An excellent summary for basic representation theory is Serre’s *Linear Representations of Finite Groups* [24].

1.1. Virtual Representations, Modules, and Characters. The only representations necessary here will be of the following type:

**Definition 1.1.** Let $G$ be a finite group and $V$ an $n$ dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. A representation of $G$ on $V$ is a homomorphism $\rho : G \to \text{GL}(V)$.

Recall that this data is the same as making $V$ a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ module.

The character of a representation is the trace of the homomorphism $\rho$. A representation is irreducible if it has no non-trivial vector subspaces that are fixed under the action of $G$. The character of an irreducible representation is said to be irreducible. The following basic fact is proved in Serre [24]:

**Proposition 1.2.** Every representation splits into a direct sum of irreducible representations. In terms of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ modules, this means that every representation is semisimple.

The irreducible characters of $G$ form an orthonormal basic for the the space of complex valued class functions on $G$ with the inner product

$$ (f, f') := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \overline{f'(g)} $$

In addition to the basic theory of ordinary representations and characters, the theory of moonshine requires a basic understanding of virtual representation and virtual characters, as discussed in the appendix of Serre [24]. A virtual representation (or virtual module) is nothing more than formal $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of $G$-representations. They are elements of the Grothendieck group for the category of finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[G]$ modules. The Grothendieck group is an Abelian group generated by $[M]$ where $M$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[G]$ module, with the relation that $[M_2] = [M_1] + [M_3]$ for each short exact sequence

$$ 0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3 \to 0 $$


Since all \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) modules are semi-simple, they split into direct sums of simple modules (irreducible representations) and hence the Grothendieck group is precisely a free Abelian group generated by the irreducible representations of \( G \). The Grothendieck group can be made into a ring: the product of two finitely generated \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) modules is their tensor product over \( \mathbb{C}[G] \).

A virtual character is simply a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-linear combination of the irreducible characters. To a virtual representation one can associate a virtual character by replacing each representation in the formal linear combination by its character.

### 1.2. Tensor Products and Exterior Products.

A standard way to construct new representations is by taking tensor products. If \( M \) is a representation of \( \Lambda^r \mathbb{C}[G] \) and \( \chi \) is a character of \( \Lambda^r \mathbb{C}[G] \), then \( \chi \) becomes a virtual representation of \( \Lambda^r \mathbb{C}[G] \) by a linear transformation which has eigenbasis \( \{ e_i \} \) with eigenvalues \( \{ \lambda_i \} \). Then the character of \( \Lambda^r \mathbb{C}[G] \) evaluated at \( g \) equals

\[
\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_r \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \ldots \lambda_{i_r}
\]

In particular, this equals the \( r \)th elementary symmetric polynomial evaluated on the eigenvalues \( \{ \lambda_i \} \).

**Proof.** This follows from picking an eigenbasis for \( \Lambda^r \mathbb{C}[G] \) given by \( e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_r} \) with \( 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_r \leq n \) and by the definition of the elementary symmetric polynomials. \( \square \)

In the case \( r = 2 \), Section 2.1 of Serre [24] describes the standard decomposition of \( M \otimes M \) into \( \text{Sym}^2(M) \oplus \Lambda^2(M) \), where \( \text{Sym}^2(M) \) is the subspace of \( M \otimes M \) generated by \( m_1 \otimes m_2 + m_2 \otimes m_1 \). In particular, if \( \chi \) is the character associated to \( M \) then the character of \( \Lambda^2(M) \) is

\[
\chi_{\text{alt}}(g) = \frac{1}{2} (\chi(g)^2 - \chi(g^2)).
\]

### 1.3. Induced Representations and Permutation Representations.

Another way to construct new representations is through extending representations from subgroups to the whole group, or by restricting representations to subgroups.

**Definition 1.4.** Let \( H \leq G \) and let \( W \) be a representation of \( G \). Then the restriction of \( W \) to \( H \) is given by viewing \( W \) as an \( \mathbb{C}[H] \) module, and is denoted by \( \text{Res}_H^G(W) \).

It is obvious this is a representation of \( H \). Going in the other direction, one can construct a representation of the whole group through extension of scalars.

**Definition 1.5.** Let \( W \) be a representation for a subgroup \( H \leq G \). The induced representation \( \text{Ind}_H^G(W) \) is defined to be \( \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} W \).
An alternate description is in terms of left cosets. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ with $H$ represented on a vector space $W$. If $R$ is a system of representatives for $G/H$, then $\text{Ind}_G^H(W)$ is a direct sum $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in R} W_{\sigma H}$, where each $W_{\sigma}$ is a copy of $W$. Writing element $g \in G$ as $\sigma h$ with $h \in H$ and $\sigma \in R$, $g$ acts on $\text{Ind}_G^H(W)$ by sending $w \in W_{\tau H}$ to $h(w) \in W_{\sigma \tau H}$.

It is also useful to understand the character of an induced representation.

**Theorem 1.6.** If $\chi$ is the character of a representation of $H \subset G$ acting on $W$, then the character of $\text{Ind}_G^H(W)$ is

$$\text{Ind}_G^H(\chi)(g') := \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G \atop g^{-1} g' g \in H} \chi(g^{-1} g' g)$$

**Proof.** This is Theorem 12 of Serre [24].

A special kind of representation is a permutation representation. Suppose a group $G$ acts on a finite set $S$. Using the set $S$ as a index set for a basis of an $|S|$ dimensional vector space $V$, $V$ becomes a representation of $G$ by defining $g e_s$ by $e_{g(s)}$. Such a representation is a permutation representation, and the associated module is called a permutation module. The trace of a permutation representation evaluated at $g$ is simply the number of fixed points of the action of $g$ on $S$. This implies that not all representations are permutation representations: for some groups like $C_n$ for $n \geq 3$, not all characters take on integral values.

**Example 1.7.** Taking $S = G$ with the group acting on the left, the associated permutation representation is the regular representation of $G$. Taking $S$ to be a single point gives the trivial representation.

**Example 1.8.** If $H$ is a subgroup $G$ which acts on a one dimensional space trivially, the induced representation is simply the permutation representation associated with the action of $G$ on the finite set of cosets $G/H$.

Although not every permutation representation is induced from a trivial representation of a subgroup, there is the following partial result.

**Proposition 1.9.** A transitive permutation representation is induced from the trivial representation on the stabilizer of a point $H$.

**Proof.** This can be proved using characters. Let $\chi$ be the character associated to the transitive permutation representation, and $H$ the stabilizer of a point. Let $g_\alpha$ be coset representatives for $G/H$ corresponding to the points $\alpha$. By Theorem 1.6,

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(1_H)(g) = \sum_{\alpha, g_\alpha^{-1} g_\alpha \in H} 1 = \sum_{g_\alpha^{-1} g_\alpha = 1} 1 = \sum_{g_\alpha = g_\alpha^1 = g_\alpha} 1 = \chi(g)$$

since the number of fixed points of a permutation representation is its trace.

Permutation representations also naturally give embeddings of a group inside a permutation group, allowing discussion of the cycle shape of an element.
1. REPRESENTATION THEORY OF M_{24}

Definition 1.10. Given an embedding $G \hookrightarrow S_n$, the cycle shape of $g \in G$ is said to be $\gamma(1) \gamma(2) \ldots \gamma(n)$ if there are $\gamma(i)$ cycles of length $i$ in the standard cycle notation for $g \in S_n$. The cycles of length 1 can be omitted from the description.

Note that this depends on the embedding: however, in the case of the Mathieu groups there is a natural embedding of $M_n \hookrightarrow S_n$ that is understood.

1.4. Reduction to Subgroups. One way to understand representations of a group $G$ is to understand the representations of its subgroups. The first example of this sort of theorem is Frobenius reciprocity.

Theorem 1.11 (Frobenius Reciprocity). Let $H < G$, $\psi$ be a class function of $H$ and $\phi$ a class function of $G$. Then

$$(\psi, \text{Res}_H^G(\phi))_H = (\text{Ind}_H^G(\psi), \phi)_G.$$ 

Proof. This follows from the fact that class functions are linear combinations of characters, that for characters $\chi_1, \chi_2$ with representations $V$ and $W$, 

$$\dim \text{Hom}_G(V, W) = (\chi_1, \chi_2),$$

and from the adjointness of Hom and tensor products. The full details are in section 7.2 of Serre [24].

It also useful to study whether combinations of characters induced from special subgroups are enough to produce all characters of $G$. Two general theorems along these lines are Artin’s theorem and Brauer’s theorem. The proofs are found in Chapters 9 and 10 of Serre [24].

Theorem 1.12 (Artin). Every character of a group $G$ is a rational linear combination of characters induced from characters of cyclic subgroups of $G$.

A subgroup $H$ of $G$ is said to be $p$–elementary if $H = A \times B$ with $A$ cyclic of order prime to $p$ and $B$ a $p$-group. A subgroup $H$ is said to be elementary if it is $p$–elementary for some prime.

Theorem 1.13 (Brauer). Every character of a group $G$ is an integral linear combination of characters induced from characters of elementary subgroups of $G$.

We will need a specific kind of reduction that applies to the symmetric groups.

Proposition 1.14. Every character of $S_n$ is of the form $\sum a_i \text{Ind}_{H_i}^{S_n}(1)$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

This result depends on the very concrete description of the representation theory of $S_n$ in terms of Young diagrams. A concise exposition, without proofs, is found in Zhao [30]. The proofs can be found in Chapter 7 of Fulton [9].

Definition 1.15. A partition of $n$ is a decomposition $n = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i$ where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_m \geq 1$.

To each partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$, associate a Young diagram which consists of $\lambda_i$ boxes in the $i$th row.

A Young tableau is an assignment of the integers $1, 2, \ldots, n$ to the boxes of a Young diagram of shape $\lambda$.

A Young tabloid is an equivalence class of Young tableaux under row-equivalence: two tableaux are equivalent if each row contains the same elements.
These definitions are illustrated in Figure 1. The group $S_n$ acts on the set of Young tabloids of shape $\lambda$ in the obvious manner. Denote the corresponding representation by $M^\lambda$.

**Figure 1. Young Tableaux**
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**Lemma 1.16.** For a permutation $\lambda$ of $n$, the permutation representation $M^\lambda$ is transitive. In particular, it is of the form $\text{Ind}^S_nH(1)$ for $H < S_n$.

**Proof.** Transitivity is clear from the definition. Proposition 1.9 shows that it is induced from the trivial representation. \qed

Young tableaux can also be used to construct the irreducible representations of $S_n$.

**Definition 1.17.** For a tableau $t$, define $C_t$ to be the group of permutations that only permutes elements within each column of $t$. Define

$$e_t = \sum_{\pi \in C_t} \text{sign}(\pi)\pi(t)$$

The Specht module, denoted $S^\lambda$, is the submodule of $M^\lambda$ spanned by $e_t$ where $t$ ranges over all tableaux of shape $\lambda$.

**Theorem 1.18.** The $S^\lambda$ where $\lambda$ ranges over partitions of $n$ form a complete list of irreducible representations of $S_n$. $S^\lambda$ appears in $M^\lambda$ with multiplicity one.

**Proof.** A proof is found in Section 7.2 of Fulton [9]. \qed

The last standard result we will need is about which other irreducible representations appear in $M^\lambda$. The first step is to define a partial ordering on partitions of $n$. 

![Young Tableau](image2)
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Definition 1.19. For partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ of $n$, let $\mu \geq \lambda$ if

$$\mu_1 + \ldots + \mu_i \geq \lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_i$$

for every $i$. ($\mu_i$ or $\lambda_i$ are set to be 0 if $i > n$ or $i > m$ respectively.)

This ordering determines which irreducible representations appear in $M^\mu$.

Theorem 1.20. For partitions $\mu$ and $\lambda$ of $n$, $M^\mu$ contains $S^\lambda$ if and only if $\lambda \geq \mu$.

Proof. Again, a proof is contained in Section 7.2 of Fulton [9].

This knowledge about the Specht modules allows an easy proof of Proposition 1.14. Note it suffices to prove the assertion for irreducible representations, which are exactly the Specht modules. Note that this partition is maximal with respect to the partial ordering. Suppose that the assertion holds for all $S^\lambda$ with $\lambda > \mu$. Then the only irreducible representations in $M^\mu$ are $S^\mu$ with multiplicity one and the $S^\lambda$ for $\lambda > \mu$ by Theorem 1.20. It follows that for integers $c_\lambda$ we have

$$S^\mu = M^\mu - \sum_{\lambda \geq \mu} c_\lambda S^\lambda.$$ 

But each $S^\lambda$ is a integral linear combination of representations of the form $\text{Ind}_{H_i}^{S_n}(1)$ by hypothesis, and $M^\mu$ itself is of this form by Lemma 1.16, so $S^\mu$ is as well.

Example 1.21. If $n = 4$ then $\lambda = (4)$ and $\mu = (3, 1)$ are partitions of 4. $M^\lambda$ is the trivial representation, since the all of the boxes are in the same row and hence the only tabloid is fixed by the action of $S_n$. On the other hand, $M^\mu$ is a four dimensional representation since a tabloid is uniquely determined by the element in the box in the second row, and the action of $S_4$ is the standard permutation action. The associated Specht module is three dimensional, and spanned by $e_1 - e_i$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$ (with the action of $\pi \in S_4$ still given by $\pi(e_i) = e_{\pi(i)}$). Thus in this case $S^{(3,1)} = M^{(3,1)} - S^4$.

2. Constructions of $M_{12}$

The goal of this section will be to construct $M_{12}$, a first example of a sporadic finite simple group. The most important feature of $M_{12}$ is its action on a set of 12 points.

2.1. Transitive Actions. A group $G$ acts on a set $S$ via a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S)$. The map $\sigma$ is almost always suppressed, so $\sigma(g)x$ is written $gx$.

- The action is faithful if $\sigma$ is injective, i.e. only the identity element of $G$ acts by the identity permutation on $S$.
- The action is transitive if for any $x, y \in S$ there exists a $g \in G$ such that $gx = y$.
- The action is $n$-transitive if for every pair $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ of $n$ tuples with distinct entries, there exists a $g \in G$ such that $gx_i = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- The action is $n$-homogeneous if for every set pair of sets of $n$ points, there exists a $g \in G$ that sends that sends one set to the other.
- The action is sharply $n$-transitive if it is $n$–transitive and only the identity fixes $n$ distinct elements of $S$.
- If the action of $G$ on $S$ is sharply $n$–transitive and faithful, then

$$|G| = |S| \cdot (|S| - 1) \cdot \ldots \cdot (|S| - n + 1).$$
These standard facts are found in Chapter 9, Section 1, of Rotman [22].

**Example 1.22.** The standard action of $S_n$ on the set of $n$ elements is sharply $n$ transitive.

**Example 1.23.** As detailed in Chapter 9 of Rotman [22], the group $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$, defined to be the projectivization of two by two matrices with entries in the finite field with 11 elements and nonzero determinant, acts on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$ by viewing a point with homogeneous coordinates $[x_1, x_2]$ as a vector in $\mathbb{F}_{11}^2$ and acting by matrix multiplication. This can be shown to be a sharply three transitive group action. The group $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$, consisting of the image in $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$ of two by two matrices with determinant 1, also acts on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$. It is not 3-transitive, but it is 3-homogeneous.

The most important property of group $M_{12}$ is its action. We will show the following:

**Theorem 1.24.** There exists a sharply 5-transitive group $M_{12}$ acting on a set of 12 elements. The group has order $12 \cdot 11 \cdot 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8$.

There are many ways to construct the Mathieu groups. Different approaches are given in detail in Rotman [22] and Dixon and Mortimer [7]. Many different methods are summarized in the Atlas’s entry on the Mathieu groups [5]. The method here is based around Steiner systems, and begins with the outer automorphism of $S_6$.

### 2.2. The Outer Automorphism of $S_6$.

**Definition 1.25.** An inner automorphism of a group $G$ is an automorphism of $G$ given by conjugation. An outer automorphism is an automorphism that is not inner.

**Example 1.26.** The map $f : S_6 \to S_6$ sending $\sigma$ to $(12)^{-1} \sigma (12)$ is an inner automorphism of $S_6$. It, and any other inner automorphism, must send a transposition to a transposition.

The surprising fact is that $S_6$ has an outer automorphism, unlike any other symmetric group. It arises through an exceptional action of $S_6$ on a 6 point set. The matrix group $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ acts on a 6 point set $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_5}$, and hence is a subgroup of $S_6$. The action is faithful and sharply three transitive: for a proof see Theorem 9.48 of Rotman [22]. Now $|\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)| = 24 \cdot 20$, the number of ways to pick a nonzero vector in $\mathbb{F}_5^2$ and to pick a second vector outside the span of the first. Thus $|\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)| = 24 \cdot 20/4 = 24 \cdot 5$. On the other hand, $|S_6| = 6!$, so the index of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ in $S_6$ is 6. Therefore $S_6$ acts on the 6 cosets $S_6/\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$: for $\sigma, \tau \in S_6$, $\sigma \cdot (\tau \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)) = (\sigma \tau) \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$. In particular, this gives an homomorphism $\rho$ from $S_6$ to $\text{Aut}(S_6/\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)) \simeq S_6$.

