

Suppose we want to solve a linear, inhomogeneous equation

$$\mathcal{L}u(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) \quad + \text{homogeneous boundary conditions.}$$

Suppose we want to solve a linear, inhomogeneous equation

$$\mathcal{L}u(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) \quad + \text{homogeneous boundary conditions.}$$

Since differential operators have inverses that are integral operators, might expect a solution

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) f(\mathbf{x}_0) d\mathbf{x}_0.$$

Suppose we want to solve a linear, inhomogeneous equation

$$\mathcal{L}u(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) \quad + \text{homogeneous boundary conditions.}$$

Since differential operators have inverses that are integral operators, might expect a solution

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) f(\mathbf{x}_0) d\mathbf{x}_0.$$

Provided solution representation exists, $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)$ is called the *Green's function*.

Suppose we want to solve a linear, inhomogeneous equation

$$\mathcal{L}u(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) \quad + \text{ homogeneous boundary conditions.}$$

Since differential operators have inverses that are integral operators, might expect a solution

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) f(\mathbf{x}_0) d\mathbf{x}_0.$$

Provided solution representation exists, $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)$ is called the *Green's function*.

Physical interpretation: $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)$ is the influence at \mathbf{x} of source at \mathbf{x}_0 .

Relationship to the delta function

How to construct G ? Suppose $f = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j)$, i.e. a point source.

Relationship to the delta function

How to construct G ? Suppose $f = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j)$, i.e. a point source.

$$\mathcal{L}u(\mathbf{x}) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j) \quad + \text{homogeneous boundary conditions}$$

whose solution is

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega} G(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0) \delta(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}_j) d\mathbf{x}_0 = G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_j).$$

Relationship to the delta function

How to construct G ? Suppose $f = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j)$, i.e. a point source.

$$\mathcal{L}u(\mathbf{x}) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j) \quad + \text{homogeneous boundary conditions}$$

whose solution is

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega} G(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0) \delta(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}_j) d\mathbf{x}_0 = G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_j).$$

Find that the Green's function formally satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_x G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$$

Reformulation of problem for $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)$

Split previous equation into two conditions:

$$\mathcal{L}_x G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) = 0, \quad \text{when } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0$$

Reformulation of problem for $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)$

Split previous equation into two conditions:

$$\mathcal{L}_x G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) = 0, \quad \text{when } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0$$

Integrating $\mathcal{L}_x G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$ over

$$\int_B \mathcal{L}_x G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) dx = 1, \quad \text{for any ball } B \text{ centered at } \mathbf{x}_0.$$

"normalization condition"

Reformulation of problem for $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)$

Split previous equation into two conditions:

$$\mathcal{L}_x G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) = 0, \quad \text{when } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0$$

Integrating $\mathcal{L}_x G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$ over

$$\int_B \mathcal{L}_x G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) dx = 1, \quad \text{for any ball } B \text{ centered at } \mathbf{x}_0.$$

"normalization condition"

Lastly, G must satisfy the same type of homogeneous boundary conditions as u .

Connection conditions for ODEs

In one dimension, Green's functions satisfy pointwise conditions when $x \rightarrow x_0$.

Connection conditions for ODEs

In one dimension, Green's functions satisfy pointwise conditions when $x \rightarrow x_0$.

Suppose one has a n -th order linear equation of the form

$$u^{(n)}(x) + F(u^{(n-1)}(x), u^{(n-2)}(x), \dots) = f(x),$$

where F is some expression involving lower order derivatives. The Green's function $G(x, x_0)$ formally satisfies

$$G^{(n)} + F(G^{(n-1)}, G^{(n-2)}, \dots) = \delta(x - x_0),$$

where $G^{(n)} = \frac{d^n}{dx^n}$.

Connection conditions for ODEs

In one dimension, Green's functions satisfy pointwise conditions when $x \rightarrow x_0$.

Suppose one has a n -th order linear equation of the form

$$u^{(n)}(x) + F(u^{(n-1)}(x), u^{(n-2)}(x), \dots) = f(x),$$

where F is some expression involving lower order derivatives. The Green's function $G(x, x_0)$ formally satisfies

$$G^{(n)} + F(G^{(n-1)}, G^{(n-2)}, \dots) = \delta(x - x_0),$$

where $G^{(n)} = \frac{d^n}{dx^n}$. Integration gives

$$G^{(n-1)} = H(x - x_0) + \text{some continuous function}$$

Connection conditions for ODEs

In one dimension, Green's functions satisfy pointwise conditions when $x \rightarrow x_0$.

