# Asymptotic Methods

# Matched asymptotic expansions

Consider the boundary value problem  $\epsilon y'' + (1 + \epsilon)y' + y = 0$ , with y(0) = 0and y(1) = 1, whose exact solution happens to be  $y(x) = (e^{-x} - e^{-x/\epsilon})/(e^{-1} - e^{-1/\epsilon}).$ 

Consider the boundary value problem  $\epsilon y'' + (1 + \epsilon)y' + y = 0$ , with y(0) = 0and y(1) = 1, whose exact solution happens to be  $y(x) = (e^{-x} - e^{-x/\epsilon})/(e^{-1} - e^{-1/\epsilon}).$ 

Naively setting  $\epsilon = 0$  in the equation, we have y' + y = 0. But how can both boundary conditions be satisfied?

Consider the boundary value problem  $\epsilon y'' + (1 + \epsilon)y' + y = 0$ , with y(0) = 0and y(1) = 1, whose exact solution happens to be  $y(x) = (e^{-x} - e^{-x/\epsilon})/(e^{-1} - e^{-1/\epsilon}).$ 

Naively setting  $\epsilon = 0$  in the equation, we have y' + y = 0. But how can both boundary conditions be satisfied?

Comparing to the exact solution, the root of the problem is clear:  $y'' = O(1/\epsilon^2)$  if  $x \approx 0$ , therefore dominant balance is different there.

Consider the boundary value problem  $\epsilon y'' + (1 + \epsilon)y' + y = 0$ , with y(0) = 0and y(1) = 1, whose exact solution happens to be  $y(x) = (e^{-x} - e^{-x/\epsilon})/(e^{-1} - e^{-1/\epsilon}).$ 

Naively setting  $\epsilon = 0$  in the equation, we have y' + y = 0. But how can both boundary conditions be satisfied?

Comparing to the exact solution, the root of the problem is clear:  $y'' = O(1/\epsilon^2)$  if  $x \approx 0$ , therefore dominant balance is different there.

For x = O(1), the outer solution  $y \sim e^{1-x}$  can be obtained by using the right hand boundary condition.

To resolve the behavior for small x, use different independent variable  $X = x/\epsilon$ , giving

$$y_{XX} + (1 + \epsilon)y_X + \epsilon y = 0.$$

The leading order problem is therefore  $y_{XX} + y_X = 0$ , and with the boundary condition y(0) = 0 one has the inner solution  $y = c(e^{-X} - 1)$ .

To resolve the behavior for small x, use different independent variable  $X = x/\epsilon$ , giving

$$y_{XX} + (1 + \epsilon)y_X + \epsilon y = 0.$$

The leading order problem is therefore  $y_{XX} + y_X = 0$ , and with the boundary condition y(0) = 0 one has the inner solution  $y = c(e^{-X} - 1)$ .

If we assume both approximations are valid in some overlapping region where  $x \ll 1$  and  $X \gg 1$ , the behaviors

$$y \sim egin{cases} c(e^{-X}-1) \sim -c, & X 
ightarrow \infty \ e^{1-x} \sim e, & x 
ightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

must coincide. This means c = -e.

To resolve the behavior for small x, use different independent variable  $X = x/\epsilon$ , giving

$$y_{XX} + (1 + \epsilon)y_X + \epsilon y = 0.$$

The leading order problem is therefore  $y_{XX} + y_X = 0$ , and with the boundary condition y(0) = 0 one has the inner solution  $y = c(e^{-X} - 1)$ .

If we assume both approximations are valid in some overlapping region where  $x \ll 1$  and  $X \gg 1$ , the behaviors

$$y \sim egin{cases} c(e^{-X}-1) \sim -c, & X 
ightarrow \infty \ e^{1-x} \sim e, & x 
ightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

must coincide. This means c = -e.

