Asymptotic Methods

Higher dimensional and moving internal layers

Many time-dependent PDEs have internal layers which move as a response to the outer solutions surrounding them. This case is handled using a moving, scaled coordinate system like $z = (x - x_0(t))/\epsilon^{\alpha}$, where $x_0(t)$ is the position of the layer at time t.

Many time-dependent PDEs have internal layers which move as a response to the outer solutions surrounding them. This case is handled using a moving, scaled coordinate system like $z = (x - x_0(t))/\epsilon^{\alpha}$, where $x_0(t)$ is the position of the layer at time t. As an example, consider the viscous conservation law

$$u_t + J(u)_x = \epsilon u_{xx}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

where J is a convex ("flux") function. For $\epsilon = 0$, there may be discontinuous solutions known as shocks. The effect of the ϵ term is to smooth these out into moving internal layers.

Many time-dependent PDEs have internal layers which move as a response to the outer solutions surrounding them. This case is handled using a moving, scaled coordinate system like $z = (x - x_0(t))/\epsilon^{\alpha}$, where $x_0(t)$ is the position of the layer at time t. As an example, consider the viscous conservation law

$$u_t + J(u)_x = \epsilon u_{xx}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

where J is a convex ("flux") function. For $\epsilon = 0$, there may be discontinuous solutions known as shocks. The effect of the ϵ term is to smooth these out into moving internal layers.

The outer solution here is prescribed as $u = u_{-}$ for $x < x_0(t)$ and $u = u_{+}$ for $x > x_0(t)$. Using $z = (x - x_0(t))/\epsilon$ as the new spatial variable gives

 $\epsilon u_t - x_0'(t)u_z + J(u)_z = u_{zz}.$

Many time-dependent PDEs have internal layers which move as a response to the outer solutions surrounding them. This case is handled using a moving, scaled coordinate system like $z = (x - x_0(t))/\epsilon^{\alpha}$, where $x_0(t)$ is the position of the layer at time t. As an example, consider the viscous conservation law

$$u_t + J(u)_x = \epsilon u_{xx}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

where J is a convex ("flux") function. For $\epsilon = 0$, there may be discontinuous solutions known as shocks. The effect of the ϵ term is to smooth these out into moving internal layers.

The outer solution here is prescribed as $u = u_{-}$ for $x < x_0(t)$ and $u = u_{+}$ for $x > x_0(t)$. Using $z = (x - x_0(t))/\epsilon$ as the new spatial variable gives

$$\epsilon u_t - x_0'(t)u_z + J(u)_z = u_{zz}.$$

The leading order problem is obtained by ignoring the ϵ term, integrates to

$$-x_0'(t)u_0 + J(u_0) = u_{0z} + C.$$

Many time-dependent PDEs have internal layers which move as a response to the outer solutions surrounding them. This case is handled using a moving, scaled coordinate system like $z = (x - x_0(t))/\epsilon^{\alpha}$, where $x_0(t)$ is the position of the layer at time t. As an example, consider the viscous conservation law

$$u_t + J(u)_x = \epsilon u_{xx}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

where J is a convex ("flux") function. For $\epsilon = 0$, there may be discontinuous solutions known as shocks. The effect of the ϵ term is to smooth these out into moving internal layers.

The outer solution here is prescribed as $u = u_{-}$ for $x < x_0(t)$ and $u = u_{+}$ for $x > x_0(t)$. Using $z = (x - x_0(t))/\epsilon$ as the new spatial variable gives

$$\epsilon u_t - x_0'(t)u_z + J(u)_z = u_{zz}.$$

The leading order problem is obtained by ignoring the ϵ term, integrates to

$$-x_0'(t)u_0 + J(u_0) = u_{0z} + C.$$

Matching gives $\lim_{z\to\infty} u_0(z) = u_\pm$ so

$$-x'_0(t)u_- + J(u_-) = C = -x'_0(t)u_+ + J(u_+)$$

or

$$x_0'(t) = rac{J(u_+) - J(u_-)}{u_+ - u_-}$$
, (Rankine-Hugoniot condition)