Suppose one has a n -th order linear equation of the form

$$u^{(n)}(x) + F(u^{(n-1)}(x), u^{(n-2)}(x), \dots) = f(x),$$

where F is some expression involving lower order derivatives. The Green's function $G(x, x_0)$ formally satisfies

$$G^{(n)} + F(G^{(n-1)}, G^{(n-2)}, \dots) = \delta(x - x_0),$$

where $G^{(n)} = \frac{d^n}{dx^n}$. Integration gives

$$G^{(n-1)} = H(x - x_0) + \text{some continuous function}$$

Thus the $n - 1$ -th derivative has a jump at x_0 , and lower order derivatives are continuous:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} \frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial x^{n-1}} - \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} \frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial x^{n-1}} = 1, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} \frac{\partial^m G}{\partial x^m} = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} \frac{\partial^m G}{\partial x^m}, \quad m < n - 1.$$

Example: $\mathcal{L} = d^2/dx^2$

Suppose $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ solves

$$u_{xx} = f(x), \quad u(0) = 0 = u(L).$$

Example: $\mathcal{L} = d^2/dx^2$

Suppose $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ solves

$$u_{xx} = f(x), \quad u(0) = 0 = u(L).$$

The corresponding Green's function will solve

$$G_{xx}(x, x_0) = 0 \text{ for } x \neq x_0, \quad G(0, x_0) = 0 = G(L, x_0),$$

with connection conditions

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} G_x(x, x_0) - \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} G_x(x, x_0) = 1, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} G(x, x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} G(x, x_0).$$

Example: $\mathcal{L} = d^2/dx^2$

Suppose $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ solves

$$u_{xx} = f(x), \quad u(0) = 0 = u(L).$$

The corresponding Green's function will solve

$$G_{xx}(x, x_0) = 0 \text{ for } x \neq x_0, \quad G(0, x_0) = 0 = G(L, x_0),$$

with connection conditions

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} G_x(x, x_0) - \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} G_x(x, x_0) = 1, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} G(x, x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} G(x, x_0).$$

General solution to ODEs are:

$$G(x, x_0) = \begin{cases} c_1 x + c_3 & x < x_0 \\ c_2(x - L) + c_4 & x > x_0. \end{cases}$$

Imposing boundary conditions gives $c_3 = 0 = c_4$.

Example: $\mathcal{L} = d^2/dx^2$, cont.

For solution

$$G(x, x_0) = \begin{cases} c_1 x & x < x_0 \\ c_2(x - L) & x > x_0 \end{cases}$$

impose connection conditions

$$c_1 x_0 = c_2(x_0 - L), \quad c_2 - c_1 = 1,$$

Example: $\mathcal{L} = d^2/dx^2$, cont.

For solution

$$G(x, x_0) = \begin{cases} c_1 x & x < x_0 \\ c_2(x - L) & x > x_0 \end{cases}$$

impose connection conditions

$$c_1 x_0 = c_2(x_0 - L), \quad c_2 - c_1 = 1,$$

so that $c_1 = (x_0 - L)/L$ and $c_2 = x_0/L$.

Example: $\mathcal{L} = d^2/dx^2$, cont.

For solution

$$G(x, x_0) = \begin{cases} c_1 x & x < x_0 \\ c_2(x - L) & x > x_0 \end{cases}$$

impose connection conditions

$$c_1 x_0 = c_2(x_0 - L), \quad c_2 - c_1 = 1,$$

so that $c_1 = (x_0 - L)/L$ and $c_2 = x_0/L$.

Solution to $u_{xx} = f$, $u(0) = 0 = u(L)$ in terms of G :

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{L} \left(\int_0^x x_0(x - L)f(x_0)dx_0 + \int_x^L x(x_0 - L)f(x_0)dx_0 \right).$$

Example: $\mathcal{L} = d^2/dx^2$, cont.

Does our formula really work?

Example: $\mathcal{L} = d^2/dx^2$, cont.

Does our formula really work?

Note $u(x) = x(1 - x)$ solves $u'' = -2$ and $u(0) = 0 = u(1)$.

Green's function solution is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \frac{1}{L} \left(\int_0^x x_0(x-L)f(x_0)dx_0 + \int_x^L x(x_0-L)f(x_0)dx_0 \right) \\ &= -2 \left(\int_0^x x_0(x-1)dx_0 + \int_x^1 x(x_0-1)dx_0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Example: $\mathcal{L} = d^2/dx^2$, cont.