**Proposition 1.27.** The map $\rho$ is an outer automorphism of $S_6$.

**Proof.** To show $\rho$ is an automorphism, it suffices to check it is injective. Let $H$ be the kernel of $\rho$. Since $A_6$ is the only proper normal subgroup of $S_6$, $H$ is either $\{1\}$, $S_6$, or $A_6$. However, a direct calculation can rule out the last two. A set of coset representatives for $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ in $S_6$ are given by $()$, $(1 2)$, $(1 3)$, $(2 3)$, $(1 2 3)$, $(1 3 2)$ because any element in $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ that fixes the three points 0, 4, $\infty$ is the identity. The element $(1 2 3) \in S_6$ acts as two three cycles, permuting $(1 2 3)$ and $(1 3 2)$ and permuting $(2 3)$, $(1 2)$, and $(1 3)$. Since $(1 2 3)$ is even and does not lie in the kernel, the kernel is trivial. Furthermore, since the image of $(1 2 3)$ is not a three cycle, the automorphism must be outer, since any inner automorphism sends a three cycle to a three cycle. \[\square\]
Remark 1.28. $S_n$ has no outer automorphisms for $n \neq 6$. Any outer automorphism sends the conjugacy class of transpositions to a conjugacy class of order 2 with the same number of elements. For $n \neq 6$, there does not exist a different conjugacy class with the proper number of elements (Theorem 7.5 of Rotman [22]).

It will be useful to understand what $\rho$ does to cycles.

Lemma 1.29. The automorphism $\rho$ sends a transposition to an element of shape $2^3$. It sends a three cycle to an element of shape $3^2$. Furthermore, $\rho$ sends a four cycle to a four cycle and an element of cycle shape $123$ to a six cycle.

Figure 2. $S_6$ acting by its outer automorphism

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{S_6 acting by its outer automorphism}
\end{figure}

Proof. Figure 2 shows the action of a specific transposition and a three cycle. Note that all transpositions and three cycles act with the same pattern since they are conjugates.

Both the 4 cycle and the element of shape 23 are a composition of a transposition $\tau$ and a three cycle $\sigma$. Since $\rho(\sigma)$ is a product of two three cycles and $\rho(\tau)$ is of shape $2^3$, $\rho(\tau\sigma)$ is determined by the way $\rho(\tau)$ interacts with the two sets of points induced by $\rho(\sigma)$. If it interchanged the two three cycles, the composition would be a 6 cycle. Otherwise, the transposition interchanges two points within each three cycles, and hence the composition fixes two points. In the case of an element of order four, the last case must occur and the image must be of order four, so $\rho(\tau\sigma)$ is a four cycle. For an element of shape 23, the image must be of order 6 and so is a six cycle.

2.3. The Steiner System $S(5, 6, 12)$. The exceptional outer automorphism of $S_6$ yields a construction of the exceptional Steiner system of type $S(5, 6, 12)$.

Definition 1.30. Let $1 < t < k < v$ be integers. A Steiner system of type $S(t, k, v)$ consists of the pair $(S, \mathcal{B})$, with $S$ a finite set with $v$ elements, and $\mathcal{B}$ a family of $k$ element subsets of $S$ such that every $t$ elements of $S$ lie in a unique block $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Example 1.31. The set of lines in an affine or projective plane over a finite field with $q$ elements give Steiner systems of type $S(2, q, q^2)$ and $S(2, q + 1, q^2 + q + 1)$.

The Steiner systems of type $S(5, 6, 12)$ is exceptional because it does not fall into any known infinite family. Determining whether any Steiner systems exist for a given set of parameters is an open problem.
Let $S$ be a set of 12 points, 6 labeled 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, $\infty$ and the other 6 labeled by cosets of $S_6/\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$. Let the points be denoted by $T$ and the cosets by $T'$. Let $S_6$ act on $T$ via the usual action and on $T'$ via the outer automorphism. The action of $(1 \ 2)$ and $(1 \ 2 \ 3)$ are pictured in Figure 3.

**PROPOSITION 1.32.** Let $\mathcal{B}$ consist of the following subsets of $S$:

1. For a transposition $\tau \in S_6$, the two elements of $T$ that are interchanged by action of $\tau$, plus two of the pairs of the cosets switched by the action.
2. For a transposition $\tau \in S_6$, the four elements of $T$ fixed by the action of $\tau$, plus one of the three pairs of cosets switched.
3. For a three cycle $\sigma \in S_6$, the three elements of $T$ permuted by the action of $S_6$, and one of the two cycles of $T'$.
4. $T$ and $T'$.

The set $S$ along with $\mathcal{B}$ form a Steiner system of type $S(5, 6, 12)$.

The following lemmas are useful in proving this:

**LEMMA 1.33.** Consider the three pairs of cosets induced by the action of $(1 \ 2)$. No three cycle of the form $(1 \ 2 \ p)$ for $p \neq 1, 2 \in T$ sends a coset to the coset it is paired with. Furthermore, for any three cosets, one from each pair, there is a unique $p$ such that the action of $(1 \ 2 \ p)$ permutes them.

**PROOF.** It is possible to prove this purely mechanically through direct calculation and checking which permutations arise through fractional linear transformations of $\mathbb{F}_5$. Alternatively, suppose $p = 4$ ($p = 3$ is obvious from Figure 3, and the other cases are identical to the case $p = 4$). Consider the action of $(1 \ 2 \ 4)(1 \ 2) = (1 \ 4)$: since it is a transposition it pairs up the three cosets. However, if the action of $(1 \ 2 \ 4)$ sent a coset to its pair under $(1 \ 2)$, then the action of the composition would fix a coset, a contradiction.

Furthermore, there are 8 triples of cosets induced by the four three-cycles $(1 \ 2 \ p)$. There are 8 ways to pick three cosets one from each pair, so it suffices to show no two three-cycles identify the cosets in the same way. Suppose $(1 \ 2 \ p)$ and $(1 \ 2 \ q)$ did. Then either $(1 \ 2 \ p)(1 \ 2 \ q)$ or $(1 \ 2 \ p)(1 \ q \ 2)$ will fix three of the triples. However, the composition is a product of two disjoint transpositions. The outer automorphism of $S_6$ fixes the conjugacy class of two disjoint transpositions, so the action of the composition fixes at exactly 2 cosets, a contradiction. \qed
Lemma 1.34. There exists a permutation of $S$ of order 2 that switches $T$ and $T'$ and sends blocks to blocks.

Proof. Such a permutation is given by
\[(1)(2 (1 2))(3 (1 3))(4 (1 2 3))(0 (1 3 2))(\infty (2 3)).\]
A computer can quickly verify that it sends blocks to blocks.

A conceptual way to see this will be presented by giving a second construction of $S(5, 6, 12)$ involving $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$, along with an explanation of why this is presented now. □

The proof of Proposition 1.32 now proceeds by cases.

Proof. Given 5 points, we must show a unique block contains them.

If all five points are elements of $T'$, then the 6 points of $T'$ form a block containing them. Inspection of the other cases shows that no other blocks contain five points.

If only one point is an element of $T$, then the only possible kind of block that can contain the five points is a block of type 1. There is a unique transposition $(1 p)$ that swaps the two unselected cosets. Let the unselected cosets be $H$ and $H'$. There are 5 possible transpositions of the form $(1 p)$, and 5 cosets for $H$ to be sent to. If $(1 p)$ and $(1 q)$ send $H$ to the same coset, then $(1 p)(1 q)$ fixes it $H$. But $(1 p)(1 q) = (1 q p)$ does not fix any coset. Thus no two transpositions $(1 p)$ send $H$ to the same coset, so one must send it to $H'$. This shows the five points are contained in a unique block.

If two points are elements of $T$, look at the transposition flipping the two of them. For concreteness, assume the points are 1 and 2. There are three cosets. $(1 2)$ pairs up the cosets. If two of the three selected cosets lie in the same pair, a block from condition 1 exists containing all 5 points. No block from condition 2 or 4 contains all 5. No block from condition 3 can contain the 5 points by Lemma 1.33 since two of the three cosets lie in the same pairing under $(1 2)$. Otherwise, if no two of the cosets are paired by $(1 2)$, there is a three cycle $(1 2 p)$ which identifies the three cosets by Lemma 1.33. The block consisting of the three cosets and 1, 2, and $p$ works. It is clear no other block can contain the 5 points.

If 3 or more points are elements of $T$, then applying the map of Lemma 1.34 reduces it to one of the above cases.

This completes the proof that $S$ and $B$ form a Steiner system of type $S(5, 6, 12)$. □

The existence of the Steiner system of type $S(5, 6, 12)$ implies the existence of smaller Steiner systems.

Proposition 1.35. If $(S, B)$ is a Steiner system of type $S(t, k, v)$, define $S'$ to be $S - \{p\}$ and $B'$ to be $\{B - \{p\} : B \in B$ and $p \in B\}$. Then $(S', B')$ is a Steiner system of type $S(t - 1, k - 1, v - 1)$.

Proof. Given $t - 1$ points of $S'$, there is a unique element of $B$ containing them and the point $p$. This block corresponds to a block of $B'$ containing the $t - 1$ points. □

In particular, contracting the Steiner system of type $S(5, 6, 12)$ gives a Steiner system of type $S(4, 5, 11)$.

Definition 1.36. An automorphism of a Steiner system is a permutation of its points which sends each block to a block. Two Steiner systems are said to be isomorphic if there exists a bijection between their sets that sends blocks to blocks.

The Mathieu group $M_{12}$ is defined to be the automorphism group of $S(5, 6, 12)$. 

The Mathieu group $M_{11}$ is defined to be the automorphism group of $S(4, 5, 11)$.

This definition of $M_{12}$ clearly show the action on a 12 point set. However, it is far from clear that the action is sharply 5−transitive, or even that there are many elements at all in $M_{12}$. One permutation that obviously lies in $M_{12}$ is the permutation of order 2 from Lemma 1.34 which has cycle shape $2^6$. A second class arise from the action of $S_6$.

**Proposition 1.37.** *The permutations that arise from $S_6$ acting on $S$ are automorphisms of the Steiner system and hence lie in $M_{12}$.*

**Proof.** Since $S_6$ is generated by the transpositions, it suffices to check that the action of a transposition preserves the block structure. It suffices to check this for the transposition $(1 2)$. This transposition’s action clearly fixes $T$ and $T'$. It is also clear that it preserves the blocks from conditions 1 and 2 arising from $(1 2)$.

Now consider a block arising from the transposition $(1 p)$, where $p \neq 1, 2$. The coset $\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ is paired with $(1 p)\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$. A block that consists of 1, $p$ and two pairs of $T'$ is sent by $(1 2)$ to 2, $p$, and four points of $T'$. They are paired, for $\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ and $(1 p)\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ are sent to
\[
(1 2)\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) \quad \text{and} \quad (1 2)(1 p)\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) = (2 p)(1 2)\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)
\]
which are paired by $(p q)$. Thus the blocks arising from condition 1 and 2 for $(1 p)$ are preserved.

A transposition $(p q)$ with $p, q \neq 1, 2$ commutes with $(1 2)$, and hence the transposition $(1 2)$ respects the pairing induced by $(p q)$. This implies the blocks from condition 1 and 2 are preserved.

A three cycle $(1 2 p)$ creates two blocks by condition 3. By Lemma 1.33, the action of $(1 2)$ flips these two blocks, and so preserves the block structure.

A three cycle $(p q r)$ with $p, q, r \neq 1, 2$ also creates two blocks. It commutes with $(1 2)$, and hence the action of $(1 2)$ preserves the two three cycles $(p q r)$ induces on $T'$. Since $(1 2)$ fixes the three points $p, q, r \in T$, this means it sends blocks of this type to blocks.

A three cycle $(1 p q)$ with $p, q \neq 1, 2$ creates two blocks. The transposition $(1 2)$ sends the points 1, $p, q$ to 2, $p, q$. $(1 2)$ sends the three cosets $\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, $(1 p q)\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, and $(1 q p)\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ to $(1 2)\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, $(1 2)(1 p q)\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) = (2 p q)(1 2)\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, and $(2 q p)(1 2)\sigma \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$. These three cosets, along with the points 2, $p, q$, form a block through condition 3. Thus $(1 p q)$ preserves the block structure of the Steiner system.

This verifies that $(1 2)$ preserves the block structure of $S(5, 6, 12)$. The same proof works for any transposition, so the permutations induced by the action of $S_6$ on $S$ lie in the Mathieu group.

There are many alternate constructions of a Steiner system $S(5, 6, 12)$ that easily give other pieces of information about $M_{12}$. The choice of construction is irrelevant, because:

**Proposition 1.38.** *All Steiner systems of type $S(5, 6, 12)$ are isomorphic. In particular, $M_{12}$ is well defined.*

This proof is adapted from the proof found in Chapter IV Section 2 of Beth, Jungnickel, and Lenz [2]. The key idea is to contract the Steiner system three times, ending up with a Steiner system of type $S(2, 3, 9)$, which is an affine plane. By understanding the geometry of this plane one shows that $S(5, 6, 12)$ is uniquely determined. The first step is to show that Steiner systems of type $S(2, 3, 9)$ are unique up to isomorphism.
Figure 4. Leech triangle for $M_{12}$

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
30 & 36 & 30 & \\
12 & 18 & 18 & 12 \\
4 & 8 & 10 & 8 & 4 \\
1 & 3 & 5 & 5 & 3 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

Lemma 1.39. All Steiner systems of type $S(2, 3, 9)$ are isomorphic to a two dimensional affine plane over $\mathbb{F}_3$.

Proof. Pick a block $B$ of a Steiner system of type $S(2, 3, 9)$. Let $p$ be a point not in this block. $p$ induces a pairing on the remaining 6 points based on which pairs of points are in a block with $p$. Three pairs include a point of $B$ and a point not in $B$, while the remaining pair comes from a block $B'$ consisting of 3 points not in $B$. Let $q$ be a point not in $B$ or $B'$. Again, there is a block $B''$ disjoint from $B$ containing $q$. It cannot intersect $B'$ in two points since there is a unique block through any two points. Suppose it intersects $B'$ in a single point $p'$. Then pairing the points outside of $B$ based on the point $p'$, the $B' - \{p'\}$ and $B'' - \{p'\}$ are paired by hypothesis. This means that the sixth point outside of $B$ must be paired with a point of $B$, but then that two points of $B$ must be paired. This violates the assumption that through any two points there is a unique block. Thus there are blocks disjoint $B'$ and $B''$ that partition the set of 9 blocks.

Referring to the blocks as lines, this says there are four parallel classes of lines in this Steiner system, corresponding to the 4 lines through a fixed point $p$. (There are $12 = \binom{9}{2} / \binom{3}{2}$ lines total.) Pick one parallel class, and write down the lines in three rows. Rearrange within each row so that each column is a line. Given any two points not in the same row or column, there is a unique point that is in the third row and column. This is the only point that can be on the line joining these two points, since any two points must determine a unique line. This matches the description of the lines in an affine plane. □

To prove Proposition 1.38, the first step is to use the Leech triangle to extract information about the intersection of blocks based solely on the combinatorial properties of $S(5, 6, 12)$. Fix a block $S$, subsets $S_i \subset S$ with $|S_i| = i$ and $S_i \subset S_{i+1}$. The Leech triangle is defined so that the $i$th number in the $n$th row is the number of blocks $B$ such that $S_i = S_n \cap B$. This turns out to be easy to calculate. The fact that the number of blocks containing $S_i$ is the number of ways to pick $5 - i$ more points (which is $\binom{12-i}{5-i} / \binom{6-i}{5-i}$) gives the right hand side of the triangle. Note that this is independent of the choice of $S_i$. The number of blocks meeting $S_n$ in exactly $S_i$ is the number of blocks meeting $S_{n+1}$ in $S_i$ plus the number of blocks meeting $S_{n+1}$ in $S_{i+1}$. Then this relation along with the rightmost column completely determines the Leech triangle, and is independent of the choices made. The whole triangle is shown in Figure 4. In particular, the triangle tells that the since no blocks intersect in 1 point the complement of a block must be a block.

Now let $D = (S, B)$ be a Steiner system of type $S(5, 6, 12)$, and $D' = (S', B')$ be the contraction at three points $\infty_1, \infty_2$ and $\infty_3$. It is of type $S(2, 3, 9)$, so is an affine plane by Lemma 1.39. The 132 blocks of $D$ are of the following types:
2. CONSTRUCTIONS OF $M_{12}$

(1) 12 blocks of the form $L \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\}$ where $L$ is a line of $D'$.
(2) 12 blocks that the union of two parallel lines of $D'$, the complement of the blocks in 1.
(3) Blocks of the form $A \cup \{\infty_i, \infty_j\}$ where $i \neq j$ and $A$ is a four element subset of $S'$ with no three points colinear.
(4) Complements of blocks in 3, which are sets $\{\infty_i\} \cup L \cup M$ where $L$ and $M$ are lines of $D'$ that intersect in a point.

Since there 132 blocks total and the number of blocks arising from 3 and 4 are equal, there 54 of each of these types. Call the union of two non-parallel lines in $D'$ a counterval.

Observe that the countervalos $O$ are subdivided into three classes $A_i$ based on which $\infty_i$ to add so that $A \cup \{\infty_i\} \in B$. There are 18 for each choice of $\infty_i$. If two countervalos are in the same class, then they cannot intersect in exactly four points, for then two blocks of $D$ would intersect in 5 points, violating the Leech triangle.

The next step is to show that there is a unique equivalence relation on the set of 54 countervalos divided into three equivalence classes with the property that two equivalent countervalos never intersect in exactly four points. This proceeds in a sequence of steps, illustrated in Figure 5.

**Figure 5. Proof of Uniqueness of $S(5, 6, 12)$**

(A) If $K$, $L$, and $M$ are sides of a triangle, then since $K \cup L$ meets $K \cup M$ in 4 points $K \cup L \not\simeq K \cup M$.

