Let $u(\mathbf{x}, t) = \text{density}$ (heat, momentum, probability,...) so that

$$\int_{R} u \, d\mathbf{x} = \text{amount in region } R \subset \Omega.$$

Let $u(\mathbf{x}, t) = \text{density}$ (heat, momentum, probability,...) so that $\int_{R} u \, d\mathbf{x} = \text{amount in region } R \subset \Omega.$

A quantity is conserved if it can be gained or lost only

- by flow through domain boundaries,
- because of sources and sinks in the domain.

Let $u(\mathbf{x}, t) = \text{density}$ (heat, momentum, probability,...) so that $\int_{R} u \, d\mathbf{x} = \text{amount in region } R \subset \Omega.$

A quantity is conserved if it can be gained or lost only

- by flow through domain boundaries,
- because of sources and sinks in the domain.

Model ingredients:

- The flow or *flux* is a vector field $\mathbf{J}(x, t)$, so that $\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dA$ is flow across infinitesimal area $\hat{\mathbf{n}} dA$.
- Q(x, t) is the rate of inflow at point **x**

Conservation of $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ on any region $R \subset \Omega$ implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{R} u\,d\mathbf{x} = \int_{R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\,d\mathbf{x} = -\int_{\partial R} \mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{\hat{n}}d\mathbf{x} + \int_{R} Q(x,t)d\mathbf{x}.$$

(recall notation: ∂R is boundary of R, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is *outward* normal vector)

Conservation of $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ on any region $R \subset \Omega$ implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{R} u\,d\mathbf{x} = \int_{R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\,d\mathbf{x} = -\int_{\partial R} \mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{\hat{n}}d\mathbf{x} + \int_{R} Q(x,t)d\mathbf{x}.$$

(recall notation: ∂R is boundary of R, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is *outward* normal vector) Use divergence theorem to turn boundary integral into integral on region R,

$$\int_{R} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} - Q \right) d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Conservation of $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ on any region $R \subset \Omega$ implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{R} u\,d\mathbf{x} = \int_{R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\,d\mathbf{x} = -\int_{\partial R} \mathbf{J}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{n}}d\mathbf{x} + \int_{R} Q(x,t)d\mathbf{x}.$$

(recall notation: ∂R is boundary of R, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is *outward* normal vector) Use divergence theorem to turn boundary integral into integral on region R,

$$\int_{R} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} - Q \right) d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Since this is true for any subregion R, integrand is zero:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = Q.$$

conservation form/continuity equation/transport equation

Flux-type boundary conditions specify flow $F(\mathbf{x}) : \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ through physical boundary.

Flux-type boundary conditions specify flow $F(\mathbf{x}) : \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ through physical boundary.

Flux is typically modeled as a function of u and its derivatives $J = J(u, \nabla u, ...)$; boundary condition becomes

$$\mathbf{J}(u, \nabla u, \ldots) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = F(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega.$$

Flux-type boundary conditions specify flow $F(\mathbf{x}) : \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ through physical boundary.

Flux is typically modeled as a function of u and its derivatives $J = J(u, \nabla u, ...)$; boundary condition becomes

$$\mathbf{J}(u, \nabla u, \ldots) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = F(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega.$$

Example: for heat diffusion, Fourier's law says $\mathbf{J} = -D\nabla u$. Thus

$$-D\nabla u \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = F(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega,$$

Flux-type boundary conditions specify flow $F(\mathbf{x}) : \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ through physical boundary.

Flux is typically modeled as a function of u and its derivatives $J = J(u, \nabla u, ...)$; boundary condition becomes

$$\mathbf{J}(u, \nabla u, \ldots) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = F(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega.$$

Example: for heat diffusion, Fourier's law says $\mathbf{J} = -D\nabla u$. Thus

$$-D
abla u \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = F(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega,$$

More specifically, if boundary in insulating, get "Neumann" boundary condition

$$\nabla u \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0.$$

Remark: Dirichlet boundary condition $u = U(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$ will not guarantee flux is zero at boundary.

Suppose that u = u(x, t) is transported at velocity c, so that (one dimensional) scalar flux is J = cu.

Suppose that u = u(x, t) is transported at velocity c, so that (one dimensional) scalar flux is J = cu.

Then $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = Q$ becomes (assuming Q = 0)

 $u_t + cu_x = 0$. (linear transport equation)

Suppose that u = u(x, t) is transported at velocity c, so that (one dimensional) scalar flux is J = cu.

Then
$$rac{\partial u}{\partial t} +
abla \cdot \mathbf{J} = Q$$
 becomes (assuming $Q = 0$)

 $u_t + cu_x = 0$. (linear transport equation)

Traffic flow: speed can be modeled as a decreasing function of density $c = c_0 - mu$, so $J = u(c_0 - mu)$; conservation law becomes

 $u_t + c_0 u_x - m(u^2)_x = 0.$ (nonlinear transport equation)

Random motions of particles (and other things) leads to *diffusion*. Means that net flow has a direction toward regions of less density.