We can form a uniformly valid approximation by adding the two expansions and subtracting off the common behavior, giving the composite expansion

$$y \sim e^{1-x} - e^{1-x/\epsilon}.$$

Issues still to be addressed:

- 1 What is the scaling of the boundary layer? (dominant balance)
- 2 Where is the boundary layer?
- 3 Is there a systematic way to match expansions?

Suppose that the boundary layer at x = 0 uses a inner variable  $X = \epsilon x$ , and let  $x_{\eta} = x/\eta(\epsilon)$  with  $\epsilon << \eta(\epsilon) << 1$  be some intermediate scale.

Suppose that the boundary layer at x = 0 uses a inner variable  $X = \epsilon x$ , and let  $x_{\eta} = x/\eta(\epsilon)$  with  $\epsilon << \eta(\epsilon) << 1$  be some intermediate scale.Assume

- The expansion  $y_{outer}(x_{\eta}) \sim y_0 + \dots$  is valid for  $x \gg \eta_1(\epsilon)$  where  $\eta_1(\epsilon) \gg \eta(\epsilon)$ ,
- The expansion  $y_{inner}(x_{\eta}) \sim Y_0 + \dots$  is valid for  $x \ll \eta_2(\epsilon)$  where  $\eta_2(\epsilon) \ll \eta(\epsilon)$ .

Then both expansions in  $x_{\eta}$  are identical ("Kaplan's" method).

An often easier way to approach this is the rule of van Dyke: write the outer expansion in terms of the inner variables and vice-versa, and expand in  $\epsilon$ . These must agree when written in terms of a common independent variable.

#### Illustration of van Dyke matching process for simple power series

Suppose that the outer expansion is given by  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n f_n(x)$  and the inner (using scaled variable  $X = x/\epsilon$ ) by  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^m F_m(X)$ .

#### Illustration of van Dyke matching process for simple power series

Suppose that the outer expansion is given by  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n f_n(x)$  and the inner (using scaled variable  $X = x/\epsilon$ ) by  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^m F_m(X)$ .

Writing the outer expansion in terms of the inner variable and (re)expanding using Taylor series gives

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n f_n(\epsilon X) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{n+k} f_n^{(k)}(0) X^k / k!$$

Similarly, writing the inner expansion in terms of the outer variable

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^m F_m(x/\epsilon) \sim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m a_{mk} \epsilon^{m-k} x^k = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m a_{mk} \epsilon^m X^k,$$

where polynomial behavior  $F_m \sim \sum_{k=0}^m a_{mk} X^k$  is assumed.

#### Illustration of van Dyke matching process for simple power series

Suppose that the outer expansion is given by  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n f_n(x)$  and the inner (using scaled variable  $X = x/\epsilon$ ) by  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^m F_m(X)$ .

Writing the outer expansion in terms of the inner variable and (re)expanding using Taylor series gives

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n f_n(\epsilon X) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{n+k} f_n^{(k)}(0) X^k / k!$$

Similarly, writing the inner expansion in terms of the outer variable

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^m F_m(x/\epsilon) \sim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m a_{mk} \epsilon^{m-k} x^k = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m a_{mk} \epsilon^m X^k,$$

where polynomial behavior  $F_m \sim \sum_{k=0}^m a_{mk} X^k$  is assumed.

Comparing series, it follows that  $a_{mk} = \sum_{n+k=m} f_n^{(k)}(0)/k!$ , so that

$$egin{aligned} &F_0(X)\sim f_0(0),\quad X o\infty,\ &F_1(X)\sim f_1(0)+f_0'(0)X,\quad X o\infty,\ &F_2(X)\sim f_2(0)+f_1'(0)X+rac{1}{2}f_0''(0)X^2,\quad X o\infty. \end{aligned}$$

### Example with two term expansion

Consider

$$\epsilon y'' + (1+x)y' + y = 0, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = 1.$$

#### Example with two term expansion

Consider

$$\epsilon y'' + (1+x)y' + y = 0, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = 1.$$

Expanding  $y = y_0 + \epsilon y_1 + \ldots$  leads to

$$(1+x)y_0'+y_0=0, \quad y_0(1)=1, \qquad (1+x)y_1'+y_1=-y_0'', \quad y_1(1)=0.$$

This produces  $y_0 = 2/(1+x)$  and  $y_1 = 2/(1+x)^3 - 1/(2(1+x))$ .