(B) If $K$, $L$, $M$, and $N$ are mutually non-parallel with $K$, $L$, and $M$ having a common point not on $N$, then $K \cup M \simeq L \cup N \not\simeq K \cup N \simeq L \cup M \not\simeq K \cup L \simeq M \cup N$. To prove this, note that by A, we know that $L \cup N \not\simeq K \cup N$, $M \cup N$ and that $K \cup M \not\simeq K \cup N$, $M \cup N$. Since there are only three equivalence classes, this forces $K \cup M \simeq L \cup N$. The other statements follow by permuting the lines.

(C) Let $K, L, M, N$ be four distinct lines of $D'$ with $K \parallel L \parallel M \parallel N \parallel K$ (geometrically, a parallelogram). Then the diagonals $P$ and $Q$ intersect in a point $s$. Using condition (B), $K \cup L \simeq P \cup Q$ and $M \cup N \simeq P \cup Q$. Since $\simeq$ is an equivalence relation, $K \cup L \simeq M \cup N$. 


(D) Let \( K \parallel L \parallel M \). Letting \( P \) and \( Q \) be the lines parallel to \( K \) as pictured in the figure. Then using (C), \( K \cup L \simeq M \cup P \simeq L \cup Q \simeq K \cup M \).

(E) If \( K, L, M, N \) are mutually non-parallel, then there is a line \( L' \parallel L \) such that \( K, L' \), and \( M \) have a point in common. Using (B) and (D), it follows that \( K \cup L \simeq K \cup L' \simeq M \cup N \).

These conditions show that if \( K \cap L \neq \emptyset \) and \( M \cap N \neq \emptyset \) then

\[
K \cup L \simeq M \cup N \quad \text{if} \quad K \parallel M \text{ and } L \parallel N, \quad \text{or} \quad K \parallel N \text{ and } L \parallel M, \quad \text{or} \quad K, L \parallel M, N
\]

This condition is only if as well, because otherwise all counterovals would be equivalent. Thus there is a unique equivalence relation with the property that equivalent counterovals cannot intersect in four points.

This tells us that the three classes of counterovals \( A_i \) are uniquely determined by \( D \) up to re-indexing. In particular, the blocks of \( D \) are uniquely determined, up to re-indexing, because the blocks of \( D \) are determined by conditions 1 and 4 since the complement of a block is a block. Thus there is a unique Steiner system of type \( S(5, 6, 12) \) up to isomorphism.

An alternate construction of the Steiner system is through the squares modulo 11, which makes it clear that \( M_{12} \) is transitive on the blocks of the Steiner system.

**Theorem 1.40.** The group \( PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{11}) \) acts on \( \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_{11}} \). Let \( T = \{\infty, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9\} \), the quadratic residues modulo 11 and \( \infty \). Define \( \mathcal{B} := \{L(T) : L \in PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})\} \). Then \( (\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}, \mathcal{B}) \) is a \( S(5, 6, 12) \) Steiner system.

**Proof.** This proof is inspired by a construction from Beth, Jungnickel, and Lenz [2], Chapter IV Section 1.2. It is clear that for any three points there are the same number of blocks containing them, for the action of \( PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{11}) \) is 3–homogeneous (Example 1.23). Note that \( T \) is fixed by the five mappings \( x \rightarrow sx \), where \( s \) is a non-zero square. These mappings are also in \( PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{11}) \). This means that the order of the stabilizer of \( T \) is \( 5m \) for some \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \). The number of blocks is \( |PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})|/5m = \frac{132}{m} \). The number of blocks through the three points \( 0, 1, \infty \) is equal to the number of blocks times the number of ways to pick 3 points in the block, divided by the number of ways to pick three points of \( \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_{11}} \), so there are \( \frac{12}{m} \) blocks containing \( 0, 1, \infty \). Twelve such blocks are listed in Table 1, which implies \( m = 1 \).

**Table 1.** Blocks containing \( 0, 1, \infty \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>A(T)</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>A(T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>(0, 1, 2, 4, 10)</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>(0, 1, 3, 6, 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10, 4)</td>
<td>(10, 5)</td>
<td>(1, 8)</td>
<td>(0, 1, 2, 6, 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1, 2)</td>
<td>(1, 8)</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>(0, 1, 2, 7, 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3, 7)</td>
<td>(1, 8)</td>
<td>(6, 5)</td>
<td>(0, 1, 5, 7, 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1, 8)</td>
<td>(1, 10)</td>
<td>(5, 7)</td>
<td>(0, 1, 6, 7, 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10, 4)</td>
<td>(1, 10)</td>
<td>(1, 7)</td>
<td>(0, 1, 2, 3, 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1, 7)</td>
<td>(1, 6)</td>
<td>(1, 8)</td>
<td>(1, 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>(1, 7)</td>
<td>(1, 7)</td>
<td>(0, 1, 2, 3, 5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These twelve blocks can be realized as the rows, columns, and generalized diagonals of
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 3 & 5 \\
6 & 7 & 10 \\
9 & 4 & 8
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
But these 12 sets form a Steiner system of type $S(2, 3, 9)$ on these nine points (an affine geometry). Thus through any 2 points besides $0, 1, \infty$, there is a unique six element set containing $0, 1, \infty$ and those two points. Because $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$ is $3$–homogeneous, any three points can be moved to $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ so this is a $S(5, 6, 12)$ Steiner system. □

**Corollary 1.41.** $M_{12}$ is transitive on the blocks of $S(5, 6, 12)$.

This provides enough information to prove Theorem 1.24.

**Proof.** From the second construction of the Steiner system, it is clear that $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$ is a subgroup of $M_{12}$ and that $M_{12}$ acts transitively on blocks. Thus the block $T$ can be sent to the unique block containing $0, 1, 2, 3$ and 4. Then composing with the appropriate element of $M_{12}$ arising from $S_5$ by Proposition 1.37, the points $0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ can be permuted so the composition sends them to the five given points in the proper order, showing the action to be five transitive. If any permutation fixes 5 elements, by transitivity assume they are five elements of $T'$. Since the permutation preserves the block structure, the sixth point of $T'$ must be fixed as well. Now, suppose the permutation sends $p_1$ to $p_2$ in $T$. Since the block containing $p_1, p_2$, and 4 points of $T'$ must be fixed, $p_2$ is sent to $p_1$. For any third point $p_3$ of $T$, the block containing $p_1, p_3$, and four points of $T'$ must be sent to a block. This implies that $p_3$ is sent to the last point of the block containing those four points of $T'$ and $p_2$. However, this means the permutation cannot fix the block containing $p_1, p_2, p_3$, and three points of $T'$, but the three points of $T', p_1,$ and $p_2$ are kept within the block. This contradiction shows that $M_{12}$ acts sharply $5$–transitively on $S$. In particular, $|M_{12}| = 12 \cdot 11 \cdot 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8$. □

The original motivation for looking at the Mathieu groups was their relation to the Monster. It is worth noting that:

**Theorem 1.42.** $M_{12}$ is a simple group.

**Proof.** This is proven as Theorem 9.59 of Rotman [22]. □

### 3. Constructions of $M_{24}$

This section will briefly discuss constructions of $M_{24}$, the relative of $M_{12}$ acting on 24 points, but in much less detail than the constructions of $M_{12}$. The basic fact is that:
Theorem 1.43. There exists a simple 5 transitive group $M_{24}$ acting on a set of 24 points. It has order $244823040$.

As for $M_{12}$, there are multiple methods of constructing $M_{24}$. The group $M_{24}$ can be constructed analogously to $M_{12}$ using an outer automorphism. The key fact is $M_{12}$’s outer automorphism.

Theorem 1.44. $M_{12}$ has an outer automorphism. It interchanges the conjugacy classes of orders 4 and interchanges the classes of order 8.

Proof. There is a proof in Rotman [22].

Using this outer automorphism, $M_{12}$ can be made to act on a set of 24 points, and the cycle shapes of elements in $M_{12}$ can be used to construct a Steiner system of type $S(5, 8, 24)$. The group $M_{24}$ is then the automorphism group of this Steiner system. The process stops here, since $M_{24}$ has no outer automorphisms [5].

However, this isn’t quite the way to go about constructing $M_{24}$, because the simple proof of the existence of this outer automorphism, given in Rotman [22], depends on the existence of $M_{24}$. Instead, as presented after Lemma 8.5 of Cameron [4], one looks at the graph whose nodes are pairs of complementary blocks with nodes connected if the blocks intersect in 3 points. Using a uniqueness result on graphs, pairs of complementary blocks are put in bijection with pairs of points drawn from another 12 element set. A $S(5, 6, 12)$ Steiner system can be constructed on this second set. These can be pieced together to get a $S(5, 8, 24)$ Steiner system.

Alternately, the blocks of a Steiner system of type $S(5, 8, 24)$ can be constructed from the action of $PSL_2(F_{23})$ on the projective line, much like the second construction of $S(5, 6, 12)$. Let $H$ be the subgroup of $PSL_2(F_{23})$ generated by the matrices

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{pmatrix}
3 & 1 \\
1 & -3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The orbit of $\infty$ under $H$ is

\[
B := \{\infty, 0, 1, 3, 12, 15, 21, 22\}.
\]

Define $\mathcal{B} := \{g(B) : g \in PSL_2(F_{23})\}$. It turns out the stabilizer of $B$ under the action of $PSL_2(F_{23})$ has 8 elements, and there are 21 blocks in $B$ passing through $\{0, 1, \infty\}$. The other five elements of these blocks form 21 five element sets. A lengthy but simple check shows that for any two of the points in $\mathbb{P}^1(F_{23})$ besides $0, 1, \infty$, there is a unique five element set containing those points. This means that the blocks $B$ form a Steiner system on $\mathbb{P}^1(F_{23})$ of type $S(5, 8, 24)$. There are more details in Chapter IV Section 1 of Beth, Jungnickel, and Lenz [2].

The blocks of the Steiner system $S(5, 8, 24)$ can also be constructed by extending a Steiner system of type $S(2, 5, 21)$ which arises as the geometry of a two dimensional projective plane over $F_4$. It is shown in Dixon and Mortimer [7] how to extend this Steiner system three times to obtain a system of type $S(5, 8, 24)$. In Rotman [22], the automorphism groups of the Steiner systems are constructed through group extensions, starting with $PSL_2(F_4)$ acting on the projective plane. An alternate construction involves the Golay code as presented in Griess [11]. All of these constructions give the same Steiner system and hence the same group $M_{24}$ by a uniqueness result similar to that for $S(5, 6, 12)$.

Proposition 1.45. All Steiner systems of type $S(5, 8, 24)$ are isomorphic.
4. CONJUGACY CLASSES AND CHARACTER TABLE FOR M\(_{12}\) AND M\(_{24}\)

**Proof.** This is proven in Dixon and Mortimer [7]. Alternately, it follows from a uniqueness theorem for the binary Golay code [11]. □

There are also smaller Mathieu groups occurring as stabilizers of M\(_{24}\).

**Definition 1.46.** The Mathieu group M\(_{23}\) is the stabilizer of a point in M\(_{24}\).

The Mathieu group M\(_{22}\) is the stabilizer of a point in M\(_{23}\).

Finally, M\(_{22}\), M\(_{23}\), and M\(_{24}\) can be shown to be simple groups.

4. Conjugacy Classes and Character Table for M\(_{12}\) and M\(_{24}\)

The theory of moonshine for the Mathieu groups involves the cycle-shapes, and more generally the irreducible representations, of the Mathieu groups. The above constructions of M\(_{24}\) and M\(_{12}\) provide enough information to find all conjugacy classes and compute the character tables, although the computations are long. Any one construction of course provides enough information in principal, and a computer algebra system such as Sage [23] can calculate the conjugacy classes and character tables in a routine manner, with significant computational effort. The benefit of multiple descriptions is that each provides an easy look at one particular aspect of the Mathieu groups. Since these are sporadic simple groups, it is understandable that there is no single description that illuminates all of the relevant properties.

In this section, the conjugacy classes for M\(_{12}\) are determined in full detail, as well as some of the irreducible characters of M\(_{12}\). This illustrates the techniques that would be used to determine the conjugacy classes of M\(_{24}\) and the full character tables for M\(_{12}\) and M\(_{24}\). The full character table for M\(_{12}\) and M\(_{24}\) are listed in the atlas [5].

4.1. Conjugacy Classes in M\(_{12}\). There are two classes of permutations that obviously lie in M\(_{12}\): those arising from the action of S\(_6\) on the set S of 12 points (Proposition 1.37, about the first description) and those in PSL\(_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})\) \(\subset\) M\(_{12}\) arising from the second description.

The action of S\(_6\) illuminates conjugacy classes of the following cycle-shapes:

- 1\(^4\) 2\(^4\), arising from a two cycle in S\(_6\). Given an element \(g_2\) of cycle shape 1\(^4\) 2\(^4\), there exists 4 blocks such that \(g_2\) acts on the block with cycle shape 1\(^4\)2\(^2\) and the complementary block with cycle shape 2\(^5\). There is a unique block containing the two points flipped by each transposition of \(g_2\) and three of the points fixed by \(g_2\). Since \(g_2\) preserves the Steiner system, this block must contain all four points fixed by \(g_2\). Thus every element of shape 1\(^4\) 2\(^4\) corresponds to the four transpositions. There are 132 blocks, and since M\(_{12}\) is transitive on blocks by Corollary 1.41 it suffices to look at the block \(T\) where there are 15 transpositions. This gives a total of 132 \cdot 15 = 1980 elements of shape of 1\(^4\) 2\(^4\). But each arises 4 times, so there are 495 distinct elements of cycle-shape 2\(^4\) in M\(_{12}\).

- 1\(^3\) 3\(^3\), arising from a three cycle 3 in S\(_6\). Given such a g\(_3\) \(\in\) M\(_{12}\), an argument like the one above shows that any three cycle, plus the points fixed, is a block. Any block and any three cycle in that block induces a permutation of cycle shape 1\(^3\) 3\(^3\), each of which appears 3 times. Thus there are 132 \cdot 40/3 = 1760 such elements.

- 1\(^4\) 4\(^2\), arising from a four cycle in S\(_6\). Any cycle of shape 1\(^4\) 4\(^2\) preserves 4 blocks: each block contains one of the four cycles along with two of the fixed points. Furthermore, by Lemma 1.29, a four cycle acts with shape 4\(^2\) on S. For each of the
132 blocks and each of the 90 four cycles in them, this creates an element of shape $1^4 4^2$. Each is repeated 4 times, so there are 2970 elements of cycle shape $1^4 4^2$.

- $2^2 4^2$, arising from an element of cycle shape $24$ in $S_6$. By Lemma 1.29, an element of shape $24$ cannot be sent to a four cycle, so must be sent to an element of shape $24$. The counting argument is essentially the same as for the $1^4 4^2$ case. There are 2970 such elements.
- $1^2 5^2$, arising from a 5 cycle in $S_6$. Each element of this shape fixes 2 blocks, and there are 144 five cycles in each of 132 blocks, for a total of 9504 elements.
- $1 2 3 6$, arising from an element of shape $123$ in $S_6$. By Lemma 1.29, a 6 cycle acts with shape $123$. Given an element of this shape, there is only one block containing the 6 cycle. So for every block, and all of the 120 six cycles in it, there are distinct elements of shape $1236$ in $M_{12}$. Thus there are 15840 elements of this type.

The subgroup $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$ gives further conjugacy classes. To find the size of these classes we want to find the centralizer, since the size of the conjugacy class is the order of $M_{12}$ divided by the order of the centralizer. However, which permutations lie in the centralizer depends on the whole structure of $M_{12}$, not just the subgroup $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$. To compute the order of the centralizer thus requires checking mechanically whether certain permutations preserve the block structure. This makes the remaining observations inherently computational.

- Eleven cycles, arising from the matrix \[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\] which has order 11 by inspection. The centralizer of this element in $M_{12}$ are just its powers, so there are 95040/11 = 8640 such elements in the conjugacy class. However, if $\sigma$ is an eleven cycle then $\sigma$ and $\sigma^2$ are not conjugate. This can be verified by simply writing down the blocks and checking that any permutation that would make the two conjugate does not preserve the block structure.

- Elements of cycle shape $2^6$, which can be produced from the map $z \to a(z)$ where $a$ is a non-residue modulo 11. Having a computer compute the centralizer, there are 396 such elements.

- Elements of cycle shape $3^4$, arising from the matrix \[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\], which clearly has order 3 and fixes no point of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11})$. Thus it must act with shape $3^4$. There are 2640 such elements.

- Elements of cycle shape $6^2$, arising for example from the matrix \[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
7 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]. Anything that centralizes this element must either be a power or must flip the two six cycles. There are 7920 such elements.

By combining elements from the two descriptions, the remaining conjugacy classes can be found. The results are again inherently computational, since they rely on the fact that the two constructions of a Steiner system of type $S(5, 6, 12)$ are isomorphic and need to implicitly or explicitly identify the two.

- Elements of cycle shape $10 2$. These arise from a combination of the elements with shapes $5^2$ and $2^6$. Lemma 1.34 gives an explicit permutation $\tau$ of shape $2^6$, while an element of shape $1^2 5^2$ arising from the action of $S_6$ is shown in Figure 6. The product $\tau \sigma$ can be directly computed to be of shape $10 2$. The centralizer is only the 10 powers of this element, so the conjugacy class contains 9504 elements.
Elements of cycle shape $8 \ 4$. They arise as a product of an element of shape $2^4$ and one of shape $2 \ 3 \ 6$. However, those cannot both arise simply from the action of $S_6$. Instead, at least one must be conjugated by a permutation arising from $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$ that doesn’t fix the block $T$. This requires either writing down an identification between the two Steiner systems, or finding an element of this shape directly, and verifying it preserves the Steiner blocks constructed through the outer automorphism of $S_6$. Such a permutation is given by

$$(1 \ (\infty \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 3))(1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3)).$$

A direct calculation shows it preserves blocks. The centralizer is only the 8 powers of this element, so the conjugacy class contains 11880 elements.