Random motions of particles (and other things) leads to *diffusion*. Means that net flow has a direction toward regions of less density.



Simplest model: Fick/Fourier law $\mathbf{J} = -D\nabla u$.

Random motions of particles (and other things) leads to *diffusion*. Means that net flow has a direction toward regions of less density.



Simplest model: Fick/Fourier law $\mathbf{J} = -D\nabla u$. Sources $Q(\mathbf{x}, t)$ created by, for example heat production or chemical reactions.

Random motions of particles (and other things) leads to *diffusion*. Means that net flow has a direction toward regions of less density.



Simplest model: Fick/Fourier law $\mathbf{J} = -D\nabla u$. Sources $Q(\mathbf{x}, t)$ created by, for example heat production or chemical reactions. The conservation equation becomes

$$u_t = D \nabla \cdot \nabla u + Q = D \Delta u + Q$$
, (diffusion equation)

Example: wave equation



Conserved quantity is momentum density $\rho u_t(\mathbf{x}, t)$, where $\rho =$ mass density

Example: wave equation



Conserved quantity is momentum density $\rho u_t(\mathbf{x}, t)$, where $\rho =$ mass density

Momentum flux occurs because of force imbalance between parts of material; simplest model is $J = -\sigma \nabla u$.

Example: wave equation



Conserved quantity is momentum density $\rho u_t(\mathbf{x}, t)$, where $\rho =$ mass density

Momentum flux occurs because of force imbalance between parts of material; simplest model is $J = -\sigma \nabla u$.

Momentum conservation leads to

where

$$(u_t)_t = c^2 \nabla \cdot \nabla u = c^2 \Delta u$$
, (wave equation)
 $c^2 = \sigma / \rho$.

For a conservation law with flux J(u) and time independent source term Q, a *steady state solution* solves

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(u) = Q.$$

Interpretation: the amount flowing into a region in space equals the amount flowing out.

.

For a conservation law with flux J(u) and time independent source term Q, a *steady state solution* solves

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(u) = Q.$$

Interpretation: the amount flowing into a region in space equals the amount flowing out.

Example (diffusion with a source): Flux is given by Fick's law $J = -D\nabla u$, and Q(x, y) is a prescribed source term.

For a conservation law with flux J(u) and time independent source term Q, a *steady state solution* solves

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(u) = Q.$$

Interpretation: the amount flowing into a region in space equals the amount flowing out.

Example (diffusion with a source): Flux is given by Fick's law $\mathbf{J} = -D\nabla u$, and Q(x, y) is a prescribed source term. Steady state u = u(x, y) solves

$$D\nabla \cdot \nabla u = \Delta u = Q(x, y).$$

If $Q \neq 0$, get Poisson's equation; if $Q \equiv 0$, get Laplace's equation.

Salient fact: solutions of PDEs have a lot of detail, but...

Salient fact: solutions of PDEs have a lot of detail, but...

- We can't always know everything about them (especially nonlinear equations)
- Even if we could, often hard to see the essential aspects.

Salient fact: solutions of PDEs have a lot of detail, but...

- We can't always know everything about them (especially nonlinear equations)
- Even if we could, often hard to see the essential aspects.

Idea: use coarse-grained quantities to study solutions qualitatively. Some of these are inspired by physics (energy, entropy), whereas others are completely abstract.

Conserved and dissipated quantities

A functional F[u] maps u to the real numbers, e.g.

$$F[u] = \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx$$
 or $F[u] = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$.

In our case, these are often quantities of physical interest (mass, energy, momentum)

Conserved and dissipated quantities

A functional F[u] maps u to the real numbers, e.g.

$$F[u] = \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx$$
 or $F[u] = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$.

In our case, these are often quantities of physical interest (mass, energy, momentum) Let $u(x, t) : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a solution of some PDE, and suppose F[u] has the form

$$F[u(t)] = \int_{\Omega} f(u, u_x, ...) dx.$$

so that F can be regarded as depending on t.

Conserved and dissipated quantities

A functional F[u] maps u to the real numbers, e.g.

$$F[u] = \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx$$
 or $F[u] = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$.

In our case, these are often quantities of physical interest (mass, energy, momentum) Let $u(x, t) : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a solution of some PDE, and suppose F[u] has the form

$$F[u(t)] = \int_{\Omega} f(u, u_x, ...) dx.$$

so that F can be regarded as depending on t. Time evolution of F[u] may be categorized as:

- If dF/dt = 0 for all u, then F is called *conserved*,
- If $dF/dt \leq 0$ for all u, then F is called *dissipated*.