#### Example with two term expansion

Consider

$$\epsilon y'' + (1+x)y' + y = 0, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = 1.$$

Expanding  $y = y_0 + \epsilon y_1 + \ldots$  leads to

$$(1+x)y_0'+y_0=0, \quad y_0(1)=1, \qquad (1+x)y_1'+y_1=-y_0'', \quad y_1(1)=0.$$

This produces  $y_0 = 2/(1+x)$  and  $y_1 = 2/(1+x)^3 - 1/(2(1+x))$ . Letting  $X = x/\epsilon$ , y = Y(X), and expanding  $Y = Y_0 + \epsilon Y_1 + ...,$ 

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0''+Y_0' &= 0, \quad Y_0(0) = 1, \qquad Y_1''+Y_1' = -Y_0 - XY_0', \quad Y_1(0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$
 so  $Y_0 &= 1 + A_0(e^{-X}-1)$  and  $Y_1 &= A_1(e^{-X}-1) + A_0(X - \frac{1}{2}X^2e^{-X}) - X. \end{aligned}$ 

Consider

$$\epsilon y'' + (1+x)y' + y = 0, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = 1.$$

Expanding  $y = y_0 + \epsilon y_1 + \ldots$  leads to

$$(1+x)y_0'+y_0=0, \quad y_0(1)=1, \qquad (1+x)y_1'+y_1=-y_0'', \quad y_1(1)=0.$$

This produces  $y_0 = 2/(1+x)$  and  $y_1 = 2/(1+x)^3 - 1/(2(1+x))$ . Letting  $X = x/\epsilon$ , y = Y(X), and expanding  $Y = Y_0 + \epsilon Y_1 + ...$ ,

$$\begin{split} Y_0''+Y_0' &= 0, \quad Y_0(0) = 1, \qquad Y_1''+Y_1' = -Y_0 - XY_0', \quad Y_1(0) = 0, \\ \text{so } Y_0 &= 1 + A_0(e^{-X}-1) \text{ and } Y_1 = A_1(e^{-X}-1) + A_0(X - \frac{1}{2}X^2e^{-X}) - X. \end{split}$$

Writing the outer expansion in terms of X gives

$$y \sim 2 + \epsilon(-2X + 3/2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

The inner expansion in terms of x gives

$$Y \sim 1 - A_0 + \epsilon (-A_1 + A_0 x/\epsilon - x/\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) = 1 - A_0 + \epsilon (A_0 - 1)X - \epsilon A_1.$$

Consider

$$\epsilon y'' + (1+x)y' + y = 0, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = 1.$$

Expanding  $y = y_0 + \epsilon y_1 + \ldots$  leads to

$$(1+x)y_0'+y_0=0, \quad y_0(1)=1, \qquad (1+x)y_1'+y_1=-y_0'', \quad y_1(1)=0.$$

This produces  $y_0 = 2/(1+x)$  and  $y_1 = 2/(1+x)^3 - 1/(2(1+x))$ . Letting  $X = x/\epsilon$ , y = Y(X), and expanding  $Y = Y_0 + \epsilon Y_1 + ...$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0'' + Y_0' &= 0, \quad Y_0(0) = 1, \qquad Y_1'' + Y_1' = -Y_0 - XY_0', \quad Y_1(0) = 0, \\ \text{so } Y_0 &= 1 + A_0(e^{-X} - 1) \text{ and } Y_1 = A_1(e^{-X} - 1) + A_0(X - \frac{1}{2}X^2e^{-X}) - X. \end{aligned}$$

Writing the outer expansion in terms of X gives

$$y \sim 2 + \epsilon(-2X + 3/2) + O(\epsilon^2).$$

The inner expansion in terms of x gives

$$Y \sim 1 - A_0 + \epsilon (-A_1 + A_0 x/\epsilon - x/\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) = 1 - A_0 + \epsilon (A_0 - 1)X - \epsilon A_1.$$

Comparing series, one has  $A_0 = 1$  and  $A_1 = -3/2$ .