- Elements of shape $8 \ 2$. Composing the element of shape $8 \ 4$ given above with the element of shape $2^6$ given in Lemma 1.34 gives the element

$$(0 \ (1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 3 \ (2 \ 3 \ 4 \ (1 \ 3)))(\infty \ (1 \ 3 \ 2))$$

of shape $8 \ 2$. The centralizer is only the 8 powers, so the conjugacy class contains 11880 elements.

The number of elements identified so far is 95040, the order of $M_{12}$, so the above arguments have found all of the conjugacy classes. The information is summarized in Table 2. Note there are two conjugacy classes of shape 11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle Shape</th>
<th>Centralizer Order</th>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Cycle Shape</th>
<th>Centralizer Order</th>
<th>Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1^{12}$</td>
<td>95040</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1^4 \ 2^4$</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^6$</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>$1^3 \ 3^3$</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^4$</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2640</td>
<td>$2^2 \ 4^2$</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1^4 \ 4^2$</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2970</td>
<td>$1^2 \ 5^2$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 6$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15840</td>
<td>$2^2$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1^2 \ 2 \ 8$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11880</td>
<td>$4 \ 8$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1^2 \ 10$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9504</td>
<td>$1 \ 11$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Some irreducible characters of $M_{12}$. Recall that a character $\chi$ of an irreducible representation satisfies $(\chi, \chi) = 1$ and such an equality implies that $\chi$ comes from an irreducible representation. The goal here is to illustrate some techniques for constructing irreducible characters of $M_{12}$ and $M_{24}$, but not to find the complete character table.
The trivial character \( \chi_1 \) is always irreducible. Furthermore, the knowledge of the cycle shapes of \( M_{12} \) determines a character \( \chi_{12} \) through the permutation representation of \( M_{12} \) on 12 points. The trace is simply the number of fixed points of the permutation. It can be written \( \chi_{12} = \chi_1 + \chi_{11} \), where \( \chi_{11} \) is irreducible. The assertion can be checked by verifying \( (\chi_{11}, \chi_{11}) = 1 \).

One method of constructing further irreducible characters is by looking at the exterior powers of a known irreducible character. \( \Lambda^2(\chi_{11}) \) has character \( \chi_{55} \) given by:

\[
\chi_{55}(g) := \frac{1}{2}(\chi_{11}(g)^2 - \chi_{11}(g^2)).
\]

This character turns out to be irreducible, as \( (\chi_{55}, \chi_{55}) = 1 \). In general, the hope is to project the new character onto the subspace of class functions spanned by the known irreducible characters and have the projection be a new irreducible character. This does not always work: trying this again on \( \chi_{55} \) gives a character that decomposes as \( 2\chi_{55} + \chi' \), where \( (\chi', \chi') = 25 \) and \( \chi' \) is orthogonal to \( \chi_1, \chi_{11}, \) and \( \chi_{55} \). The known irreducible characters are listed in Table 3.

**Table 3. Some irreducible characters of \( M_{12} \)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2^4</th>
<th>2^6</th>
<th>3^3</th>
<th>3^4</th>
<th>4^2</th>
<th>4^2</th>
<th>2^2</th>
<th>5^2</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>6^2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \chi_1 )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi_{11} )</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi_{55} )</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A second place to look is in the symmetric square. The character \( \chi_{66} := \text{Sym}^2(\chi_{11}) \) comes from a 66 dimensional representation, but is not irreducible. It decomposes as \( \chi_{66} = \chi_1 + \chi_{11} + \chi_{54} \), and \( \chi_{54} \) turns out to be irreducible. All these assertions can be checked using the inner product. \( \chi_{54} \) turns out to be orthogonal to \( \chi' \), so it gives no further help at decomposing \( \chi' \).

Since Theorem 1.44 says \( M_{12} \) has an outer automorphism, any irreducible character can be composed with the outer automorphism to yield an irreducible character which may be new. For example, the irreducible characters \( \chi_{11} \) and \( \chi_{55} \) give new characters \( \chi'_{11} \) and \( \chi'_{55} \) after switching the values on the conjugacy classes of order 4 and 8. These new characters are listed in Table 4.

**Table 4. Some more irreducible character of \( M_{12} \)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2^4</th>
<th>2^6</th>
<th>3^3</th>
<th>3^4</th>
<th>4^2</th>
<th>4^2</th>
<th>2^2</th>
<th>5^2</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>6^2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \chi_{11} )</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi_{54} )</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi'_{55} )</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An alternate approach is to try to induce characters from a subgroup of \( M_{12} \). A copy of \( S_6 \) is a subgroup of \( M_{12} \), where it is embedded via the action of \( S_6 \) on the twelve points of \( S \). Taking the trivial character on \( S_6 \), denote the induced character \( \text{Ind}_{S_6}^{M_{12}}(1) \) by \( \chi_{132} \). Theorem 1.6 gives the formula

\[
\chi_{132}(g') = \frac{1}{720} \sum_{g \in M_{12}, g^{-1}g'g \in S_6} 1.
\]

Taking \( g' = 1 \) shows that the dimension of the representation associated to \( \chi_{132} \) is in fact 132 dimensional. Table 5 shows the cycle shapes of elements in this copy of \( S_6 \).
To calculate the induced character on other conjugacy classes, let $g \in M_{12}$ and let $n_g$ denote the number of elements in the conjugacy class of $g$ intersect $S_6$. Then $g$ is conjugate to each of the $n_g$ elements in $m_g$ ways, where $m_g$ is the order of the centralizer of $g$ in $M_{12}$. Then there are $n_g m_g$ elements of $M_{12}$ which conjugate $g$ into $S_6$, and hence $\chi_{132}(g) = n_g m_g$. This character decomposes as $\chi_{132} = \chi_1 + \chi_{11} + \chi_{11}' + \chi_{54} + \psi_{55}$, where $\psi_{55}$ is a third irreducible character of dimension 55. Its values are shown in Table 6.

This process can be continued, calculating characters associated to various exterior and symmetric powers, and inducing characters from characters of subgroups like $S_6$ and $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$. The point of this is that finding the character table of $M_{12}$ requires finesse. There is no way to predict how to obtain a new character that contains only one new irreducible character without substantial trial and error: calculating complicated character tables is more of an art than a science. The complete results for $M_{12}$ are listed in the atlas [5].

### 4.3. Conjugacy Classes and Character Table for $M_{24}$

The conjugacy classes of $M_{24}$, and some knowledge about its character table, are necessary to understand moonshine for $M_{24}$. The same techniques as in the previous section, along with plenty of calculation, give the conjugacy classes of $M_{24}$ and its character table. But since this information is included in the atlas and we have already exhibited the techniques for calculating it, there is little point in working for it. A list of the conjugacy classes is reproduced here as Table 7. There are two conjugacy classes of cycle shapes $1^3 7^3$, $1^2 7 14$, $1^3 5 15$, $3 21$ and $1 23$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle Shape</th>
<th>Centralizer Order</th>
<th>Cycle Shape</th>
<th>Centralizer Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1^{24}$</td>
<td>244823040</td>
<td>$1^3 7^3$</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1^8 2^8$</td>
<td>21504</td>
<td>$1^2 2 4 8^2$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^{12}$</td>
<td>7680</td>
<td>$2^2 10^2$</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1^6 3^6$</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>$1^2 11^2$</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^8$</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>$2^2$</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1^4 2^2 4^4$</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>$2 4 6 12$</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^4 4^4$</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>$1 2 7 14$</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^6$</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>$1 3 5 15$</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1^4 5^4$</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$3 21$</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6^4$</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$1 23$</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1^2 2^2 3^2 6^2$</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 2

Modular Forms and Hecke Operators

This chapter presents the definitions and essential facts about modular forms for congruence subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, along with the theory of Hecke operators. Two special ways to construct modular forms, $\eta$-products and modular forms with complex multiplication, are described to prepare for a discussion of moonshine for the Mathieu group. An elegant introductory account of this subject is given by Serre in Chapter 7 of Serre [25]. General references for this subject include Iwaniec [12] and Koblitz [13].

1. Basic Properties of Modular Forms

Loosely speaking, modular forms are complex valued functions on the upper half plane that transform “correctly” under an action of $\Gamma \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the upper half plane. The first step is to describe this action.

1.1. The Action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the Upper Half Plane. Remember that the group $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the group of invertible two by two matrices with real entries. The group $\text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R}) := \{ \gamma \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) : \det(\gamma) > 0 \}$ acts on the upper half plane through linear fractional transformations.

**Definition 2.1.** For $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $z$ in the upper half plane

$$H := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}(z) > 0 \} = \{ [z, 1] \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1 : \text{Im}(z) > 0 \}$$

we define the action of $\gamma$ on $z$ by

$$\gamma z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} [z, 1].$$

With the usual conventions viewing $\infty$ as the point $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ acts on $H \cup \infty$ with $\gamma$ sending $\infty$ to $\frac{a}{c}$ and $\frac{-d}{c}$ to $\infty$.

Because $\text{Im}(\gamma z)$ and $\text{Im}(z)$ have the same sign, the upper half plane is stable under $\text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ so this forms a well defined group action. The subgroup $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of integer matrices with determinant 1 acts through its inclusion in $\text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$. The kernel of the map $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{Aut}(H)$ contains the scalar matrices, so the action descends to an action of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $H$. A fundamental domain for the group action is the region of the upper half plane pictured in Figure 1. More precisely, if we let

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then the following theorem is true.
Theorem 2.2. Let $D$ be the subset of $H$ with $|z| \geq 1$ and $|\text{Re}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. $D$ is a fundamental domain for the action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in the sense that

- For every $z \in H$, there exists a $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\gamma z \in D$.
- If two distinct points $z, z'$ of $D$ are congruent modulo $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then $\text{Re}(z) = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ and $z = z' \pm 1$ or $|z| = 1$ and $z' = -\frac{1}{z}$.

Furthermore, $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $S$ and $T$.

**Proof.** The proof is not hard, and is Theorems 1 and 2 in Chapter 7 of Serre [25]. □

These groups also act on complex functions function defined on the upper half plane.

**Definition 2.3.** If $\gamma \in \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$, $k$ is an integer and $f$ a function $H = \{x + iy : x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0\} \to \mathbb{C}$, define

\[
(f|_k \gamma)(z) := \det(\gamma)^{k/2}(cz + d)^{-k}f(\gamma \cdot z).
\]

(4)

**Example 2.4.** If $k = 0$, then the functions fixed by the action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are called modular functions. Being fixed translates into the condition that for all $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$

\[
f(\gamma \cdot z) = f\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right) = f(z).
\]

The $j$ function associated with the Monster group is an example. Details are found in Apostol [1].

**1.2. Modular Forms for Congruence Subgroups.** It is important to look at functions which are invariant under the action of subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ through the $|_k$ action. The following congruence subgroups are the most important for understanding moonshine.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $N$ be a positive integer. The following are known as congruence subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, of level $N$:
\[ \Gamma_0(N) := \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \mod N \} \]

\[ \Gamma_1(N) := \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \mod N, \ a \equiv d \equiv 1 \mod N \} \]

\[ \Gamma(N) := \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : b \equiv c \equiv 0 \mod N, \ a \equiv d \equiv 1 \mod N \} \]

By virtue of being subgroups of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), these act on the upper half plane via fractional linear transformations, and on the space of functions on the upper half plane by the \(|k| \) operators.

Just as in the case for \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), there exists a fundamental domain for this group action. Since all of these subgroups are of finite index, the fundamental domain can be chosen so that it is a finite union of translates (under \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \)'s action) of the fundamental domain \( D \). The fundamental domain for \( \Gamma(2) \) is pictured in Figure 2. For more details, see Chapter III section 1 of Koblitz [13].

**Figure 2. Fundamental Domain for \( \Gamma_0(2) \)**

\[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} D \]

\[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} D \]

\[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} D \]

\[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} D \]

**Definition 2.6.** Let \( \Gamma \) be a congruence subgroup. \( \Gamma \) acts on \( H \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \infty \) by viewing \( \mathbb{Q} \cup \infty \) as \( \mathbb{P}_Q^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_C^1 \). In particular, \( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \) \( [r,s] = [ar + bs, cr + ds] \). The orbits of \( \mathbb{Q} \cup \infty \) under this action are called the cusps of \( \Gamma \).

If \( \Gamma \) is a congruence subgroup of level 1 so \( \Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), there is only one cusp which is customarily represented by \( \infty \). For any pair of relatively prime integers \( p, q \), there exist \( r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( rp + sq = 1 \). Then the matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ -p & q \end{pmatrix} \) has determinant 1 and sends \( \frac{2}{p} \) to \( \infty \). Thus every rational number is equivalent to \( \infty \), so there is only one cusp.

The cusps for \( \Gamma_0(p) \), with \( p \) a prime, are also important to understand. There are two equivalence classes, represented by \([1,0] = \infty \) and \([0,1] = 0 \). A matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p) \) sends
the point 0 to \( \frac{b}{d} \). Given relatively prime \( b \) and \( d \), if \( d \) is not divisible by \( p \) then there is a solution in integers to \( dx - bpy = 1 \). Thus 0 is in the same cusp as all rational numbers whose denominator is not a multiple of \( p \). Any such relatively prime \( a, c \) can be obtained, for again there are solutions to \( ax - cy = 1 \). These two equivalence classes are disjoint, for if \( rs \) is sent to a fraction with denominator \( cr + ds \): if \( s \) is a multiple of \( p \), then since \( c \equiv 0 \mod p \) the new denominator is a multiple of \( p \) as well. This argument generalizes to \( \Gamma_0(N) \). The number of cusps, along with representatives, are found in Section 2.4 of Iwaniec [12].

**Proposition 2.7.** The number of cusps of \( \Gamma_0(N) \) is 
\[
\sum_{d \mid N} \varphi\left(\left(\frac{d}{N}, \frac{N}{d}\right)\right)
\]
where \( \varphi \) is Euler’s totient function.

Looking at Figure 2, the origin of the word cusp becomes clearer. 0 is visibly a cusp of the boundary of the fundamental domain. If we picked a different fundamental domain for \( SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) that approached the real axis instead of \( \infty \), a single cusp would appear there as well.

We now turn to the definition of modular forms.

**Definition 2.8.** Let \( \Gamma \) be a congruence subgroup of level \( N \). A weakly meromorphic modular form of weight \( k \) is a meromorphic function on \( H \) invariant under \( \Gamma \) acting by \( |k| \).

**Example 2.9.** The matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \) lies in \( \Gamma_0(N) \), so any weakly meromorphic modular form must satisfy
\[
f(z) = f\left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} z\right) = (-1)^k f(z).
\]
This forces \( f \) to be the zero function when \( k \) is odd. There can be forms of odd weight for other congruence subgroups.

**Example 2.10.** If \( k = 2 \), then then the functions fixed by the action of \( SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) corresponds to the condition that
\[
f(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^2 f(z).
\]
If \( f(z)dz \) is an differential form on \( H \) invariant under the action of \( SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), elementary calculus shows that \( f \) satisfies the same condition.

**Example 2.11.** We will prove later in Remark 2.40 that the function
\[
\Delta(z) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}
\]
where \( q = e^{2\pi i z} \) is a weakly meromorphic modular form of weight 12 (in fact, it is holomorphic). If we expand the product, one obtains a \( q \)-expansion for \( \Delta \):
\[
\Delta(z) = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 + \ldots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n
\]
where \( \tau(n) \) is called the Ramanujan \( \tau \) function. See Serre [25] Chapter 7 section 4.5.

The fact that \( \Delta \) can be written as a power series in \( q = e^{2\pi i z} \) is no accident. Note that \( e^{2\pi i z} \) is a holomorphic function mapping the upper half plane onto the punctured disk \( \Omega = \{ q \in \mathbb{C}^\times : |q| < 1 \} \). If \( e^{2\pi i z} = e^{2\pi i z'} \), then \( z - z' \in \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( f \) be a meromorphic
function like $\Delta$ that satisfies $f(z + 1) = f(z)$. Then there is a meromorphic function $\tilde{f}$ on the punctured disk $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ such that $f(z) = \tilde{f}(e^{2\pi i z})$. The point $\infty$ corresponds to origin. The Laurent expansion (if it exists) for $\tilde{f}$ gives the $q$–series expansion (the Fourier expansion) for $f$. For $f$ to be meromorphic or holomorphic at the cusp infinity means that $\tilde{f}$ is meromorphic or holomorphic at 0. (This is exactly the definition of being meromorphic or holomorphic obtained by viewing $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{H} \cup \{\infty\}$ as a Riemann surface. However, it requires care to make this precise, especially for other congruence subgroups, so this remains motivation only. This approach is taken in Shimura [26].)

A general congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ of level $N$ will not necessarily contain $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, so a weakly meromorphic modular form may not satisfy $f(z + 1) = f(z)$. However, it will contain $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & N \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, in which case it satisfies $f(z + N) = f(z)$ and hence has a Fourier expansion in powers of $q_N := e^{2\pi i z/N}$. However, we will only consider those cases when $f$ has an integral Fourier expansion. Furthermore, $\infty$ need not be the only cusp. To talk about the other cusps, one can simply transform them to $\infty$ using an element of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ not in $\Gamma$ and proceed as before.

There are several important types of modular forms:

**Definition 2.12.** Let $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of level $N$ and $k$ a positive integer.

1. A meromorphic modular form of weight $k$ for $\Gamma$ is a weakly meromorphic modular form of weight $k$ such that for each cusp and $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ that sends $\infty$ to the cusp, the function $f|_k \gamma$ is meromorphic at infinity.