Problems in two spatial dimensions can have boundary layers which are curved; need a coordinate system (r, s) fitted to geometry



Problems in two spatial dimensions can have boundary layers which are curved; need a coordinate system (r, s) fitted to geometry



Let $\gamma(s)$ parameterize boundary $\partial \Omega$,

define new coordinates implicitly by

 $x = \gamma(s(x)) + r(x)\hat{\mathbf{n}}(s(x)).$

Problems in two spatial dimensions can have boundary layers which are curved; need a coordinate system (r, s) fitted to geometry



Let $\gamma(s)$ parameterize boundary $\partial \Omega$,

define new coordinates implicitly by

$$x = \gamma(s(x)) + r(x)\hat{\mathbf{n}}(s(x)).$$

Want gradient and Laplacian in new coordinates; differentiation gives

$$I = \mathbf{\hat{t}}(1 - \kappa r) \otimes \nabla s + \mathbf{\hat{n}} \otimes \nabla r.$$

where I is the identity and the Frenet formulas were used.

Problems in two spatial dimensions can have boundary layers which are curved; need a coordinate system (r, s) fitted to geometry



Let $\gamma(s)$ parameterize boundary $\partial \Omega$,

define new coordinates implicitly by

$$x = \gamma(s(x)) + r(x)\hat{\mathbf{n}}(s(x)).$$

Want gradient and Laplacian in new coordinates; differentiation gives

$$I = \mathbf{\hat{t}}(1 - \kappa r) \otimes \nabla s + \mathbf{\hat{n}} \otimes \nabla r.$$

where / is the identity and the Frenet formulas were used. Taking dot products with \hat{t} and \hat{n} gives

$$abla s = \mathbf{\hat{t}}(1 - \kappa r), \quad \nabla r = \mathbf{\hat{n}}.$$

Laplacian of r, s obtained by taking divergence

$$\Delta r = d\mathbf{\hat{n}}/ds \cdot \nabla s = -\kappa/(1-\kappa r), \quad \Delta s = \kappa'(s)r/(1-\kappa r)^3.$$

Problems in two spatial dimensions can have boundary layers which are curved; need a coordinate system (r, s) fitted to geometry



Let $\gamma(s)$ parameterize boundary $\partial \Omega$,

define new coordinates implicitly by

$$x = \gamma(s(x)) + r(x)\hat{\mathbf{n}}(s(x)).$$

Want gradient and Laplacian in new coordinates; differentiation gives

$$I = \mathbf{\hat{t}}(1 - \kappa r) \otimes \nabla s + \mathbf{\hat{n}} \otimes \nabla r.$$

where I is the identity and the Frenet formulas were used. Taking dot products with \hat{t} and \hat{n} gives

$$abla s = \mathbf{\hat{t}}(1 - \kappa r), \quad \nabla r = \mathbf{\hat{n}}.$$

Laplacian of r, s obtained by taking divergence

$$\Delta r = d\mathbf{\hat{n}}/ds \cdot \nabla s = -\kappa/(1-\kappa r), \quad \Delta s = \kappa'(s)r/(1-\kappa r)^3.$$

Finally, for a function u(x) = u(r, s),

$$\Delta u = u_{rr} |\nabla r|^{2} + u_{ss} |\nabla s|^{2} + 2u_{sr} \nabla s \cdot \nabla r + u_{r} \Delta r + u_{s} \Delta s = u_{rr} - \frac{\kappa u_{r}}{1 - \kappa r} + \frac{1}{1 - \kappa r} \left(\frac{u_{s}}{1 - \kappa r}\right)$$

We want behavior for small t for

$$u_t = D\Delta u$$
, $u = u_b$ on $\partial\Omega$, $u(x, 0) = 0$.