Does our formula really work?

Note $u(x) = x(1 - x)$ solves $u'' = -2$ and $u(0) = 0 = u(1)$.
Green's function solution is

$$\begin{aligned}u(x) &= \frac{1}{L} \left(\int_0^x x_0(x-L)f(x_0)dx_0 + \int_x^L x(x_0-L)f(x_0)dx_0 \right) \\ &= -2 \left(\int_0^x x_0(x-1)dx_0 + \int_x^1 x(x_0-1)dx_0 \right).\end{aligned}$$

Integrals evaluate to

$$-2 \left(\frac{x^2}{2}(x-1) - x \frac{(x-1)^2}{2} \right) = x(1-x).$$

Example: Helmholtz equation

$$u_{xx} - k^2 u = f(x), \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0.$$

Example: Helmholtz equation

$$u_{xx} - k^2 u = f(x), \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0.$$

The Green's function solves

$$G_{xx}(x, x_0) - k^2 G = 0 \text{ for } x \neq x_0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} G(x, x_0) = 0,$$

plus connection conditions.

Example: Helmholtz equation

$$u_{xx} - k^2 u = f(x), \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0.$$

The Green's function solves

$$G_{xx}(x, x_0) - k^2 G = 0 \text{ for } x \neq x_0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} G(x, x_0) = 0,$$

plus connection conditions.

General solution is $G = c_1 \exp(-kx) + c_2 \exp(kx)$; far-field conditions give

$$G(x, x_0) = \begin{cases} c_2 e^{kx} & x < x_0, \\ c_1 e^{-kx} & x > x_0. \end{cases}$$

Connection conditions imply

$$c_2 \exp(kx_0) = c_1 \exp(-kx_0), \quad -kc_1 \exp(-kx_0) - kc_2 \exp(kx_0) = 1,$$

which give $c_1 = -\exp(kx_0)/2k$ and $c_2 = -\exp(-kx_0)/2k$.

Example: Helmholtz equation

$$u_{xx} - k^2 u = f(x), \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0.$$

The Green's function solves

$$G_{xx}(x, x_0) - k^2 G = 0 \text{ for } x \neq x_0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} G(x, x_0) = 0,$$

plus connection conditions.

General solution is $G = c_1 \exp(-kx) + c_2 \exp(kx)$; far-field conditions give

$$G(x, x_0) = \begin{cases} c_2 e^{kx} & x < x_0, \\ c_1 e^{-kx} & x > x_0. \end{cases}$$

Connection conditions imply

$$c_2 \exp(kx_0) = c_1 \exp(-kx_0), \quad -kc_1 \exp(-kx_0) - kc_2 \exp(kx_0) = 1,$$

which give $c_1 = -\exp(kx_0)/2k$ and $c_2 = -\exp(-kx_0)/2k$.

The entire Green's function may then be written compactly as

$$G(x, x_0) = -\exp(-k|x - x_0|)/2k,$$

and the solution is represented as

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{2k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_0) e^{-k|x-x_0|} dx_0.$$

Using symmetry to obtain new Green's functions

Consider modification of the previous example:

$$\mathcal{L}u = u_{xx} - k^2 u = f(x), \quad u(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0.$$

Using symmetry to obtain new Green's functions

Consider modification of the previous example:

$$\mathcal{L}u = u_{xx} - k^2 u = f(x), \quad u(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0.$$

Can't use the "free space" Green's function

$$G_{\infty}(x, x_0) = -\exp(-k|x - x_0|)/2k,$$

since it doesn't satisfy $G(0, x_0) = 0$.

Using symmetry to obtain new Green's functions

Consider modification of the previous example:

$$\mathcal{L}u = u_{xx} - k^2 u = f(x), \quad u(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0.$$

Can't use the "free space" Green's function

$$G_{\infty}(x, x_0) = -\exp(-k|x - x_0|)/2k,$$

since it doesn't satisfy $G(0, x_0) = 0$.

Insight: Subtract G_{∞} and its reflection about $x = 0$:

$$G(x, x_0) = G_{\infty}(x, x_0) - G_{\infty}(-x, x_0)$$

This *does* satisfy $G(0, x_0) = 0$, but does it still solve correct equation?

Using symmetry to obtain new Green's functions

Consider modification of the previous example:

$$\mathcal{L}u = u_{xx} - k^2u = f(x), \quad u(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0.$$

Can't use the "free space" Green's function

$$G_{\infty}(x, x_0) = -\exp(-k|x - x_0|)/2k,$$

since it doesn't satisfy $G(0, x_0) = 0$.