2. Holomorphic modular forms are defined to be meromorphic modular forms holomorphic on the upper half plane and at the cusps. The term modular form without qualification usually refers to holomorphic modular forms. The vector space of modular forms of weight $k$ for $\Gamma$ is denoted by $M_k(\Gamma)$.

3. Cusp forms are modular forms that vanish at all cusps and are denoted by $S_k(\Gamma)$.

**Remark 2.13.** Showing this concept is well defined, independent of the choice of $\gamma$ is straightforward and contained in Koblitz [13] Chapter III Section 3.

The most basic case occurs when $\Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. A modular form of weight $k$ then is simply a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$ that satisfies the two transformational laws

$$f(z + 1) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad f(-\frac{1}{z}) = z^k f(z)$$

By Theorem 2.2, these two conditions suffice to check that $f$ is invariant under $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore, the function must be holomorphic at $\infty$, the only cusp. Since $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ contains the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $k$ must be even.

Being a modular form for $\Gamma_1(N)$ is often too weak a property. It is more interesting to look at the subspace of $M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ that transform in a constrained way with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$.

**Definition 2.14.** Let $N$ be a positive integer and $\chi$ a Dirichlet character modulo $N$. A modular form $f$ for $\Gamma_1(N)$ is in $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ if $f|_k \gamma = \chi(d)f$ where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$. $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ is defined in the same way for cusp forms.
1.3. Modular Forms as Functions on Lattices. It many cases, especially when discussing Hecke operators, it is profitable and more natural to think of modular forms as functions on lattices. This is approach is developed in Serre [25] and more generally in Chapter 3, Section 5 of Koblitz [13]. A lattice $\Lambda$ of $\mathbb{C}$ is simply the $\mathbb{Z}$–linear span of two linearly independent complex numbers $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$. By convention, we always order the generators so $\text{Im}(\omega_1/\omega_2) > 0$. The lattice spanned by $\omega_1'$ and $\omega_2'$ equals $\Lambda$ if and only if $\omega_1'$ and $\omega_2'$ are a $\mathbb{Z}$–linear combination of $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ and vice versa. Thus the set of lattices corresponds to pairs $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ such that $\text{Im}(\omega_1/\omega_2) > 0$ modulo the action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If we further identify $\Lambda$ and $z\Lambda$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, the map $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mapsto \tau = \omega_1/\omega_2$ gives a bijection between equivalence classes of lattices and $\tau \in H$ modulo the action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by fractional linear transformation. Thus classes of lattices are in bijection with the fundamental domain $D$ for $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

For each type of congruence subgroup, there are corresponding modular points.

- For $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, a modular point is a lattice.
- For $\Gamma_0(N)$, a modular point is a pair $(\Lambda, C)$ where $\Lambda$ is a lattice and $C$ is a cyclic subgroup of order $N$ inside $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$.
- For $\Gamma_1(N)$, a modular point is a pair $(\Lambda, t)$ where $\Lambda$ is a lattice and $t$ is a point of order $N$ in $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$.

If $P$ is a modular point and $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, then $c \cdot P$ is the modular point obtained by scaling the lattice, subgroup, and vector by $c$. For example, for $\Gamma_0(N)$, $cP = c(\Lambda, C) = (c\Lambda, c \cdot C)$.

Given a column vector $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\omega_1/\omega_2$ in the upper half plane, the natural way to associate a modular point is as follows:

- For $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the modular point is $P_\omega := \Lambda_{\omega} = \omega_1 \mathbb{Z} + \omega_2 \mathbb{Z}$.
- For $\Gamma_0(N)$, the modular point is $P_\omega := (\Lambda_{\omega}, \mathbb{Z}\omega_2/N)$.
- For $\Gamma_1(N)$, the modular point is $P_\omega := (\Lambda_{\omega}, \omega_2/N)$.

A complex valued function $F$ defined on modular points is of weight $k$ if

$$F(c\Lambda) = c^{-k}F(\Lambda).$$

Given such a function, one can define $\tilde{F}$, a function on $\mathbb{C}^2$, by setting $\tilde{F}(\omega) := F(P_\omega)$. Furthermore, one can obtain a function on the upper half plane by taking $f(z) := \tilde{F}(\begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix})$.

**Proposition 2.15.** Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of level $N$. The association of $F$ to $\tilde{F}$ to $f$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between the following sets of functions:

- Functions on modular points of weight $k$.
- Functions on column vectors which are invariant under the action of $\Gamma$ and satisfy $\tilde{F}(\lambda\omega) = \lambda^{-k}\tilde{F}(\omega)$.
- Functions on the upper half plane invariant under the action of $\Gamma$ through $|_k$.

**Proof.** Each type of modular point needs to be done separately. For $\Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, this is more or less immediate. A function on lattices is simply a function on pairs of vectors that serve as a basis for the lattice. The conditions on $\tilde{F}$ listed are precisely the conditions to be a weight $k$ function on the set of lattices. Identifying lattices to the fundamental domain for $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ gives the third identification. More details and the other cases are in Koblitz [13], Chapter 3 Proposition 31. 

$\square$
Example 2.16. For a lattice $\Lambda$ and an even integer $k > 2$,
\[
\sum_{0 \neq z \in \Lambda} z^{-k}
\]
is an absolutely convergent series and is easily seen to be a modular function on lattices of weight $k$. Taking $\Lambda$ to be the lattice spanned by 1 and $z$ gives the definition of Eisenstein series, a standard example of modular forms of weight $k$ for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Thus modular forms can also be viewed as functions on lattices (equivalently, as functions on the space of elliptic curves). The lattice interpretations will make the definition of the Hecke operators more natural.

1.4. Dimension Calculations. The space of modular forms of weight $k$ forms a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. It will be useful to understand the dimension of this vector space.

The simplest examples are for $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If there is a modular form $f_0$ of weight $k$ that is not a cusp form, any modular form $g$ can be written as a linear combination of $f_0$ and a cusp form, for $g = \frac{g(\infty)}{f(\infty)}f$ has $q$-expansion with no constant term. Thus the dimension of $M_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ is one more than the dimension of $S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$.

The transformation properties of a meromorphic modular form give considerable information about its zeroes and poles. Denote the order of vanishing of $f$ at a point $p$ by $v_p(f)$. If $p = \infty$, define it to be the order of vanishing of $\tilde{f}$ at the origin. Let $w_p$ be a weighting factor that is 1 except when $p = \rho = \frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$ or $p = i$, in which case it is 3 or 2 respectively. (The factor $w_p$ arises based on the extra elements of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ that fix the points $\rho$ and $i$.)

Theorem 2.17. If $f$ is a meromorphic modular form of weight $k$, not identically zero, then
\[
v_{\infty}(f) + \sum_{p \in D} v_p(f) w_p = \frac{k}{12}
\]

Proof. The full details are contained in Serre [25] Chapter 7 Section 3. The idea is to use Cauchy’s theorem from complex analysis, which relates the left hand side to a contour integral around the fundamental domain. More precisely,
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{df}{f} = \sum_{p \in D, p \neq i, \rho} v_p(f)
\]
where $C$ is the contour pictured in Figure 3. Evaluating the contour integral along each piece of the boundary gives the desired result as the radius of the circular arcs goes to 0. If there are zeroes or poles on the boundary, modify the contour to go around them in such a way that the arcs match up under the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

For $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, this theorem and elementary observations give enough information to completely determine dimensions of the space of modular forms. For example, if $k = 12$ then any cusp form must have a simple zero at $\infty$ and no others. $\Delta(z)$ has this property, so the ratio of any cusp form of weight 12 and $\Delta$ is a modular function (of weight 0), and hence a holomorphic function with no zeroes on the upper half plane union infinity. By basic complex analysis, it must be a constant function, and hence all cusp forms of weight 12 are multiples of $\Delta(z)$. The space of all modular forms of weight 12 is therefore 2 dimensional.
Figure 3. Contour of Integration for Theorem 2.17

For other congruence subgroups, dimension calculations are significantly more complicated. The following result, which can be obtained through evaluating an integral around the fundamental domain for general congruence subgroups, is the simplest generalization.

**Theorem 2.18.** If $f$ is a nonzero meromorphic modular form of weight $k$ for a congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$, and $X_0(N)$ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_0(N)$ (including the cusps), then

$$
\sum_{p \in X_0(N)} \frac{v_p(f)}{w_p} = \frac{\mu k}{12}.
$$

Here $\mu$ is the index of $\Gamma_0(N)$ in $\Gamma_0(1)$, which equals $N \prod_{p|N} (1 + p^{-1})$.

**Proof.** A proof is found in Rankin [21] as Theorem 4.14, which also fully explains the conventions on the weighting factor $w_p$. □

**Corollary 2.19.** If $f, g \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ and the first $\frac{\mu k}{12} + 1$ terms of the $q$-expansions agree, then $f = g$. 
Proof. The difference vanishes to order at least $\frac{\mu_k}{12} + 1$ at $\infty$. Since $w_\infty = 1$, the equality in Theorem 2.18 cannot hold so $f - g$ is the 0 form. \hfill \Box

This corollary is crucial because it allows computations with modular forms to be reduced to finite computations in linear algebra. To check whether an equality holds between two expressions involving modular forms, it suffices to verify that enough coefficients of the $q$-expansions agree.

However, this method does not easily give exact formulas for the dimension of the space of modular forms for a congruence subgroup. An alternative approach is through understanding the upper half plane modulo $\Gamma_0(N)$ as a Riemann surface as is done in Shimura [26] and using the Riemann-Roch theorem. This computational result is taken from Ono [19].

Definition 2.20. Suppose $k$ is an integer and $\chi$ is a Dirichlet character modulo $N$ for which $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. If $p|N$, let $r_p := v_p(N)$ and $s_p$ the valuation of the conductor of $\chi$.

Define the integer $\lambda(r_p, s_p, p)$ by

$$
\lambda(r_p, s_p, p) := \begin{cases} 
p^{r_p} + p^{r_p - 1} & \text{if } 2s_p \leq r_p = 2r_p \\
2p^{r_p} & \text{if } 2s_p \leq r_p = 2r_p + 1 \\
2p^{r_p - s_p} & \text{if } 2s_p > r_p
\end{cases}
$$

Define the rational numbers $\nu_k$ and $\mu_k$ by

$$
\nu_k := \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\
\frac{-1}{4} & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \mod 4 \\
\frac{1}{4} & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \mod 4 
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\mu_k := \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \mod 3 \\
-\frac{1}{3} & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \mod 3 \\
\frac{1}{3} & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \mod 3
\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 2.21. Using the above notation and the convention that $\prod_{p|N}$ runs over prime divisors, and is 1 if the product is empty, it follows that

$$
\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)) = \frac{(k - 1)N}{12} \prod_{p|N} (1 + p^{-1})
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \prod_{p|N} \lambda(r_p, s_p, p) + \nu_k \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \mod N} \chi(x) \right) + \mu_k \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \mod N} \chi(x) \right)
$$

Remark 2.22. If $k > 2$, then $M_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ is 0 dimensional. If $k < 0$, $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ is 0 dimensional. If $k = 2$, then $M_0(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ is 0 dimensional unless $\chi = 1$, in which case it is one dimensional: the only entire functions on the upper half plane are constants, and unless $\chi = 1$ the only constant satisfying the transformational law is 0.
2. Hecke Operators

2.1. Definition and Elementary Properties. The Hecke operators are a family of linear transformations that preserve spaces of modular forms. However, their definition makes more sense when defined as a transformation of modular points.¹ Let \( \mathcal{L} \) denote the vector space of formal, finite linear combinations of modular points. We are most interested in the case \( \Gamma = \Gamma_1(N) \), in which case a modular point is a lattice \( \Lambda \) and a point of order \( N \) in \( \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \). The Hecke operators average a modular point over lattices of index \( n \).

**Definition 2.23.** For a modular point \( (\Lambda, t) \) and positive integer \( n \), define a linear transformation \( T(n) : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} \) by

\[
T(n)(e_{(\Lambda,t)}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\Lambda'} e_{\Lambda',t}
\]

where \( \Lambda' \) runs over all lattices of index \( n \) containing \( \Lambda \) such that \( t \) still has order \( N \) in \( \mathbb{C}/\Lambda' \). This sum is finite, so the map is well defined, because any such lattice \( \Lambda' \) must lie in \( \frac{1}{n} \Lambda \).

Furthermore, if \( n \) is relatively prime to \( N \), define \( S(n) : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} \) by

\[
S(n)e_{(\Lambda,t)} = \frac{1}{n^2} e_{\frac{1}{n} \Lambda,t}.
\]

The relatively prime condition in the second definition ensures \( t \) still has order \( N \) in \( \mathbb{C}/\frac{1}{n} \Lambda \). It is relatively straightforward to understand the commutativity of these operators using this definition in terms of modular points.

**Proposition 2.24.** For positive integers \( n_1, n_2, n \) and \( m \):

1. \( S(n_1)S(n_2) = S(n_1n_2) \) and \( S(n)T(m) = T(m)S(n) \).
2. If \( (m, n) = 1 \), then \( T(m)T(n) = T(n)T(m) = T(mn) \).
3. If \( p \) is a prime factor of \( N \), then \( T(p^l) = (T(p))^l \).
4. If \( p \) is relatively prime to \( N \), then for \( l \geq 2 \)

\[
T(p^l) = T(p^{l-1})T(p) - pT(p^{l-2})S(p)
\]

**Proof.** The first statement is immediate. The remaining three all follow from understanding the subgroup structure of Abelian groups. In the second, we need to show \( T(mn)e_{(\Lambda,t)} = T(m)T(n)e_{(\Lambda,t)} \) by understandings the subgroups of \( \frac{1}{mn} \Lambda/\Lambda \) of size \( mn \). Such a subgroup corresponds to a lattice \( \Lambda' \) containing \( \Lambda \) with index \( mn \). In order for the order of \( t \) to still be \( N \) in \( \mathbb{C}/\Lambda' \), the intersection with \( \mathbb{Z}t \subset \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \) must be trivial. Since \( n \) and \( m \) are relatively prime, for every lattice \( \Lambda' \) there is an intermediate lattice \( \Lambda'' \) between \( \Lambda' \) and \( \Lambda \) of indexes \( n \) and \( m \) respectively. This corresponds to an intermediate subgroup \( S'' \subset S' \subset \frac{1}{mn} \Lambda/\Lambda \). Conversely, suppose \( S'' = \Lambda''/\Lambda \subset \frac{1}{n} \Lambda/\Lambda \) of order \( n \) and \( S' = \Lambda'/\Lambda'' \subset \frac{1}{m} \Lambda''/\Lambda'' \) is a subgroup of order \( m \). If both have trivial intersection with \( \mathbb{Z}t \), then \( \Lambda'/\Lambda \) is a subgroup of order \( mn \) with trivial intersection with \( \mathbb{Z}t \). Thus the modular points occurring in \( T(mn)(e_{(\Lambda,t)}) \) are the same as those appearing in \( T(m)T(n)(e_{(\Lambda,t)}) \).

The thirds and fourth are similar: they are found as Proposition 32 of Chapter 3 of Koblitz [13].

Sometime it is useful to have an explicit description of the lattices \( \Lambda' \) that contain \( \Lambda \) with index \( n \).

¹For an alternate treatment using double cosets, see Chapter 6 of Iwaniec [12].
Lemma 2.25. Integer matrices of the form \( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \) with \( ad = n \), \( a \geq 1 \), and \( 0 \leq b < d \) are in bijection with lattices \( \Lambda' \) contained in \( \Lambda \) with index \( n \). If \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) are a basis for the lattice, then the correspondence sends
\[
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \left( \frac{a}{n} \omega_1 + \frac{b}{n} \omega_2 \right) \mathbb{Z} + \frac{d}{n} \omega_2 \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Proof. This is an elementary fact about lattices. It is proven in Section 7.5 of Serre [25].

There is a third type of linear transformation of \( \mathcal{L} \). For \( d \) relatively prime to \( N \), define the map \( [d] \) to send \( e_{(\Lambda,d)} \) to \( e_{(\Lambda,dt)} \). Since \( d \) is relatively prime to \( N \) the order of \( dt \) is \( N \) in \( \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \). Furthermore, the map only depends on \( d \) modulo \( N \). Note that \( [d] \) commutes with \( T(n) \) and \( S(m) \).

The maps \( T(n), S(m), \) and \( [d] \) are maps from \( \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \): they also give linear maps on the vector space of complex valued functions on modular points. They preserve modular forms.

Proposition 2.26. With the notation as above, \([d], T(n), \) and \( S(m) \) preserve \( M_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \) and \( S_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \). Furthermore, if \( \chi \) is a Dirichlet character modulo \( N \), then \( f \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \) is in \( M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \) if and only if \([d]F = \chi(d)F\).

Proof. The transformation laws for modular forms correspond to the corresponding functions on modular points being of weight \( k \): that \([d], T(n), \) and \( S(m) \) preserve the weight is simple. Being holomorphic, and the behavior at the cusps, is more involved, and included in the proof of Proposition 33 in Chapter 3 of Koblitz [13].

Furthermore, since \([d] \) commutes with \( T(n) \) and \( S(m) \), these two operators preserve the eigenspaces of \([d] \), which correspond to \( M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \) (likewise \( S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \)) for a Dirichlet character \( \chi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \). The eigenspace has associated eigenvalue \( \chi(d) \).

Corollary 2.27. The operators \( T(n) \) and \( S(m) \) preserve the spaces \( M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \) and \( S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \).

