

Notes for Lecture 21

klin@math.arizona.edu

April 7, 2020

Revised April 9, 2020

Today, we started Chapter 2 (hooray!). I mainly reviewed exponential random variables and their various properties, including:

- 1) If T_1, \dots, T_n are independent and $T_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$, then $\min(T_1, \dots, T_n) \sim \text{Exp}(\sum_i \lambda_i)$. Moreover, if I is the index of the minimum, i.e., $T_I = \min(T_1, \dots, T_n)$, then I and $\min(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ are independent.
- 2) If T_1, \dots, T_n are independent and $T_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ for all i , then $\sum_i T_i$ is a $\text{gamma}(n, \lambda)$ random variable (see text).

I basically followed the proofs in the text, with the exception of the independence of I and $\min(T_1, \dots, T_n)$. Instead of the proof in the book, I sketched how for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $0 \leq a \leq b$, we have

$$P\left((I = i) \cap (a \leq \min(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq b)\right) = P(I = i) \cdot P(a \leq \min(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq b). \quad (1)$$

This is enough to imply that for all events $E \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $F \subset [0, \infty)$, we have $P\left((I \in E) \cap (\min(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in F)\right) = P(I \in E) \cdot P(\min(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in F)$.

Addendum, April 9, 2020. I mentioned in passing that the exponential distribution is *essentially* the only one with the memoryless property. Here is a partial “proof.” (I’m not stating the underlying assumptions.) Suppose T is a continuous random variable such that $P(T > 0) = 1$ and it has the memoryless property, i.e.,

$$P(T > t + s | T > s) = P(T > t) \quad (2)$$

for all $s, t \geq 0$. Let

$$G(t) = P(T > t). \quad (3)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}G(t+s) &= P(T > t+s) \\ &= P(T > t+s, T > s) \\ &= P(T > t+s|T > s) P(T > s) \\ &= P(T > t) P(T > s) \\ &= G(t) G(s).\end{aligned}$$

So

$$G(t+s) - G(t) = G(t)(G(s) - 1) \tag{4}$$

Dividing both sides by s and letting $s \rightarrow 0$ yields

$$G'(t) = G(t) \cdot G'(0). \tag{5}$$

Since $G(t)$ is decreasing (or at least non-increasing), $G'(0) \leq 0$. Let $\lambda = -G'(0)$ yields $G'(t) = -\lambda G(t)$, so that $G(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$.