# Sufficient Statistics

#### February 21, 2008

For observations  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  and statistic T(X), the conditional probability

$$\mathbf{f}_{X|T(X)}(\mathbf{x}|t,\theta) = P_{\theta}\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | T(X) = t\}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

is, typically, a function of both t and  $\theta$ .

However, consider the case  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ , a sequence of *n* Bernoulli trials with success probability parameter  $\theta$  and the statistic  $T(X) = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$  the total number of successes. Then

$$\mathbf{f}_X(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = P_{\theta}\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\} = \theta^{x_1}(1-\theta)^{(1-x_1)} \cdots \theta^{x_n}(1-\theta)^{(1-x_n)}$$
  
=  $\theta^{x_1+\dots+x_n}(1-\theta)^{(n-(x_1\dots+x_n))}$ 

and T(X) is a  $Bin(n, \theta)$  random variable.

Referring to equation (1), if  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \neq t$ , then the value of the statistic is incompatible with the observations. In this case, equation (1) equals 0. On the other hand, if  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = t$ , then, we have,

$$\mathbf{f}_{X|T(X)}(\mathbf{x}|t,\theta) = \frac{\mathbf{f}_X(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f_{T(X)}(t|\theta)} = \frac{P_{\theta}\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\}}{P_{\theta}\{T(X) = t\}} = \frac{\theta^t (1-\theta)^{n-t}}{\binom{n}{t} \theta^t (1-\theta)^{n-t}} = \binom{n}{t}^{-1},$$

an answer that does not depend on the parameter  $\theta$ .

**Definition 1.** For observations  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ , the statistic T, is called a sufficient statistic if equation (1) is a function of the values, t, of the statistic and does not depend on the value of the parameter  $\theta$ .

Thus, by the law of total probability

$$P_{\theta}\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\} = P\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | T(X) = T(\mathbf{x})\} P_{\theta}\{T(X) = T(\mathbf{x})\}.$$

and once we know the value of the sufficient statistic, we cannot obtain any additional information about the value of  $\theta$  from knowing the observed values  $X_1 \dots, X_n$ .

How we find sufficient statistics is given by the Neyman-Fisher factorization theorem.

#### **1** Neyman-Fisher Factorization Theorem

**Theorem 2.** The statistic T is sufficient for  $\theta$  if and only if functions g and h can be found such that

$$\mathbf{f}_X(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})g(\theta, T(\mathbf{x})) \tag{2}$$

The central idea in proving this theorem can be found in the case of discrete random variables.

*Proof.* Because T is a function of  $\mathbf{x}$ ,

$$\mathbf{f}_X(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = f_{X,T(X)}(\mathbf{x}, T(\mathbf{x})|\theta) = \mathbf{f}_{X|T(X)}(\mathbf{x}|T(\mathbf{x}), \theta) f_{T(X)}(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)$$

If we assume that T is sufficient, then  $\mathbf{f}_{X|T(X)}(\mathbf{x}|T(\mathbf{x}),\theta)$  is not a function of  $\theta$  and we can set it to be  $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})$ . The second term is a function of  $T(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\theta$ . We will write it  $g(\theta, T(\mathbf{x}))$ .

If we assume the factorization in equation (3), then, by the definition of conditional expectation,

$$P_{\theta}\{X = \mathbf{x} | T(X) = t\} = \frac{P_{\theta}\{X = \mathbf{x}, T(X) = t\}}{P_{\theta}\{T(X) = t\}}$$

or,

$$\mathbf{f}_{X|T(X)}(\mathbf{x}|t,\theta) = \frac{\mathbf{f}_{X,T(X)}(\mathbf{x},t|\theta)}{f_{T(X)}(t|\theta)}$$

The numerator is 0 if  $T(\mathbf{x}) \neq t$  and is

$$\mathbf{f}_X(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})g(\theta, t)$$

otherwise.

The denominator

$$f_{T(X)}(t|\theta) = \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}:T(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})=t} \mathbf{f}_X(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}|\theta) = \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}:T(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})=t} \mathbf{h}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})g(\theta, t).$$

The ratio

$$\mathbf{f}_{X|T(X)}(\mathbf{x}|t,\theta) = \frac{\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})g(\theta,t)}{\sum_{\mathbf{\tilde{x}}:T(\mathbf{\tilde{x}})=t}\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}})g(\theta,t)} = \frac{\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{\mathbf{\tilde{x}}:T(\mathbf{\tilde{x}})=t}\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}})}$$

which is independent of  $\theta$  and, therefore, T is sufficient.

# 2 Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Looking at the likelihood in the case of a sufficient statistic, we have that

$$\mathbf{L}(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})g(\theta, T(\mathbf{x})).$$

Thus, maximizing the likelihood is equivalent to maximizing  $g(\theta, T(\mathbf{x}))$  and the maximum likelihood estimator

 $\hat{\theta}(T(\mathbf{x}))$ 

is a function of the sufficient statistic.