More generally, the inner variable has the form  $X = x/\epsilon^{\alpha}$ . The requirement of dominant balance of two terms determines  $\alpha$ .

More generally, the inner variable has the form  $X = x/e^{\alpha}$ . The requirement of dominant balance of two terms determines  $\alpha$ .

Example:  $4\epsilon y'' + 6\sqrt{x}y' - 3y = -3$ , y(0) = 0, y(1) = 3.

The outer solution is immediately  $y \sim 1 + 2e^{\sqrt{x}-1}$ .

More generally, the inner variable has the form  $X = x/e^{\alpha}$ . The requirement of dominant balance of two terms determines  $\alpha$ .

Example:  $4\epsilon y'' + 6\sqrt{x}y' - 3y = -3$ , y(0) = 0, y(1) = 3. The outer solution is immediately  $y \sim 1 + 2e^{\sqrt{x}-1}$ . Set  $X = x/\epsilon^{\alpha}$  and y = Y(X) so that

$$4\epsilon^{1-2\alpha}Y''+6\epsilon^{-\alpha/2}\sqrt{X}Y'-3Y=-3.$$

Balance between first two terms gives  $\alpha = 2/3$ .

More generally, the inner variable has the form  $X = x/\epsilon^{\alpha}$ . The requirement of dominant balance of two terms determines  $\alpha$ .

Example:  $4\epsilon y'' + 6\sqrt{x}y' - 3y = -3$ , y(0) = 0, y(1) = 3. The outer solution is immediately  $y \sim 1 + 2e^{\sqrt{x}-1}$ . Set  $X = x/\epsilon^{\alpha}$  and y = Y(X) so that

$$4\epsilon^{1-2\alpha}Y''+6\epsilon^{-\alpha/2}\sqrt{X}Y'-3Y=-3.$$

Balance between first two terms gives  $\alpha = 2/3$ .

The leading order inner problem is Y''/Y = -3/2X, Y(0) = 0, whose solution is  $Y = C \int_0^X e^{-z^{3/2}} dz$ .

More generally, the inner variable has the form  $X = x/\epsilon^{\alpha}$ . The requirement of dominant balance of two terms determines  $\alpha$ .

Example:  $4\epsilon y'' + 6\sqrt{x}y' - 3y = -3$ , y(0) = 0, y(1) = 3. The outer solution is immediately  $y \sim 1 + 2e^{\sqrt{x}-1}$ . Set  $X = x/\epsilon^{\alpha}$  and y = Y(X) so that

$$4\epsilon^{1-2\alpha}Y''+6\epsilon^{-\alpha/2}\sqrt{X}Y'-3Y=-3.$$

Balance between first two terms gives  $\alpha = 2/3$ .

The leading order inner problem is Y''/Y = -3/2X, Y(0) = 0, whose solution is  $Y = C \int_0^X e^{-z^{3/2}} dz$ .

For matching, note  $y \sim 2/e + 1$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ , and

$$Y \sim C \int_0^\infty e^{-z^{3/2}} dz, \quad X \to \infty.$$

Thus  $C = (2/e + 1) / \int_0^\infty e^{-z^{3/2}} dz$ .

Example:  $\epsilon y'' = a + y'$ , y(0) = 0, y(1) = 1. The outer solution is immediately  $y(x) \sim -ax + c$ , where c is to be determined.

Example:  $\epsilon y'' = a + y'$ , y(0) = 0, y(1) = 1. The outer solution is immediately  $y(x) \sim -ax + c$ , where c is to be determined.

Try boundary layer on left, using  $X = x/\epsilon$ . This leads to Y'' = Y' whose general solution is  $Y = c_1 + c_2 e^X$ . The exponential behavior will not match!