2.2. Hecke Operators in Terms of q-Expansions. Finally, we can finally look at the Hecke operators in terms of the \( q \) expansion of a modular form. This is sometimes used to defining them, but is unmotivated.

Proposition 2.28. Let \( f(z) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \), with \( f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n \). If \( p \) is prime, then using the convention \( \chi(p) = 0 \) if \( p \divides N \) and \( a_n/p = 0 \) if \( p \nmid n \),
\[
T(p)(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{pn} + \chi(p)p^{k-1}a_{n/p})q^n
\]
More generally, it follows that
\[
T(m)(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d|(m,n)} \chi(d)d^{k-1}a_{mn/d^2} \right)q^n
\]

Proof. In the case that \( p \) is a prime, by definition \( T(p)f(z) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{N} F(\Lambda', \frac{1}{N}) \). The lattices \( \Lambda' \) are those containing \( \Lambda_0 = \mathbb{Z}z + \mathbb{Z}1 \) with index \( p \) such that \( \frac{1}{N} \) has order \( N \) modulo
Λ'. All such lattices contain \( \frac{1}{p} \Lambda_{z} \) which is spanned by \( \frac{z}{p} \) and \( \frac{1}{p} \). Any such \( \Lambda' \) must be generated by \( \Lambda_{z} \) along with a point \( \frac{a_{1}z + a_{2}}{p} \), where \( a_{1} \) and \( a_{2} \) matter only modulo \( p \). In particular, there is a distinct lattice \( \Lambda' \) for each of the \( p + 1 \) pairs \( [a_{1}, a_{2}] \) in the one dimensional projective space over \( \mathbb{F}_{p} \). If \( p \nmid N \), all of the lattices still have \( \frac{1}{p} \) as a point of order \( N \). The lattice corresponding to \([1, j]\) is \( L_{z + \frac{j}{p}} \). The lattice corresponding to \([0, 1]\) is \( \frac{1}{p} L_{pz} \), as it is generated by \( L_{z} \) and \( \frac{1}{p} \). In this case

\[
T(p) f(z) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f\left( \frac{z + j}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{N} F\left( \frac{1}{p} L_{pz}, \frac{1}{N} \right).
\]

But \( \frac{1}{p} F\left( \frac{1}{p} L_{pz}, \frac{1}{N} \right) = p^{k-1} F\left( L_{pz}, \frac{p}{N} \right) \). Using the operator \([p]\) and Proposition 2.26, this is \( p^{k-1} \chi(p) f(pz) \). Thus we have that

\[
T(p) f(z) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f\left( \frac{z + j}{p} \right) + \chi(p) p^{k-1} f(pz).
\]

Collecting terms of the \( q \)-expansion, the coefficient of \( q^n \) is \( a_{pn} + \chi(p) p^{k-1} a_{n/p} \).

If \( p
|N \), then the lattice corresponding to \([0, 1]\), generated by \( \frac{1}{p} \) and \( L_{z} \), must be thrown out. This removes the last term, but \( \chi(p) = 0 \) when \( p
|N \). Thus the same formula holds.

The proof for \( T(n) \) is Proposition 39 of Koblitz [13], and follows from the case \( T(p) \). \( \square \)

We can now talk about Hecke eigenforms. Since \( T(m) \) and \( T(n) \) commute for relatively prime \( n \) and \( m \), they preserve the other's eigenspaces. Thus it makes sense to look for modular forms that are eigenvectors for all of the \( T(n) \).

**Definition 2.29.** A Hecke eigenform is a (nonzero) modular form \( f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \) that is an eigenvector for all of the \( T(n) \), in other words for all \( n \)

\[
(11) \quad T(n)f = \lambda_n f
\]

for some \( \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C} \). It is called normalized if the coefficient of \( q \) is 1.

In particular, any nonzero modular form in a one dimensional space of modular forms must be a Hecke eigenform, for the Hecke operators preserve that space of modular forms. For example, since \( S_{12}(\Gamma_0(1), 1) \) is one dimensional, \( \Delta \) must be a Hecke eigenform.

We have a large amount of information about coefficients of a \( q \)-expansion for Hecke eigenforms.

**Proposition 2.30.** If \( f(z) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \) is a Hecke eigenform with eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \), and \( f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n \), then \( a_m = \lambda_m a_1 \). In addition, \( a_m a_n = a_{mn} \) for relatively prime \( m \) and \( n \).

**Proof.** Looking at the coefficient of \( q \) in \( T(m)f \) using Proposition 2.28, it is \( a_m \). On the other hand, the coefficient of \( q \) in \( \lambda_m f \) is \( \lambda_m a_1 \). But since for \( (n, m) = 1 \), \( T(n)T(m)f = T(mn)f \), it follows that \( \lambda_n \lambda_m = \lambda_{mn} \) and hence the coefficients \( a_n \) are multiplicative functions. \( \square \)

For example, this implies that because \( \Delta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n) q^n \) has \( \tau(1) = 1 \), \( \tau(n) = \lambda_n \) and hence \( \tau \) is a multiplicative function. Applying Proposition 2.28 gives information about what happens when \( n \) and \( m \) are not relatively prime as well.
Corollary 2.31. If \( f(z) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \) is a normalized Hecke eigenform of the form
\[
f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n,
\]
then
\[
a_n a_m = \sum_{d|(n,m)} \chi(d) d^{k-1} a_{mn/d^2}.
\]

2.3. The Fricke Involution. Another useful transformation is the Fricke involution. It can be defined in terms of the action of a matrix in \( \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Z}) \) or in terms of modular points. This material is discussed in Section 6.7 of Iwaniec [12].

Definition 2.32. Let \( N \) be an integer. The Fricke involution \( W_N \) acts on complex valued functions of weight \( k \) on the upper half plane via \( \left(\frac{0}{N}, -\frac{1}{0}, \frac{1}{N}, 0\right) \). Explicitly,
\[
W_N f = N^{-k/2} z^{-k} f \left(\frac{-1}{Nz}\right).
\]

It is immediate that \( W_N^2 = (-1)^k \), hence this is called an involution. Note that \( W_N \) exchanges the cusp at 0 and the cusp at \( \infty \). Furthermore, \( W_N \) normalizes \( \Gamma_0(N) \) in the sense that for \( \gamma \in \Gamma_0(N) \), if
\[
\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}
\]
then \( \gamma' = W_N \gamma W_N^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -c/N \\ -bN & a \end{pmatrix} \).

Then for \( f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \) and \( \gamma \in \Gamma_0(N) \),
\[
(f|_k W_N)|_k \gamma = f|_k \gamma' W_N = \chi(\gamma') f|_k W_N
\]
where \( \chi(\gamma') = \overline{\chi(\gamma)} \). Composing \( W_N \) with the conjugation operator defined by \( f \to \overline{f}(-z) \) gives \( W_N \) which preserves the space of cusp forms.

Alternately, the Fricke involution can be described in terms of modular points for \( \Gamma_1(N) \). Consider the map defined by
\[
(12) \quad W_N : (\omega_1 \mathbb{Z} + \omega_2 \mathbb{Z}, a/\mathbb{N}) \to (\omega_1 \mathbb{Z} + \omega_2 \mathbb{Z}, a'/\mathbb{N})
\]
where \( \omega_1/\omega_2 \in \mathbb{H} \) and \( a' \) is the inverse of \( a \) modulo \( N \).

Lemma 2.33. The map \( W_N : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} \) defined above is well defined. If \( F : \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{C} \) is the function on modular points associated to \( f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \), \( W_N f \) corresponds to \( N^{-k/2} F \circ W_N \).

Proof. This is well defined since given a modular point \( (\Lambda, t) \) \( Nt \) is a multiple of a complex number \( \omega_2 \) (unique up to sign) that can be used to form a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis for \( \Lambda \). Then the other basis vector \( \omega_1 \) is determined up to sign and addition of \( \omega_2 \). It is clear that adding \( \omega_2 \) doesn’t effect the image, and that because \( \omega_1/\omega_2 \) is required to be in the upper half plane the choice of sign doesn’t matter either.

Now take the modular point \( P_z \) with \( \omega_1 = z \in \mathbb{H} \), \( \omega_2 = 1 \), and \( a = 1 \). By definition, \( f(z) \) is \( F(P_z) \). \( W_N(P_z) \) is defined to be \( (z \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}, \frac{z}{N}) \). Factoring out a \( z \),
\[
F(W_N(P_z)) = z^{-k} F(\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}, \frac{1}{N}).
\]
The lattice is spanned by 1 and \( \frac{-1}{Nz} \), with the negative sign introduced to make \( \frac{-1}{Nz} \) in the upper half plane. But by definition
\[
F(Z + \frac{-1}{Nz}, \frac{1}{N}) = F(P_{\frac{-1}{Nz}}) = f(\frac{-1}{Nz})
\]
which agrees with Definition 2.32.

**Remark 2.34.** \( W_N \) commutes with scalar multiplication, while for \( f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \)
\[
F([d](\Lambda, t)) = \chi(d)F(\Lambda, t).
\]
Thus since \( W_N \) sends \( S_K(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \) to \( S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\chi}) \), it follows that
\[
W_N F((\omega_1Z + \omega_2Z, \frac{a}{N}\omega_2)) = \chi(a)F(W_N(\omega_1Z + \omega_2Z, \frac{1}{N}\omega_2)) = F(\omega_1Z + \frac{1}{N}\omega_2Z, \frac{a'}{N})
\]
which explains the strange inverse modulo \( N \) in the definition of \( W_N \).

This alternate description makes it clear that the Fricke involution almost commutes with the Hecke operators.

**Theorem 2.35.** A Hecke eigenform \( f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \) is also an eigenfunction of the involution operator \( W_N \).

**Proof.** Using slightly more of the theory of modular forms than has been discussed previously, this assertion can be reduced to checking that \( W_N T_n = \chi(n)T_n W_N \) for \( n \) relatively prime to \( N \) on the space of cusp forms \( S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \). By Lemma 6.25 of Iwaniec [12], if two Hecke eigenforms have the same eigenvalues for all \( T_n \) with \( (n, N) = 1 \), then one is a multiple of the other. Then if \( \lambda(n) \) are the eigenvalues of \( f \) with respect to \( T_n \), we have
\[
T_n W_N f = \chi(n)W_N T_n f = \chi(n)\overline{\lambda(n)} W_N f = \lambda(n)W_N f
\]
using the fact that the conjugation operation commutes with the Hecke operators and that \( \chi(n)\overline{\lambda(n)} \) is the eigenvalue \( \lambda(n) \).

To prove that \( W_N T_n = \chi(n)T_n W_N \) for \( n \) relatively prime to \( N \), we use Lemma 2.33 and Definition 2.23 and the language of modular points. Let \( P = (\Lambda, t) = (\omega_1Z + \omega_2Z, \frac{a'}{N}\omega_2) \), and \( F \) be the function on modular points associated to a modular form \( f \in S_K(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \). Since \( n \) and \( N \) are relatively prime, \( t \) will still be of order \( N \) in \( \mathbb{C}/\Lambda' \) for any lattice \( \Lambda' \) that contains \( \Lambda \) with index \( n \). Thus we have that
\[
nW_N T_n(F(P)) = W_N \left( \sum_{\Lambda'} F(\Lambda', \frac{s}{N}\omega_2) \right)
\]
where \( \Lambda' \) runs over lattices containing \( \Lambda \) with index \( N \). By Lemma 2.25, all such lattices are of the form \( \left( \frac{n}{n}\omega_1 + \frac{b}{n}\omega_2 \right)Z + \frac{d}{n}\omega_2Z \) for \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{array} \right) \) an integer matrix such that \( ad = n \), \( a \geq 1 \), and \( 0 \leq b < d \). Thus this equals
\[
\chi(\frac{n}{d}) \sum_{a,b,d} F \left( \left( \frac{n}{n}\omega_1 + \frac{b}{n}\omega_2 \right)Z + \frac{d}{Nn}\omega_2Z, \frac{s'}{N}(\frac{a}{n}\omega_1 + \frac{b}{n}\omega_2) \right)
\]
Pulling the \( \overline{\chi}(d) \) inside using \( \overline{\chi}(d)F(\Lambda, t) = F(\Lambda, dt) \) for \( F \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\chi}) \) gives
\[
\chi(n) \sum_{a,b,d} F \left( \left( \frac{n}{n}\omega_1 + \frac{b}{n}\omega_2 \right)Z + \frac{d}{Nn}\omega_2Z, \frac{s'}{N}(\frac{ad}{n}\omega_1 + \frac{bd}{n}\omega_2) \right)
\]
On the other hand, applying $W_n$ first gives

$$nt_nW_N F(P) = nt_nF(\omega_1Z + \frac{\omega_2}{N}Z, \frac{s'\omega_1}{N}) = \sum_{a,b,d} \left(F\left(\frac{a}{n}\omega_1 + \frac{b'}{Nn}\omega_2\right)Z + \frac{d}{nN}\omega_2Z, \frac{s'\omega_1}{N}\right).$$

Identifying $b$ with the $b'$ such that $bN = b'$ mod $d$ identifies the lattices in the two sums. Since $ad = n$ and $\frac{bd}{Nn}\omega_2$ is in the lattice, the two modular points agree as well. Thus $\chi(n)T_nW_N = W_N T_n$ which completes the proof. □

The Fricke involution is useful because it exchanges the cusp at infinity and the cusp represented by 0. It will explain a strange symmetry of the group $M_{24}$.

### 3. Modular Forms with Complex Multiplication

In general it requires special care to show that any particular modular form is a Hecke eigenform. However, for a class of modular forms that arise from imaginary quadratic fields, called modular forms with complex multiplication (CM) it is easy to tell when they are Hecke eigenforms.

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with discriminant $-D$. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be its ring of integers, $\mathfrak{m}$ a nontrivial ideal and $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the group of fractional ideals relatively prime to $\mathfrak{m}$.

**Definition 2.36.** A Hecke character is a group homomorphism $\phi : I_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that for all $\alpha \in K^\times$ with $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$, $\phi$ satisfies

$$\phi(\alpha\mathcal{O}_K) = \alpha^{k-1},$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq 2$.

Define a Dirichlet character $\chi_\phi$ for $n$ relatively prime to $\mathfrak{m}$ by

$$\chi_\phi(n) := \phi((n))/n^{k-1}$$

which has modulus $N\mathfrak{m}$.

**Theorem 2.37.** With the notation above, define

$$\Phi(z) := \sum_a \phi(a)q^{N(a)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)q^n,$$

where $N(a)$ denotes the norm of the ideal $a$ and the first sum is over integral ideals $a \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ that are prime to $\mathfrak{m}$. Then $\Phi(z)$ is a cusp form in $S_k(\Gamma_0(|D| \cdot N(\mathfrak{m})), (-D) \chi_\phi)$. If $\phi$ is a primitive character, then $f$ is a Hecke eigenform.

**Proof.** This is part of Section 12.3 of Iwaniec [12]. □

To define a Hecke character, it suffices to define it on a minimal set of generators for the class group. Assume $\pm 1$ are the only units in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$. Let $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_m$ be a minimal set of ideals whose ideal classes generate the class group. Let the order of $\pi_i$ in the class group be $n_i$. Given $\mathfrak{m}$, we must have $\phi((\alpha)) = \alpha^{k-1}$ if $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. Let $\chi$ be a character on $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}$ extended to $\mathcal{O}_K$ that satisfies $\chi(-1) = (-1)^{k-1}$. First define $\phi$ on principal ideals by $\phi((\alpha)) = \chi(\alpha)\alpha^{k-1}$. Since the only units in $\mathcal{O}_K$ are $\pm 1$, this definition is independent of the choice of generator, $\alpha$. To extend $\phi$ to non-principal ideals, and thus obtain a Hecke character, it suffices to define it on $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_m$ and extend multiplicatively. By the above
assumptions, $\pi_i$ is non-principal and $\pi_i^{n_i} = (\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in K^\times$. Thus $\phi(\pi_i)$ must be one of the $n_i$th roots of $\phi((\alpha)) = \alpha^{k-1}\chi(\alpha)$. Fixing $\phi(\pi_i)$ for each $i$ gives the Hecke character.

Modular forms with complex multiplication are easy to work with, as the coefficients of their $q$–expansion have explicit descriptions. Furthermore, one simple way to show a modular form is a Hecke eigenform is to show it has complex multiplication.

## 4. Eta Products

### 4.1. The Eta Function and its Transformation Laws.

The Dedekind eta function, although not a modular form in the sense defined above, is still very important in constructing examples of modular forms.

**Definition 2.38.** For $z \in H$, let $q = e^{2\pi i z}$. Define the Dedekind eta function by

\[
\eta(z) := q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n).
\]

Like modular forms, the Dedekind eta function satisfies simple transformational laws. In the following, use $\sqrt{\cdot}$ to denote the branch of the square root function with a branch cut along the negative real axis that is positive for the positive reals.

**Theorem 2.39.** Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $c > 0$. The Dedekind eta function satisfies

\[
\eta(z + 1) = e^{\pi i z/12} \eta(z)
\]
\[
\eta(-1/z) = \sqrt{z/i} \eta(z)
\]
\[
\eta(\gamma \cdot z) = \epsilon(\gamma) \sqrt{-i(cz + d)} \eta(z)
\]

where

\[
\epsilon(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}) = \exp(-i\pi \alpha(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}))
\]

and, if $(a, 6) = 1$, the number $\alpha(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix})$ satisfies

\[
\alpha(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}) \equiv \frac{1}{12} a(c - b - 3) - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \left(\frac{c}{a}\right)) \mod 2
\]

**Proof.** Note that $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ in the last line is a Legendre symbol. The third statement includes the first two. A proof of the general transformation rule is given after Theorem 3.4 of Apostol [1]. This precise formulation comes from Ligozat [15], Section 3.1. \[\square\]

**Remark 2.40.** The function $\Delta(z)$ defined in Example 2.11 is just the 24th power of $\eta(z)$. Raising the first and second transformation laws to the 24th power shows that $\Delta(z)$ satisfies the transformation laws for a weight 12 modular form for the elements $S$ and $T$ which generate $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Moonshine for $M_{24}$ involves products of eta functions. Given a function $r : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ with $r$ identically zero outside a finite set, consider the product

\[
f_r(z) := \prod_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta(dz)^{r(d)}
\]
We are interested in when this will be a well-behaved modular form.