We want behavior for small t for

$$u_t = D\Delta u, \quad u = u_b \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad u(x,0) = 0.$$

Use Laplace transform $U(x, \sigma) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma t} u(x, t) dt$. The limit $t \to 0$ is the same as $\sigma \to \infty$, so set $\epsilon = D/\sigma$, $V = \sigma U$ where

$$\epsilon \Delta V - V = 0, \quad V = u_b \text{ on } \partial \Omega.$$

We want behavior for small t for

$$u_t = D\Delta u, \quad u = u_b \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad u(x,0) = 0.$$

Use Laplace transform $U(x, \sigma) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma t} u(x, t) dt$. The limit $t \to 0$ is the same as $\sigma \to \infty$, so set $\epsilon = D/\sigma$, $V = \sigma U$ where

$$\epsilon \Delta V - V = 0$$
, $V = u_b$ on $\partial \Omega$.

The outer solution away from the boundary is simply V = 0. The inner uses fitted coordinates and solves

$$\epsilon \left[V_{rr} - \frac{\kappa V_r}{1 - \kappa r} + \frac{1}{1 - \kappa r} \left(\frac{V_s}{1 - \kappa r} \right)_s \right] - V = 0, \quad V(r = 0, s) = u_b(s).$$

Introduce stretched coordinate $z = r/\epsilon^{1/2}$ so equation becomes $V_{zz} - \kappa \epsilon^{1/2} V_z - V = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. Expand $V = V_0 + \epsilon^{1/2} V_1 + \dots$ so

$$V_{0zz} - V_0 = 0, \quad V_0(0,s) = u_b, \quad V_0(\infty,s) = 0.$$

whose solution is $V_0 = u_b e^{-z}$.

Introduce stretched coordinate $z = r/\epsilon^{1/2}$ so equation becomes $V_{zz} - \kappa \epsilon^{1/2} V_z - V = O(\epsilon)$. Expand $V = V_0 + \epsilon^{1/2} V_1 + \dots$ so

$$V_{0zz} - V_0 = 0, \quad V_0(0,s) = u_b, \quad V_0(\infty,s) = 0.$$

whose solution is $V_0 = u_b e^{-z}$.

Next order solves

$$V_{1zz} - V_1 = \kappa(s)V_{0z}, \quad V_1(0,s) = 0, \quad V_1(\infty,s) = 0.$$

whose solution is $V_1 = u_b \kappa z e^{-z}/2$.

Introduce stretched coordinate $z = r/\epsilon^{1/2}$ so equation becomes $V_{zz} - \kappa \epsilon^{1/2} V_z - V = O(\epsilon)$. Expand $V = V_0 + \epsilon^{1/2} V_1 + \dots$ so

$$V_{0zz} - V_0 = 0, \quad V_0(0,s) = u_b, \quad V_0(\infty,s) = 0.$$

whose solution is $V_0 = u_b e^{-z}$.

Next order solves

$$V_{1zz} - V_1 = \kappa(s)V_{0z}, \quad V_1(0,s) = 0, \quad V_1(\infty,s) = 0.$$

whose solution is $V_1 = u_b \kappa z e^{-z}/2$.

It follows that $U \sim (u_b/\sigma)[e^{-x/\epsilon^{1/2}} + (\kappa r/2)e^{-r/\epsilon^{1/2}}]$, whose inverse Laplace transform is

$$u(x,t) \sim u_b(1+\kappa(s)r/2)\operatorname{erfc}(r/(2\sqrt{Dt})).$$

The Allen-Cahn equation is

$$\epsilon^2 u_t = \epsilon^2 \Delta u + 2u(1-u^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

where initial condition is u = -1 outside a closed curve $\Gamma(0)$ and u = 1 inside. Question: how does curve $\Gamma(t)$ move?

