## Notes for Lecture 18

klin@math.arizona.edu

March 26, 2020

**Theorem 1.30.** Today we proved Theorem 1.30, which states that an irreducible chain has a stationary distribution if and only if there is at least one positive recurrent state (and if one state is positive recurrent, all states are positive recurrent). I just followed the proof in the text, which leans on Theorem 1.24 on the existence of stationary distributions.

Branching process. For the analysis of branching processes, I followed the book closely. Let  $\varepsilon_n = P_1(X_n = 0)$  be the probability of extinction at or before time n. (The book called this  $\rho_n$ , which I don't like because  $\rho$  looks like p.) Then

$$\varepsilon_n = \varphi(\varepsilon_{n-1}) \tag{1}$$

where

$$\varphi(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k \theta^k.$$
 (2)

As mentioned in lecture,  $\varphi$  is very much like the moment generating function (MGF) for the number of offsprings Y. Indeed, the MGF of Y is defined as

$$M_Y(s) = E(e^{sY}) = \sum_{k=0}^k p_k e^{sk}.$$
 (3)

So the relationship between the two is  $M_Y(s) = \varphi(e^s)$ .

You can easily verify that

$$\varphi(1) = 1 \tag{4a}$$

$$\varphi'(1) = \mu \tag{4b}$$

$$\varphi'(\theta), \varphi''(\theta) \ge 0 \text{ for } \theta \ge 0$$
 (4c)

The last line says  $\varphi$  is increasing and concave up (or at least not concave down).

I prefer to make the rest of the argument by drawing pictures. Here is a short video (and a copy of the "board") explaining this via "cobweb" diagrams. The bottom line is that assuming  $p_0 > 0$ ,

- $\mu \le 1$  implies that  $P_1(T_0 < \infty) = 1$ . For "version B" of the model, where we set p(0, 1) = 1, this implies x = 0 is recurrent.
- $\mu > 1$  implies that  $p_0 < P_1(T_0 < \infty) < 1$ , and the probability  $\varepsilon_{\infty} = P_1(T_0 < \infty)$  is the smallest solution of the equation  $\varepsilon_{\infty} = \varphi(\varepsilon_{\infty})$ . For "version B," this implies x = 0 is transient.