**Theorem 2.41.** Let $N$ be a positive integer. For $d$ a divisor of $N$, denote $N/d$ by $d'$. Let $r$ be a function taking on non-negative values on divisors of $N$ and 0 elsewhere. Suppose $k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|N} r(d) \in \mathbb{Z}$. If

\[
\sum_{d|N} r(d)d' \equiv 0 \mod 24 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{d|N} r(d)d \equiv 0 \mod 24
\]

then $f(z) := \prod_{d|N} \eta(dz)^{r(d)}$ satisfies

\[
f\left(\frac{a}{c} \frac{b}{d} z\right) = \chi(d)(cz + d)^k f(z)
\]

for $\left(\frac{a}{c} \frac{b}{d}\right) \in \Gamma_0(N)$, where $\chi$ is a quadratic (possibly trivial) Dirichlet character.

**Remark 2.42.** There is an analogous result where $r$ takes on integer values, resulting in a quotient of eta functions.

**Remark 2.43.** The two conditions (16) are saying that $f(z)$ and $W_N f(z)$ have integral Fourier expansions as the $q^{\frac{1}{24}}$ in the eta product have combined. These conditions are certainly necessary for $f$ to be in $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$.

**Proof.** The proof generalizes proposition 3.1.1 in Ligozat [15]. Let $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ Nc & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ be an element of $\Gamma_0(N)$. Then

\[\eta(\delta U z) = \eta(U_\delta z) \quad \text{where} \quad U_\delta = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \delta \\ c \delta' & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)\]

by the definition of the action on $H$. Thus

\[
f(U z) = (-i(Ncz + d))^\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta|N} r_\delta \cdot f(z) \cdot \prod_{\delta|N} e(U_\delta)^{r_\delta}
\]

using the transformation law in Theorem 2.39. To calculate the third factor, we can assume that $(a, 6) = 1$ and $c > 0$. Because such matrices generate $\Gamma_0(N)$ (Ligozat [15] section 3.1), this suffices. However,

\[
\prod_{\delta|N} e(U_\delta)^{r(\delta)} = \exp(-i\pi \lambda)
\]

where $\lambda$ is the sum $\sum_{\delta|N} r(\delta)\alpha(U_\delta)$. By Theorem 2.39,

\[
\alpha(U_\delta) \equiv a \left(\begin{smallmatrix} c \delta' - b \delta - 3 \\ a \end{smallmatrix}\right) - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \left(\begin{smallmatrix} c \delta' \\ a \end{smallmatrix}\right)) \mod 2
\]

and hence

\[
\lambda \equiv \frac{ac}{12} \left(\sum_{\delta|N} r(\delta)\delta'\right) - \frac{ab}{12} \left(\sum_{\delta|N} r(\delta)\delta\right) - \frac{a}{4} \sum_{\delta|N} r(\delta) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta|N} (1 - \left(\begin{smallmatrix} c \delta' \\ a \end{smallmatrix}\right)) r(\delta) \mod 2
\]
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By hypothesis, this simplifies as
\[ \lambda \equiv 0 - 0 - \frac{ak}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta \mid N} r(\delta)(1 - \left( \frac{c\delta'}{a} \right)) \mod 2. \]

Because \( \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \pi i (1 - \left( \frac{c\delta'}{a} \right)) \right) = \left( \frac{c\delta'}{a} \right) \), it follows that
\[ \prod_{\delta \mid N} \epsilon(U_\delta)^{r(\delta)} = \exp \left( -i\pi \lambda \right) = \exp \left( -i\pi ak/2 \right) \prod_{\delta \mid N} \left( \frac{\delta'}{a} \right)^{r(\delta)} \]

The first term in 17 simplifies as \((-i)^k(Ncz + d)^k\), so
\[ f(Uz) = \exp \left( -i\pi k/2 \right)(Ncz + d)^k f(z) \exp(-i\pi k/2) \prod_{\delta \mid N} \left( \frac{\delta'}{a} \right)^{r(\delta)} \]

But \( \chi(d) := \exp\left( -\frac{i\pi k}{2}(1 + a) \right) \prod_{\delta \mid N} \left( \frac{\delta'}{a} \right)^{r(\delta)} \)

is a quadratic character as required, as \( ad = 1 \mod N \).

\[ \square \]

4.2. Eta Products that are Hecke Eigenforms. When looking at moonshine for the Mathieu groups, the interesting eta products are also Hecke eigenforms. The simplest way to ensure this is to have the eta product lie in a one dimensional space of cusp forms. Because the Hecke operators will preserve this space, any cusp form is automatically a Hecke eigenform. Mason uses this idea to find eta products of even weight that are Hecke eigenforms [17].

If a nonzero eta product is to be a cusp form and Hecke eigenform, it must vanish to order 1 at the cusp at infinity. If not, then the coefficient of \( q \) must be 0, in which case all the coefficients in the \( q \)-expansion will be 0 by Proposition 2.30. Since the Fricke involution preserves this one dimensional space of eigenforms, it must vanish to order 1 at the cusp 0 as well. In particular, this means that
\[ \sum_{d \mid N} r(d) d = 24 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{d \mid N} r(d) d' = 24. \]

Let \( N \) be a positive integer, \( k \) the weight, and \( \epsilon \) a quadratic character modulo \( N \) (possibly the trivial character). Setting
\[ \mu := |\Gamma_0(1) : \Gamma_0(N)| = N \prod_{p \mid B(N)} (1 + p^{-1}), \]

Theorem 2.18 shows that
\[ \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}_0(N)} \text{ord}_z(f) = \frac{\mu k}{12} \]

Furthermore, we know there are \( c(N) = \sum_{d \mid N} \phi(d, \frac{N}{d}) \) cusps. Suppose \( f \) is a cusp form and the order of vanishing at each cusp is an integer as happens when \( \epsilon \) is trivial and \( k \geq 2 \) is even [17]; then if
\[ c(N) = \frac{\mu k}{12} \]

then \( f \) must vanish to order 1 at each cusp and vanish nowhere else. Hence the space of all cusp forms is one dimensional.
Given these conditions, it is straightforward to calculate all eta products that are Hecke eigenforms for this reason. They are listed in Table 1: the notation \( 1^2 11^2 \) means the eta product \( \eta(z)^2 \eta(11z)^2 \).

### Table 1. Eta Products that are Hecke Eigenforms, even weight and trivial character

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1^24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1^2 11^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1^8 2^8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 2 7 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1^6 3^6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 3 5 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2^12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2^2 10^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1^4 5^4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 4 6 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1^2 2^2 3^2 6^2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2^4 4^4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3^2 9^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3^8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4^2 8^2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To illustrate, suppose \( N = p^r \). Then the number of cusps is for \( \Gamma_0(N) \) is

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{r} \varphi(p^\max(n,r-n)) \leq 2(1 + p + \ldots + p^{r/2})
\]

\[
\leq 2\left(\frac{p^{r/2}+1}{p-1}\right) \leq 4p^{r/2}
\]

On the hand, \( \mu = p^r(1 + p^{-1}) = p^r + p^{r-1} \) and \( k \) must be at least 2. But

\[
4p^{r/2} < \frac{p^r + p^{r-1}}{6}
\]

whenever \( r \geq 10 \) (and \( p \) is at least 2) or whenever \( p \geq 24 \) (and \( r \) is at least 1). Thus for equality to possibly hold \( r < 10 \) and \( p < 24 \). This leaves a finite number of cases to check.

For example, one case equality holds is when \( p = 11 \) and \( r = 1 \). By Theorem 17, \( f(z) = \eta(z)^2 \eta(11z)^2 \) lies in \( M_2(\Gamma_0(11), 1) \). \( f(z)^{12} = \Delta(z)\Delta(11z) \), which is known to be in \( S_{24}(\Gamma_0(11)) \). Furthermore, it is manifestly nonzero on the upper half plane. At the cusp infinity, \( f(z)^{12} \) has a \( q \)-expansion

\[
q^{12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}(1 - q^{11n})^{24},
\]

so has a zero of order 12 at \( \infty \). The action of \( S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) sends the cusp 0 to \( \infty \). Note that

\[
f(z)^{12}|_{24}S = z^{-24}\Delta(-11/z)\Delta(-1/z) = 1^{11-12}\Delta(z)\Delta(z/11)
\]

has a zero of order 12 at the cusp based on its \( q \)-expansion.

By the dimension formula, there is a nonzero element \( g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(11), 1) \) with a zero of order 1 at each cusp. Then \( (g/f)^{12} \) is a modular function for \( \Gamma_0(11) \) which is nonzero on the upper half plane. Furthermore, it is non-vanishing at the two cusps, which implies that it is a nonzero entire function and hence constant. Thus \( f \) is a multiple of \( g \), so \( \eta(z)^2 \eta(11z)^2 \) is in \( S_2(\Gamma_0(11)) \) and hence is a Hecke eigenform.

Comparing Table 1 with Table 7 gives the first hint of the moonshine that will be discussed in the next chapter.
CHAPTER 3

Moonshine For $M_{24}$

This chapter will present the moonshine theory for the Mathieu group $M_{24}$, relating eta products and the cycle shapes of elements of $M_{24}$. By analogy with monstrous moonshine, there is an infinite dimensional graded module that explains an infinite family of virtual characters that are multiplicative functions of their index. These ideas go back to G. Mason's papers [16] and [17].

1. $M_{24}$ and Hecke Eigenforms

The first hint of a connection between the Mathieu group $M_{24}$ and modular forms appears in Tables 1 and 7. Mason noticed in [17] that for all but the last two modular forms in Table 1, the shape of the eta product appears as the cycle shapes of elements in $M_{24}$. Furthermore, the level and weight of the modular form can be predicted from the cycle shape.

**Definition 3.1.** For an element $g \in M_{24}$, of cycle shape $1^{r(1)} \ldots 24^{r(24)}$, define

$$f_g(z) = \prod_{d=1}^{24} \eta(dz)^{r(d)}.$$

Define $k(g)$ to be half of the number of cycles of $g$ and $N(g)$ to be the product of the lengths of the longest and shortest cycles.

All of the elements of $M_{24}$ with $k(g)$ even correspond to eta products in Table 1. The other conjugacy classes in $M_{24}$ similarly correspond to modular forms.

**Theorem 3.2.** For each $g \in M_{24}$, $f_g(z)$ is a cusp form and a Hecke eigenform, with weight $k(g)$, level $N(g)$, and nebentypus character $\epsilon_g$. If the weight $k(g)$ is even, $\epsilon_g = 1$, otherwise $\epsilon_g$ is a quadratic character.

**Proof.** This is already done following Mason’s argument for elements of even weight: they are cusp forms and Hecke eigenforms because the space of cusp forms the eta product land in are one dimensional. For the elements of odd weight, routine calculation with Theorem 2.41 again shows that they lie in $S_{k(g)}(\Gamma_0(N(g)), \epsilon_g)$, where $\epsilon_g$ is a quadratic character. To show that these are Hecke eigenforms, for $k(g) > 1$ the dimension formula Theorem 2.21 also shows that the space of cusp forms they lie in is one dimensional.

For $k = 1$, the spaces may no longer be one dimensional. However, they are still Hecke eigenforms. One strategy is to check directly, but a nicer approach taken in [8] is to notice that the three eta products $\eta(z)\eta(23z)$, $\eta(3z)\eta(21z)$, $\eta(12z)^2$ agree for a sufficient number of terms in their $q$–expansion with modular forms with complex multiplication, which are known to be Hecke eigenforms by Theorem 2.37. The specific number fields and information about the Hecke characters are indicated in Table 1. The exact number of coefficients to compare is given in Corollary 2.19.

□
### Table 1. A few Hecke Characters giving eta products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>η-product</th>
<th>Number Field</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>Order of the Character</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>η(z)η(23z)</td>
<td>Q(√−23)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η(3z)η(21z)</td>
<td>Q(√−7)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η(12z)^2</td>
<td>Q(√−1)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remark 3.3.** In Dummit, Kisilevsky, and McKay [8], all eta products that are also cusp forms and Hecke eigenforms are found. By the reasoning in Section 4.2, the order of vanishing at ∞ must be 1, which means that the in the product

\[ \eta(z)^{r(1)} \eta(2z)^{r(2)} \ldots \eta(tz)^{r(t)} \]

it must hold that \( \sum_d d \cdot r(d) = 24 \). A computer can find all of the partitions of 24 that do not correspond to multiplicative eta products, and then the 30 remaining can be proven to be eigenforms using the techniques presented here.

**Remark 3.4.** As with all instances of moonshine, the reason for the appearance of the group \( M_{24} \) is murky. It may not even have anything to do with \( M_{24} \) being a sporadic simple group and connected to the monster: instead, it may be a result of the 24 dimensional representation of \( M_{24} \). Voskresenskaya [28] shows that for all groups of order 24, the eta products associated to the cycle shapes from the regular representation are multiplicative eta products. On the other hand, Dummit, Isilevsky and McKay [8] showed that of the conjugacy classes of \( S_{24} \), only 30 give multiplicative eta products.

## 2. Representations and Multiplicative eta products

The original observations about monstrous moonshine relating coefficients in the \( q \)-expansion of the \( j \)-function to the irreducible representations of the monster are generalized and explained (at least, partially explained) through the existence of an infinite dimensional graded module, as alluded to in the introduction. There is a similar representation lurking for \( M_{24} \).

### 2.1. A Family of Multiplicative Virtual Characters

Expanding the eta products associated to elements of \( M_{24} \), the coefficients give class functions for \( M_{24} \).

**Definition 3.5.** For \( g \in M_{24} \), write

\[ f_g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_g(n) q^n. \]

For any fixed positive integer \( n \), define

\[ \gamma_n(g) := a_g(n) \]

It is clear that \( \gamma_n \) is a class function. Furthermore, because all of the eta products associated with elements of \( M_{24} \) are Hecke eigenforms, it is clear that

**Corollary 3.6.** For positive integers \( n \) and \( m \) with \( (n, m) = 1 \), it is true that

\[ \gamma_n \gamma_m = \gamma_{mn} \]

In other words, the \( \gamma_n \) form a multiplicative family of class functions.
The $\gamma_n$ cannot be characters for $M_{24}$ since the dimension of a representation cannot be negative, and $\Delta(z) = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1462q^4 + \ldots$ corresponds to the identity element. However, the $\gamma_n$ are virtual characters. The following proof is due to Mason [16].

**Theorem 3.7.** For all positive integers $n$ and all irreducible characters $\chi$ of $M_{24}$, $(\gamma_n, \chi) \in \mathbb{Z}$. In other words, $\gamma_n$ is a virtual character.

For any specific $n$, this can be verified by simply evaluating the inner product with full knowledge of the character table from the atlas. More generally, to check that $\gamma_n$ are subgroups of $Z$ for all irreducible characters of $M_{24}$, this can be interpreted as the coefficient of $q^n$ in the expression

$$
\frac{1}{|M_{24}|} \sum_{g \in M_{24}} f_g(z) = \frac{1}{|M_{24}|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{g \in M_{24}} a_n(g) \right) q^n.
$$

If the average of $f_g(z)$ over $M_{24}$ lies in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$, then part of the theorem is proven. Such a statement is plausible, since there are similar combinatorial statements like Burnside’s lemma (the average over a finite group of the number of points fixed by a group action is equal to the number of orbits). In fact, this suggests that such a statement might not be unique to $M_{24}$, but hold for any group acting on a finite set.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let $G$ be a finite group with a fixed permutation representation. For $g \in G$, of cycle shape $1^{r(1)}2^{r(2)}\ldots N^{r(N)}$, define

$$
f_g(z) = \prod_{d=1}^{N} \eta(dz)^{r(d)} = q^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n(g) q^n.
$$

Then the average

$$
\zeta(G, \eta) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_g(z)
$$

has integer coefficients. In particular, for any $n$

$$(\gamma_n, 1_G)_G \in \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

Assuming this theorem, the specific result for $M_{24}$ follows. First, $M_{24}$ embeds in $S_{24}$, and the characters $\gamma_n$ for $M_{24}$ and $S_{24}$ agree on $M_{24}$. If $\gamma_n$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$–linear combination of irreducible characters of $S_{24}$, then since any character of $S_{24}$ restricts to a character of $M_{24}$ $\gamma_n$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$–linear combination of irreducible characters of $M_{24}$. Thus it suffices to check that $(\gamma_n, \chi) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all irreducible characters of $S_{24}$. But all such characters are $\mathbb{Z}$–linear combinations of transitive permutation character by Proposition 1.14, so $\chi = \sum_i a_i \text{Ind}_{H_i}^{S_{24}}(1_{H_i})$ where the $H_i$ are subgroups of $S_{24}$. By Frobenius reciprocity, $(\gamma_n, \text{Ind}_{H_i}^{S_{24}}(1_{H_i}))_{S_{24}} = (\gamma_n, 1_{H_i})_{H_i}$ which is an integer by Theorem 3.8. This implies $(\gamma_n, \chi) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and hence that $\gamma_n$ is a virtual character of $M_{24}$.