Example:  $\epsilon y'' = a + y'$ , y(0) = 0, y(1) = 1. The outer solution is immediately  $y(x) \sim -ax + c$ , where c is to be determined.

Try boundary layer on left, using  $X = x/\epsilon$ . This leads to Y'' = Y' whose general solution is  $Y = c_1 + c_2 e^X$ . The exponential behavior will not match!

For boundary layer on right, use  $X = (x + 1)/\epsilon$ , which also gives Y'' = Y' and  $Y = c_1 + c_2 e^X$  at leading order. But in this case, matching requires behavior as  $X \to -\infty$ , which is ok. Using Y(1) = 1, one has  $c_1 + c_2 = 0$ .

Example:  $\epsilon y'' = a + y'$ , y(0) = 0, y(1) = 1. The outer solution is immediately  $y(x) \sim -ax + c$ , where c is to be determined.

Try boundary layer on left, using  $X = x/\epsilon$ . This leads to Y'' = Y' whose general solution is  $Y = c_1 + c_2 e^X$ . The exponential behavior will not match!

For boundary layer on right, use  $X = (x + 1)/\epsilon$ , which also gives Y'' = Y' and  $Y = c_1 + c_2 e^X$  at leading order. But in this case, matching requires behavior as  $X \to -\infty$ , which is ok. Using Y(1) = 1, one has  $c_1 + c_2 = 0$ .

The outer solution must use the left boundary condition, so  $y(x) \sim -ax$ . Matching requires

$$X \sim c_1, \quad X \to -\infty, \qquad y \sim -a, \quad x \to 1,$$

so that  $c_1 = a$ .

Consider

$$\epsilon y'' = yy' - y, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = -1.$$

Consider

$$\epsilon y'' = yy' - y, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = -1.$$

Having a boundary layer on the left means that the outer solution is  $y \sim x - 2$ , which is negative if  $x \approx 0$ .

The inner solution solves  $Y'' \sim YY'$ . But matching implies there must be place in the inner region where Y' > 0,  $Y'' \ge 0$ , which can't happen if Y < 0.

Consider

$$\epsilon y'' = yy' - y, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = -1.$$

Having a boundary layer on the left means that the outer solution is  $y \sim x - 2$ , which is negative if  $x \approx 0$ .

The inner solution solves  $Y'' \sim YY'$ . But matching implies there must be place in the inner region where Y' > 0,  $Y'' \ge 0$ , which can't happen if Y < 0.

A similar argument applies to a right hand boundary layer. The only other possibility is a layer in the interior, say at  $x = x_0$ . This means the outer solution looks like

$$y \sim egin{cases} x+1, & x < x_0, \ x-2, & x > x_0 \end{cases}$$

Consider

$$\epsilon y'' = yy' - y, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = -1.$$

Having a boundary layer on the left means that the outer solution is  $y \sim x - 2$ , which is negative if  $x \approx 0$ .

The inner solution solves  $Y'' \sim YY'$ . But matching implies there must be place in the inner region where Y' > 0,  $Y'' \ge 0$ , which can't happen if Y < 0.

A similar argument applies to a right hand boundary layer. The only other possibility is a layer in the interior, say at  $x = x_0$ . This means the outer solution looks like

J

$$\prime \sim egin{cases} x+1, & x < x_0, \ x-2, & x > x_0 \end{cases}$$

Using  $X = (x - x_0)/\epsilon$ , get Y'' = YY' to leading order. Integrating once gives  $Y' = \frac{1}{2}Y^2 - B^2/2$ , which can be integrated again to produce

$$Y = B \frac{1 - De^{BX}}{1 + De^{BX}}.$$

Matching requires  $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty} Y = \mp B = \lim_{x\to x_0^{\pm}} y(x)$ , which means that  $B = x_0 + 1$  and  $-B = x_0 - 2$ . It follows that B = 3/2 and  $x_0 = 1/2$ .