Insight: Subtract G_{∞} and its reflection about $x = 0$:

$$G(x, x_0) = G_{\infty}(x, x_0) - G_{\infty}(-x, x_0)$$

This *does* satisfy $G(0, x_0) = 0$, but does it still solve correct equation?

$$\mathcal{L}G(x, x_0) = \mathcal{L}G_{\infty}(x, x_0) - \mathcal{L}G_{\infty}(-x, x_0) = \delta(x - x_0) - \delta(-x - x_0).$$

Ok, since δ -function at $-x_0$ is not even in domain!

Using symmetry to obtain new Green's functions

Consider modification of the previous example:

$$\mathcal{L}u = u_{xx} - k^2 u = f(x), \quad u(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0.$$

Can't use the "free space" Green's function

$$G_{\infty}(x, x_0) = -\exp(-k|x - x_0|)/2k,$$

since it doesn't satisfy $G(0, x_0) = 0$.

Insight: Subtract G_{∞} and its reflection about $x = 0$:

$$G(x, x_0) = G_{\infty}(x, x_0) - G_{\infty}(-x, x_0)$$

This *does* satisfy $G(0, x_0) = 0$, but does it still solve correct equation?

$$\mathcal{L}G(x, x_0) = \mathcal{L}G_{\infty}(x, x_0) - \mathcal{L}G_{\infty}(-x, x_0) = \delta(x - x_0) - \delta(-x - x_0).$$

Ok, since δ -function at $-x_0$ is not even in domain!

Solution is

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{2k} \int_0^{\infty} f(x_0) \left[e^{-k|x-x_0|} - e^{-k|x+x_0|} \right] dx_0.$$

Dealing with inhomogeneous boundary conditions

Remarkable fact: although Green's function itself satisfies **homogeneous** boundary conditions, it can be used for problems with **inhomogeneous** boundary conditions.

Dealing with inhomogeneous boundary conditions

Remarkable fact: although Green's function itself satisfies **homogeneous** boundary conditions, it can be used for problems with **inhomogeneous** boundary conditions.

Suppose we wanted to solve

$$u_{xx} = f, \quad u(0) = A, \quad u(L) = B.$$

For $A = B = 0$, we obtained the Green's function

$$G(x, x_0) = \begin{cases} x(x_0 - L)/L & x < x_0 \\ x_0(x - L)/L & x > x_0. \end{cases}$$

Dealing with inhomogeneous boundary conditions

Remarkable fact: although Green's function itself satisfies **homogeneous** boundary conditions, it can be used for problems with **inhomogeneous** boundary conditions.

Suppose we wanted to solve

$$u_{xx} = f, \quad u(0) = A, \quad u(L) = B.$$

For $A = B = 0$, we obtained the Green's function

$$G(x, x_0) = \begin{cases} x(x_0 - L)/L & x < x_0 \\ x_0(x - L)/L & x > x_0. \end{cases}$$

Idea to incorporate boundary conditions into representation formula:
For any u, v , integration by parts twice gives "Green's formula"

$$\int_0^L uv'' - vu'' dx = [uv' - vu']_0^L.$$

Dealing with inhomogeneous boundary conditions, cont.

To use the Green's formula

$$\int_0^L uv'' - vu'' dx = [uv' - vu']_0^L.$$

set $v(x) = G(x, x_0)$, giving

$$\int_0^L u(x)G_{xx}(x, x_0) - G(x, x_0)u''(x) dx = [u(x)G_x(x, x_0) - G(x, x_0)u'(x)]_{x=0}^{x=L}.$$

Dealing with inhomogeneous boundary conditions, cont.

To use the Green's formula

$$\int_0^L uv'' - vu'' dx = [uv' - vu']_0^L.$$

set $v(x) = G(x, x_0)$, giving

$$\int_0^L u(x)G_{xx}(x, x_0) - G(x, x_0)u''(x) dx = [u(x)G_x(x, x_0) - G(x, x_0)u'(x)]_{x=0}^{x=L}.$$

Using $u_{xx}(x) = f(x)$, $G_{xx}(x, x_0) = \delta(x - x_0)$, $G(0, x_0) = 0 = G(L, x_0)$, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_0) &= \int_0^L G(x, x_0)f(x)dx + [u(x)G_x(x, x_0)]_{x=0}^{x=L} \\ &= \int_0^L G(x, x_0)f(x)dx + BG_x(L, x_0) - AG_x(0, x_0). \end{aligned}$$