The Allen-Cahn equation is

$$\epsilon^2 u_t = \epsilon^2 \Delta u + 2u(1-u^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

where initial condition is u = -1 outside a closed curve $\Gamma(0)$ and u = 1 inside. Question: how does curve $\Gamma(t)$ move?

In this problem, the outer solution is always $u = \pm 1$. The inner solution uses fitted, stretched coordinates (z, s, t) where $z = r/\epsilon$ and r = r(x, t), s = s(x, t); equation is

$$\epsilon^2 u_t + \epsilon u_z r_t + \epsilon^2 u_s s_t = u_{zz} - \epsilon \kappa u_z + 2u(1 - u^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

The Allen-Cahn equation is

$$\epsilon^2 u_t = \epsilon^2 \Delta u + 2u(1-u^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

where initial condition is u = -1 outside a closed curve $\Gamma(0)$ and u = 1 inside. Question: how does curve $\Gamma(t)$ move?

In this problem, the outer solution is always $u = \pm 1$. The inner solution uses fitted, stretched coordinates (z, s, t) where $z = r/\epsilon$ and r = r(x, t), s = s(x, t); equation is

$$\epsilon^2 u_t + \epsilon u_z r_t + \epsilon^2 u_s s_t = u_{zz} - \epsilon \kappa u_z + 2u(1 - u^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

Leading order solves $u_{0zz} + 2u(1 - u^2) = 0$, $u_0(\pm \infty, s, t) = \pm 1$, so that $u_0 = \tanh(z)$.

The Allen-Cahn equation is

$$\epsilon^2 u_t = \epsilon^2 \Delta u + 2u(1-u^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

where initial condition is u = -1 outside a closed curve $\Gamma(0)$ and u = 1 inside. Question: how does curve $\Gamma(t)$ move?

In this problem, the outer solution is always $u = \pm 1$. The inner solution uses fitted, stretched coordinates (z, s, t) where $z = r/\epsilon$ and r = r(x, t), s = s(x, t); equation is

$$\epsilon^2 u_t + \epsilon u_z r_t + \epsilon^2 u_s s_t = u_{zz} - \epsilon \kappa u_z + 2u(1 - u^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

Leading order solves $u_{0zz} + 2u(1 - u^2) = 0$, $u_0(\pm \infty, s, t) = \pm 1$, so that $u_0 = \tanh(z)$.

Next order is inhomogeneous equation

$$u_{1zz} + u_{1z} - 3u_0^2 u_{1z} = u_{0z}r_t + \kappa u_{0z}.$$

It is not hard to show that the linear operator on the left is self-adjoint and has a nullspace spanned by eigenfunction $u'_0(z)$.

The Allen-Cahn equation is

$$\epsilon^2 u_t = \epsilon^2 \Delta u + 2u(1-u^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

where initial condition is u = -1 outside a closed curve $\Gamma(0)$ and u = 1 inside. Question: how does curve $\Gamma(t)$ move?

In this problem, the outer solution is always $u = \pm 1$. The inner solution uses fitted, stretched coordinates (z, s, t) where $z = r/\epsilon$ and r = r(x, t), s = s(x, t); equation is

$$\epsilon^2 u_t + \epsilon u_z r_t + \epsilon^2 u_s s_t = u_{zz} - \epsilon \kappa u_z + 2u(1 - u^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

Leading order solves $u_{0zz} + 2u(1 - u^2) = 0$, $u_0(\pm \infty, s, t) = \pm 1$, so that $u_0 = \tanh(z)$.

Next order is inhomogeneous equation

$$u_{1zz} + u_{1z} - 3u_0^2 u_{1z} = u_{0z}r_t + \kappa u_{0z}.$$

It is not hard to show that the linear operator on the left is self-adjoint and has a nullspace spanned by eigenfunction $u'_0(z)$.

The Fredholm alternative says that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u_{0z}r_t + \kappa u_{0z})u_{0z}dz = 0$$

so that inward normal velocity is $r_t = -\kappa$.