### **3** Unbiased Estimation

We shall learn something about the value of sufficient statistics for unbiased estimators after we review a couple of facts about conditional expectation. Write

$$\phi(u) = E[Y|U = u] = \sum_{y} y f_{Y|U}(y|u)$$

In words,  $\phi(u)$  is the average of Y on the set  $\{U = u\}$ . Thus, by the law of total probability

$$E\phi(U) = \sum_{u} E[Y|U = u]f_U(u) = \sum_{u} \sum_{y} yf_{Y|U}(y|u)f_Y(u) = \sum_{y} y\sum_{u} f(y,u) = \sum_{y} yf_Y(y) = \mu_Y.$$
 (4)

Also,

$$\sigma_Y^2 = E[(Y - \mu_Y)^2] = E[(Y - \phi(U)) + (\phi(U) - \mu_y))^2]$$
  
=  $E[(Y - \phi(U))^2] + 2E[(Y - \phi(U))(\phi(U) - \mu_Y)] + E[(\phi(U) - \mu_Y)^2]$ 

The second term

$$E[(Y - \phi(U))(\phi(U) - \mu_Y)] = \sum_u \sum_y (y - \phi(u))(\phi(u) - \mu_Y)f(y, u)$$
  
= 
$$\sum_u (\phi(u) - \mu_Y) \left(\sum_y (y - \phi(u))f_{Y|U}(y|u)\right) f_Y(u) = 0.$$

The sum in parenthesis is 0 because  $\phi(u)$  is the mean of the conditional density function  $f_{Y|U}(y|u)$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{y} (y - \phi(u)) f_{Y|U}(y|u) = \sum_{y} y f_{Y|U}(y|u) - \phi(u) \sum_{y} f_{Y|U}(y|u) = \sum_{y} y f_{Y|U}(y|u) - \phi(u) = 0$$

Consequently, from equation (3)

$$\sigma_Y^2 = E[(Y - \phi(U))^2] + \sigma_{\phi(U)}^2.$$

and

$$\sigma_Y^2 \ge \sigma_{\phi(U)}^2. \tag{5}$$

with equality if and only if  $Y = \phi(U)$ .

If d(X) is an estimator and T(X) is a sufficient statistic, then  $E_{\theta}[d(X)|T(X)]$  does not depend on  $\theta$  and thus, it is also a statistic. Let's call it  $\phi(T(X))$ .

By equation (4),

$$g(\theta) = E_{\theta}d(X) = E_{\theta}\phi(T(X))$$

Thus, if d(X) is an unbiased estimator, then so is  $\phi(T(X))$ . In addition, be equation (5),

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(\phi(T(X)) \le \operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(d(X)).$$
(6)

with equality if and only if  $d(X) = \phi(T(X))$  and the estimator is a function of the sufficient statistic. Equation (6) is called the **Rao-Blackwell theorem**.

# 4 Examples

**Example 3** (Uniform random variables). Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be  $U(0, \theta)$  random variables. Then, the joint density function

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \begin{cases} 1/\theta^n & \text{if, for all } i, \ 0 \le x_i \le \theta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If we rewrite this using indicator function notation, then

$$\mathbf{f}_X(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{[0,\theta]}(\max_{1 \le i \le n} x_i).$$

Thus,  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} x_i$  is a sufficient statistic with the factorization

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$$
 and  $g(\theta, t) = I_{[0,\theta]}(t)/\theta^n$ .

**Example 4** (Exponential families). Recall that an exponential family of random variables has its density of the form

$$f_X(x|\theta) = c(\theta)h(x)\exp(\nu(\theta)T(x)).$$

Thus by the factorization theorem, T is a sufficient statistic.  $\nu$  is called the natural parameter.

Example 5 (Bernoulli observations). The density is

$$\mathbf{f}_X(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \theta^{x_1 + \dots + x_n} (1-\theta)^{(n-(x_1\dots + x_n))} = (1-\theta)^n \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)^{(x_1 + \dots + x_n)}$$

Thus, the sufficient statistic is sum of the observations  $T(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$  and the natural parameter  $\nu(\theta) = \ln(\theta/(1-\theta))$ , the **log-odds**,

**Example 6** (Gamma random variables). For a multidimensional parameter space, the exponential family is defined with the product in the exponential replaced by the inner product.

$$f_X(x|\theta) = c(\theta)h(x)\exp\langle\nu(\theta), T(x)\rangle.$$

For a gamma random variable, we have the density,

$$f_X(x|\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x}$$

Thus for n independent  $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  random variables

$$\mathbf{f}_X(\mathbf{x}|\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\beta^{n\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)^n} (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta(x_1 + \cdots + x_n))$$
$$= \frac{\beta^{n\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)^n} (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{-1} \exp(\alpha(\ln x_1 \cdots + \ln x_n) - \beta(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)).$$

Thus, the sufficient statistic

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = (\ln x_1 + \dots + \ln x_n, x_1 + \dots + x_n)$$

and the natural parameters

$$\nu(\alpha,\beta) = (\alpha,-\beta).$$