To prove Theorem 3.8, we use a generalization of Burnside’s lemma known as Pólya’s Theorem. Let $G$ be a finite group of permutations of the set $D$, with $|D| = N$, and let $y_1 \ldots y_n$ be indeterminates. The cycle index is defined to be

$$
\zeta(G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \prod_{i=1}^{N} y_i^{r(i)}
$$
where \( g \in G \) has cycle shape \( 1^{r(1)} \cdots N^{r(N)} \). For a power series \( f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]] \), define
\[
\zeta(G, f) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \prod_{i=1}^{N} f(t^i)^{r(i)}
\]
which is an evaluation of the cycle index at the power series.

Furthermore, for another finite set \( R \) and a weight function \( w : R \to A \) where \( A \) is a commutative ring, define \( \mathbb{F} \) to be the set of all functions \( D \to R \) and \( \mathcal{O} \) to be the set of orbits of \( G \) acting on \( \mathbb{F} \) via \((gf)(d) = f(gd)\). Define the weight of a function to be
\[
w(f) = \prod_{d \in D} w(f(d)).
\]
which is constant on classes of \( \mathcal{O} \). Define another variant of the cycle index \( \zeta(G, w) \) by replacing each \( y_i \) by \( \sum_{r \in R} w(r)^i \).

**Theorem 3.9 (Pólya).** With the notation as above, we have that
\[
\zeta(G, w) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{O}} w(F)
\]
This has the same spirit of Burnside’s theorem, for it says that a weighted sum of the number of orbits equals an average of weights. A proof will be given in Section 2.2. Pólya’s Theorem is the key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 3.8.

**Proof.** To show that \( \zeta(G, \eta) \) has integer coefficients, it suffices to show that the coefficients are algebraic integers, since they are certainly rational numbers. For any fixed positive integer \( d \), to show that the first \( d \) coefficients are integers it suffices to consider the expression
\[
f_d(q) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_g^d(z)
\]
where \( f_g^d \) is a product obtained by replacing the infinite product for \( \eta \) with the finite product involving only terms up to degree \( d - 1 \). Suppose there exist an integer \( b \) and elements \( g_k(q) \in \mathbb{C}[q], 1 \leq k \leq b \), such that \( g_k(q) \) has algebraic integer coefficients for \( 1 \leq k \leq b \) and \( \sum_{k=1}^{b} g_k(q)^e = f_d(q^e) \) for \( 1 \leq e \leq N \). Define a function \( w : \{1, \ldots, b\} \to \mathbb{C}[z] \) by \( w(k) = g_k \). Then using Pólya’s Theorem, since the coefficients of \( \sum_{F \in \mathcal{O}} w(F) \) are all algebraic integers it follows that the coefficients of \( \zeta(G, w) \) are also algebraic integers. However, since \( \sum_{k=1}^{b} g_k(q)^e = f_d(q^e) \),
\[
\zeta(G, w) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{b} g_k(q)^i \right)^{r(i)}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \prod_{i=1}^{N} f_d(t^i)^{r(i)}
\]
\[
= \zeta(G, f)
\]
as desired. This holds for any \( d \), so all the coefficients are rational numbers and algebraic integers and hence lie in \( \mathbb{Z} \).

It remains to construct the \( g_k \). If such \( g_k \) exist for each monomial \( c_i q^i \) in a polynomial, the collection of all such \( g_k \) work for the whole polynomial. For a monomial \( c_i q^i \) with \( c_i > 0 \),
take \( b = c_i \) and \( g_1 = \ldots g_b = q^i \). If \( c_i < 0 \), take a prime \( p > N \) and let \( \lambda \) be a primitive \( p \)th root of \( -c_i \). Take \( b = p - 1 \) and \( g_k(q) = \lambda^{ke_i} q^i \). Furthermore, for \( 1 \leq e \leq N \),
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} g_k(t)^e = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \lambda^{ke} \right) q^e = c_i q^{e_i}
\]
as desired. Thus such \( g_k \) always exist and the proof is complete. \( \square \)

Remark 3.10. The phenomena of the coefficients of eta products giving virtual characters is not special to \( M_{24} \). In his paper on frame-shapes [16], Mason shows that for any association of elements of a finite group to eta products based on cycle shapes of any permutation representation, the coefficient of \( q^n \) in the \( q \)-expansion is a virtual character. There is also nothing special about the eta function: a similar argument works for any power series in \( q \). The proof is essentially the same.

2.2. A Proof of Pólya’s Theorem. The following proof of Pólya’s Theorem uses the notation from the previous section, and follows the proof presented in Bruijn [6].

Burnside’s lemma says that the number of orbits \( |\mathcal{O}| \) is the average over \( G \) of the number of functions fixed by a permutation \( g \in G \). Furthermore, the number of orbits with weight \( \omega \) is given by
\[
\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} s_\omega(g)
\]
where \( s_\omega(g) \) is the number of functions of weight \( \omega \) fixed by \( g \). Summing over all possible weights gives all orbits, so
\[
\sum_{f \in \mathcal{O}} w(f) = \sum_{\omega} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} s_\omega(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{\omega} \omega s_\omega(g)
\]
If \( g \) has cycle shape \( 1^{(1)} 2^{(2)} \ldots n^{(n)} \), then if \( g \) fixes a function the function must be constant on every cycle of \( q \). The permutation divides \( D \) into a collection of disjoint components, on which the function must be constant. Labeling these components by \( D_1 \ldots D_k \), we will show that
\[
\sum_{f=gf} w(f) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{r \in R} w(r)^{|D_i|}.
\]
To prove this, consider expanding the product: picking a term in the expansion is the same as picking a mapping of \( \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \) to \( R \), and the value of the term in the expansion is the weight of the function interpreted as a function from \( D \) to \( R \), constant on each component. Therefore it follows that
\[
\sum_{\omega} \omega s_\omega(g) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{O}, g \in G} w(f) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{r \in R} w(r)^{|D_i|} \right)^{|D_i|}
\]
Averaging over \( g \in G \) gives
\[
\zeta(G, f) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{r \in R} w(r)^{|D_i|} \right)^{|D_i|} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{\omega} \omega s_\omega(g) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{O}} w(f).
\]
This completes the proof.
3. The Moonshine Module

The family of virtual characters associated to $M_{24}$ through eta products suggests that there should be a “natural” infinite dimensional virtual graded module $V$ such that

$$V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} V_n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr}(g|V_n) = \gamma_n(g)$$

The existence of such a virtual module is equivalent to the fact that the $\gamma_n$ are virtual characters. The content of this assertion is that the virtual module $V$ should have an independent description.

This is similar to the theory of moonshine for the monster group discussed in the introduction, in which it was possible to prove the existence of a module satisfying the moonshine conjectures without constructing it. There was a conjectured construction, but it was far from clear that it satisfied the moonshine conjectures. Proving the equivalence, which shed a bit of light on why the moonshine conjectures are true, was the heart of Borcherd’s work.

Happily, the module associated to $M_{24}$ is easier to construct.

Let $M$ be the standard 24-dimensional permutation representation of $M_{24}$. Represent a partition $\lambda$ of $n$ by $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ of $n$ where $\lambda_k$ is the number of times $k$ appears in the partition. Being a partition means that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k \lambda_k = n$. Denote $\lambda$ being a partition of $n$ by $\lambda \prec n$. Define

$$\sigma(\lambda) := (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k}$$

and define

$$M_\lambda := \bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} \Lambda^{\lambda_k}(M)$$

where the product is taken in the Grothendieck ring of finite dimensional representations of $M_{24}$.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let $V$ be the infinite dimensional graded virtual module

$$V = \oplus V_n \quad \text{where} \quad V_n = \sum_{\lambda \prec (n-1)} \sigma(\lambda) M_\lambda.$$  (24)

$V$ explains the family of characters $\gamma_n$ in the sense that for $g \in M_{24}$

$$\text{tr}(g|V_n) = \gamma_n(g).$$

It will be convenient to think about graded modules as being represented as a power series, with the coefficient of $q^n$ being the $n$th graded piece. The ring formed is isomorphic to $R[[q]]$, where $R$ is the Grothendieck ring of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ modules. This ring is naturally isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}[G])[[q]]$, the power series ring over the ring of class functions. The isomorphism is given by associating to each virtual module its virtual character.

Suppose that for a power series $h \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$, the characters defined for $g \in M_{24}$ of cycle shape $g = 1^{r(1)} \ldots (24)^{r(24)}$ by

$$h_g(q) := \prod_{i=1}^{N} h(q^i)^{r(i)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \gamma_n^h(g) q^n$$

are virtual characters. Then $h$ determines an element of $R[[q]]$. Denote it by $\iota(h)$. It is straightforward to verify that $\iota(h_1) \iota(h_2) = \iota(h_1 \cdot h_2)$. This will let us prove the theorem.
Proof. Consider the case of \( h(q) = 1 - q \). We know that
\[
h_g(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 - q^i)^{r(i)}
\]
The factor \((1 - t^i)\) is the characteristic polynomial of an \( i \) cycle acting on an \( i \) dimensional vector space by permutation, so the right side is simply \( \prod_{\lambda}(1 - \lambda q) \) where \( \lambda \) runs through the eigenvalues (with multiplicity) of the action of \( g \). Therefore the coefficient of \( q^n \) is simply \((-1)^n\) times the \( n \)th elementary symmetric polynomial evaluated on the eigenvalues of \( g \). However, we know that the character of \( \Lambda^n(M) \) evaluated at \( g \) is the \( n \)th elementary symmetric polynomial evaluated on the eigenvalues of \( g \) by Proposition 1.3. Thus it follows that
\[
\iota(1 - q) = \sum_{n=0}^{24} (-1)^n \Lambda^n(M) q^n
\]
Similarly, it follows that
\[
\iota(1 - q^k) = \sum_{n=0}^{24} (-1)^n \Lambda^n(M) q^{nk}.
\]
But then
\[
\iota(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^k)) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{24} (-1)^n \Lambda^n(M) q^{nk} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\lambda, \sigma(k)}^{} \sigma(\lambda) M_{\lambda} \right) q^k
\]
where it makes sense to talk of the infinite product because the coefficient of \( q^n \) depends only on \( \iota(1 - q^k) \) for \( k \leq n \). The characters associated to \( \iota(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^k)) \) are by definition
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n-1} q^n
\]
with the index offset because the \( q^{1/24} \) is not included in the infinite product. This shows that \( \text{tr}(g|V_n) = \gamma_n(g) \).

Remark 3.12. This provides an independent proof of the fact that the \( \gamma_n \) are virtual characters of \( M_{24} \) by explicitly finding the virtual module producing them. Mason does this in more generality, showing that for any power series \( h \) with constant term 1 and any representation of a group, the class functions \( \gamma_h^n \) defined in terms of the frame shape \( g = \prod_{i=1}^{N} r^{(i)} \) by
\[
h_g(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} h(q^i)^{r(i)} = \sum_{n\geq0} \gamma_h^n(g) q^n
\]
can be expressed in terms of symmetric and exterior powers of the representation of the group. In this generality, the characters are only rational characters, not virtual characters [16].

The fact that all of the \( f_g \) are Hecke eigenforms shows that this family of representations has the unusual property of being multiplicative.

Corollary 3.13. For \( n \) and \( m \) relatively prime integers,
\[
V_n \otimes V_m \simeq V_{nm}
\]

Proof. The characters of both sides are \( \gamma_n \gamma_m \) and \( \gamma_{nm} \) by definition. By Corollary 3.6, these are equal, which implies the representations are equal. \( \square \)
4. Number Theory Explaining $M_{24}$

Having established this correspondence between the representation theory of $M_{24}$ and modular forms, the natural next step is to attempt to use number theory to understand $M_{24}$ and its representation theory. One of the original “accidents”, presented in Conway and Norton [18] and apparent in Table 7, that led to investigations of moonshine is that for $g \in M_{24}$ of cycle shape $1^{r(1)} \ldots 24^{r(24)}$, these exist an $N$ such that $r(m) = r(N/m)$ for $m \leq N$. The bridge between $M_{24}$ and the theory of modular forms explains this symmetry.

Recall that the Fricke involution $W_N$ is given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Although $W_N$ is not in $\Gamma_0(N)$, the Fricke involution does preserve the space of cusp forms. In particular, any Hecke eigenform is a eigenfunction for $W_N$. Now suppose that $f(z) = \prod_d \eta(dz)^{r(d)}$ is a Hecke eigenform in $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$. In particular, this means that all of the $d$ with $r(d) \neq 0$ divide $N$ and the hypotheses of Theorem 2.41 are satisfied.

Applying the Fricke involution,

$$W_N f = N^{-k/2} z^{-k} f\left(\frac{-1}{Nz}\right) = N^{-k/2} z^{-k} \prod_d \eta\left(-\frac{d}{Nz}\right)^{r(d)}$$

$$= N^{-k/2} z^{-k} \prod_d \sqrt{(N/d) \left(\frac{z}{i}\right)^{r(d)}} \eta\left(\frac{Nz}{d}\right)^{r(d)}$$

$$= c \prod_d \eta(dz)^{r\left(\frac{N}{d}\right)}$$

using Theorem 2.39. In order for this to be a scalar multiple of $f(z)$, $r(d)$ must equal $r(N/d)$ when $r(d) \neq 0$. Using the correspondence between cycle shapes in $M_{24}$ and Hecke eigenforms, the cycle shapes must be symmetric as well.

5. Representation Theory Explaining Number Theory

It is also possible to use the description of this virtual module to analyze Ramanujan’s $\tau$ function. Recall that it is defined to be the coefficients of $\Delta$:

$$\Delta(z) := \eta(z)^{24} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n$$

Some general information about $\tau(n)$ is contained in Chapter 7, section 4.5 of Serre [25]. One surprising fact about $\tau(n)$ is that it satisfies congruences modulo powers of the primes 2, 3, 5, 7, 23, and 691. For example, Wilton proves that

$$\tau(n) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{mod 23 if } (\frac{n}{23}) = -1 \\ 2 & \text{mod 23 if } n = u^2 + 23v^2 \text{ for } u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ -1 & \text{mod 23 otherwise} \end{cases}$$

by a relatively elementary argument [29]. A list of all of these congruences (along with an explanation along a totally different line) is found in Swinnerton-Dyer [27].

The formula for $V_n$ in Theorem 3.11 suggests there should be a congruence for $\tau(n)$ modulo 23. The coefficients of $\tau(n)$ arise from the identity element of $M_{24}$, and the dimension
of $\Lambda^r(M)$ is \(\binom{24}{r}\), which is congruent to 0 modulo 23 for \(r \neq 0, 1, 23, 24\). Since so many terms disappear, it is natural to look for a congruence modulo 23. Since for \(r = 0, 1, 23, 24\) we have \(\dim(\Lambda^r(M)) = 1 \mod 23\),

\[
\tau(n) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P} \cap n-1} \sigma(\lambda) \mod 23
\]

where the partition runs only over $\lambda$ with all of the $\lambda_i = 0, 1, 23, 24$.

Define $a(n)$ to be the number of partitions of $n$ into an even number of distinct parts minus the number of of partitions of $n$ into an odd number of distinct parts. The pentagonal number theorem, appearing as equation (3.1) of Wilton [29], states that

\[
\prod_n (1 - q^n) = \sum_n a(n)q^n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{2}n(3n+1)}.
\]

A partition of $n - 1$ into parts where each part occurs 0, 1, 23 or 24 times is the same as selecting numbers that sum to $h$, taking 23 of each of them, and then picking a partition of $n - 1 - 23h$ into distinct parts. Since 23 is odd, the number of parts arising from selecting 23$h$ elements is the number of elements picked that sum to $h$. Thus (28) gives that

\[
\tau(n) = a(n-1) + a(1)a(n-24) + \ldots + a(h)a(n-1-23h) \mod 23
\]

where $h = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{23} \rfloor$. We know that $a(n-1-23i) = 0$ by the pentagonal number theorem unless $n - 1 - 23i = \frac{1}{2}m(3m \pm 1)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Simplifying this equation modulo 23,

\[
\begin{align*}
  n - 1 &= \frac{1}{2}m(3m \pm 1) \mod 23 \\
  n - 1 &= 12(3m^2 \pm m) \mod 23 \\
  n &= (6m \pm 1)^2 \mod 23
\end{align*}
\]

Thus if $n$ is not a quadratic residue modulo 23, $\tau(n) \equiv 0 \mod 23$.

This method of obtaining the congruence modulo 23 is no more and no less than a disguised version of the proof Wilton gives using generating functions. The fact that the only partitions that contribute to $\tau(n) \mod 23$ are those that use each integer 0, 1, 23 or 24 times corresponds to decomposing the generating function

\[
\Delta(q) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{24}) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{23n}) \mod 23
\]

The coefficients of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$ are precisely the $a(n)$, and Wilton invokes the pentagonal number theorem for the same purpose. The point of using the $M_{24}$ module $V$ is that it provides an explanation for why there should be a congruence modulo 23: because almost all of the dimensions of the exterior powers of $M$ vanish modulo 23.

The spirit of moonshine is that there is a connection between the representations of the finite sporadic simple groups connected with the Monster group and certain types of modular forms. For $M_{24}$, conjugacy classes correspond to eta products based on cycle shape. For the Monster group, its module gives a correspondence between conjugacy classes and certain Hauptmodul. This connection allows number theory and representation theory to interact, explaining the balanced cycle shapes of $M_{24}$ in terms of Fricke involutions and allowing the description of the graded virtual module $V$ in terms of the exterior algebra to explain congruences of the $\tau$ function. Despite the connection illuminating these issues, there is still no satisfactory answer to why these groups are involved, and why moonshine is monstrous.