Let u solve

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx}, \quad u(0,t) = 0 = u(L,t).$$

Let u solve

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx}, \quad u(0,t) = 0 = u(L,t).$$

Energy functional

$$E(t) = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 \, dx$$

is conserved.

Let u solve

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx}, \quad u(0,t) = 0 = u(L,t).$$

Energy functional

$$E(t) = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 \, dx$$

is conserved. Fist differentiate under integral sign:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \int_0^L u_t u_{tt} + u_x u_{xt} \, dx,$$

Let u solve

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx}, \quad u(0, t) = 0 = u(L, t).$$

Energy functional

$$E(t) = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 \, dx$$

is conserved. Fist differentiate under integral sign:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \int_0^L u_t u_{tt} + u_x u_{xt} \, dx,$$

Integrate by parts

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = u_{\mathsf{x}}u_t|_{\mathsf{x}=0}^{\mathsf{x}=\mathsf{L}} + \int_0^{\mathsf{L}} u_t u_{tt} - u_{\mathsf{x}\mathsf{x}}u_t \, d\mathsf{x},$$

Then use equation and boundary conditions to get dE/dt = 0.

Let u solve

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx}, \quad u(0, t) = 0 = u(L, t).$$

Energy functional

$$E(t) = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 \, dx$$

is conserved. Fist differentiate under integral sign:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \int_0^L u_t u_{tt} + u_x u_{xt} \, dx,$$

Integrate by parts

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = u_{\mathsf{x}}u_t|_{\mathsf{x}=0}^{\mathsf{x}=\mathsf{L}} + \int_0^{\mathsf{L}} u_t u_{tt} - u_{\mathsf{x}\mathsf{x}}u_t \, d\mathsf{x},$$

Then use equation and boundary conditions to get dE/dt = 0.

If $u(x, 0) = 0 = u_t(x, 0)$ initially, does the solution remain zero?

Let *u* solve

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx}, \quad u(0, t) = 0 = u(L, t).$$

Energy functional

$$E(t) = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 \, dx$$

is conserved. Fist differentiate under integral sign:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \int_0^L u_t u_{tt} + u_x u_{xt} \, dx,$$

Integrate by parts

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = u_{\mathsf{x}}u_t|_{\mathsf{x}=0}^{\mathsf{x}=\mathsf{L}} + \int_0^{\mathsf{L}} u_t u_{tt} - u_{\mathsf{x}\mathsf{x}}u_t \, d\mathsf{x},$$

Then use equation and boundary conditions to get dE/dt = 0.

If $u(x,0) = 0 = u_t(x,0)$ initially, does the solution remain zero? Yes, since E(0) = 0, $E(t) \equiv 0$, thus $u_x \equiv 0$. Using boundary conditions gives $u(x, t) \equiv 0$.

Let u solve

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx}, \quad u(0,t) = 0 = u(L,t).$$

Energy functional

$$E(t) = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 \, dx$$

is conserved. Fist differentiate under integral sign:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \int_0^L u_t u_{tt} + u_x u_{xt} \, dx,$$

Integrate by parts

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = u_x u_t |_{x=0}^{x=L} + \int_0^L u_t u_{tt} - u_{xx} u_t dx,$$

Then use equation and boundary conditions to get dE/dt = 0.

If $u(x,0) = 0 = u_t(x,0)$ initially, does the solution remain zero? Yes, since E(0) = 0, $E(t) \equiv 0$, thus $u_x \equiv 0$. Using boundary conditions gives $u(x,t) \equiv 0$. Converse also true: if $u(x,0) \neq 0$ initially, then solution never "dies out".

Suppose u solves the diffusion equation

$$u_t = u_{xx}, \quad u(0,t) = 0 = u(L,t).$$

Suppose u solves the diffusion equation

$$u_t = u_{xx}, \quad u(0, t) = 0 = u(L, t).$$

Then the quantity

$$F(t) = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 \, dx$$

is dissipated,

Suppose u solves the diffusion equation

$$u_t = u_{xx}, \quad u(0, t) = 0 = u(L, t).$$

Then the quantity

$$F(t) = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 \, dx$$

is dissipated, since

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \int_0^L u_x u_{xt} \, dx = -\int_0^L u_{xx} u_t \, dx = -\int_0^L u_{xx}^2 \, dx \le 0,$$

Suppose u solves the diffusion equation

$$u_t = u_{xx}, \quad u(0, t) = 0 = u(L, t).$$

Then the quantity

$$F(t) = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 \, dx$$

is dissipated, since

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \int_0^L u_x u_{xt} \, dx = -\int_0^L u_{xx} u_t \, dx = -\int_0^L u_{xx}^2 \, dx \le 0,$$

One interpretation: arclength of x-cross sections of u can be approximated

$$\int_0^L \sqrt{1+u_x^2} dx \approx \int_0^L 1 + \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 \, dx.$$

Since $dF/dt \leq 0$, arclength diminishes and spatial oscillations die away.